## MINUTES

## BOARD OF CONTROL

## SAGINAW VALLEY STATE COLLEGE

Special Meeting<br>Pioneer Board Room -- Pioneer Hall<br>September 9, 1985

Present: Arbury
Braun
Curtiss
Gilmore
Kendall
Ryder
Saltzman
woods
Excused:
Klykylo
Others
Present:
Davis
Dickey
Dresser
Fitzpatrick
Frahm
Strasz
Thompson
Woodcock
Yien
I. CALL TO ORDER

Chairman John Kendall called the meeting to order at 9:47 a.m.

## II. EXECUTIVE SESSION

BM-680 It was moved and supported that the Board move to Executive Session for the purpose of approving the collective bargaining agreement between Saginaw valley State Coilege and the SVSC Secretarial and Clerical Association, MEA covering the period from July l, 1985 through June 30, 1988.

The Board moved to Executive Session and Chairman Kendall adjourned the session at 10:28 a.m.

Chairman Kendall reconvened the meeting at 10:30 a.m.
III. PROCEDURAL ITEMS

1. Approval of Collective Bargaining Agreement between Saginaw valley State College and the SVSC Secretarial and Clerical Association, MEA

RES-685 It was moved and supported that the following resolution be adopted.

WHEREAS, Representatives of the Administration of Saginaw Valley State college and the SVSC Secretarial and Clerical Association, MEA reached a tenative agreement on a new contract to replace the 1983-85 agreement between the college and the SVSC Secretarial and Clerical Association, MEA on September $4_{1}$ 1985, and

WHEREAS, The SVSC Secretarial and Clerical Association, MEA ratified the proposed agreement on September 6, 1985 ;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the Board of Control does hereby approve the collective bargaining agreement between Saginaw Valley state college and the SVSC Secretarial and Clerical Association, MEA Covering the period from July 1,1985 through June 30 , 1988.

The resolution was APPROVED unanimously.

## IV. ADJOURNMENT

Chairman Kendall adjourned the meeting at 10:32 a.m.

Respectfully submitted:

John W. Kendall
Chairman

Florence F. Saltzman
Secretary

Marilyn Gordon Dresser
Recording Secretary

## Saginaw Valley State College

DATE: September 3. 1985
TO: Members of the Board of Control
FROM: President Jack M. Ryder


I hope you will be able to join me on Monday. September 9, at 9:30 a.m. in the Pioneer Hall Board Room and Library for important committee meetings. Agenda are enclosed. I am sorry that we could not mail the agenda sooner.

I also invite you to a noon luncheon in the Large Private Dining Room. Because I am scheduled to ask a potential contributor for significant assistance in our Special Funds Drive, I will not be able to join you for lunch but have asked Lila and members of the Executive Committee to be with you. If necessary, committees will reconvene at l:30 p.m.

| 9:00-9:30 a.m. | Coffee, Pioneer Library |
| :--- | :--- |
| 9:30-noon | Committee Meetings, Pioneer Library <br> and Board Room |
| Noon-1:30 p.m. | Luncheon, Large Private Dining Room |
| 1:30 p.m. | Committees reconvene <br> if necessary |

JMR:mgd

Encl.

Appendix A
Evidence of Increase in Remedial Mathematics Instruction

Year
73-74
75-76
77-78 ${ }^{3}$
79-80
81-82
83-84

No. Remedial
Enrollments
423
589
955
1.061

1,201
956

Total No. Entry-Level
Math Enrollments
1,592
Percent
Remedial

1,780 33\%
$2,596 \quad 37 \%$
2,773 38\%
2,792 43亭
2,272 42号

University B: Number of students enrolled in pre-college algebra courses, 1972-73 to 1984-85

| Year | Fall | Winter |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 132 | 119 |
| $73-74$ | 213 | 169 |
| $74-75$ | 478 | 442 |
| $75-76$ | 539 | 502 |
| $76-77$ | 764 | 718 |
| $77-78$ | 962 | 838 |
| $78-79$ | 1,582 | 1,279 |
| $80-80$ | 1,168 | 1,085 |
| $81-82$ | 1,750 | 1,948 |
| $82-83$ | 2,018 | 1,713 |
| $83-84$ | 2,016 | 1,596 |
| $84-85$ | 1,988 | 1,398 |
|  | 1,728 | 1,680 |

1. Individual universities will not be identified in this report. All have had similar experience.
2. Entering freshmen may take one of eight different courses based upon their high school record and placement test score. This is the total enrollment for the entry-level courses.
3. A lower level (pre-algebra) remedial course added.

University C: Percent of enrollments in freshman, entry-level courses that are at the remedial level
Fall semester only, 1973 to 1983

| Year | No. Remedial Enrollments | Total No. Entry-level Math Enrollments | Percent <br> Remedial |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1973 | 575 | 2,152 | 27\% |
| 1975 | 646 | 2,421 | 27\% |
| 1977 | 813 | 2,623 | 31\% |
| 1979 | 1.109 | 3,236 | 34\% |
| 1981 | 1,548 | 3,409 | 35\% |
| 1983 | 1,383 | 2,937 | 47\% |

University D: A Breakdown of entry-level
enrollments, $1979-80$ to $1984-85$

| Intermediate Algebra | College Albegra | Pre-Calculus | Calculus | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 256 (16\%) | 413 (26\%) | 628 (40\%) | 291 (18\%) | 1,588 |
| 290 (16\%) | 515 (28\%) | 707 (38\%) | 350 (19\%) | 1,862 |
| 241 (12\%) | 555 (27\%) | 861 (42\%) | 417 (20\%) | 2,074 |
| 276 (12\%) | 612 (26\%) | 903 (39\%) | 531 (23\%) | 2,322 |
| 278 (13\%) | 607 (27\%) | 853 (38\%) | 489 (22\%) | 2,227 |
| 269 (11\%) | 556 (24\%) | 1,001 (43\%) | 530 (23\%) | 2,356 |

University E: Scores at selected percentile levels for the university's 30 -point math placement test, 1969 to $1985^{\circ}$

| Year | 90 Percentile | 75 Percentile | 50 Percentile | 25 Percentile | 10 Percentile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1969 | 26.6 | 23.2 | 18.2 | 12.3 | 7.8 |
| 1970 | 26.6 | 23.1 | 18.1 | 12.1 | 7.5 |
| 1971 | 25.7 | 21.8 | 16.5 | 10.7 | 6.7 |
| 1972 | 24.5 | 20.5 | 15.4 | 10.3 | 6.6 |
| 1973 | 24.6 | 20.6 | 15.3 | 10.1 | 6.6 |
| 1974 | 24.4 | 20.5 | 15.2 | 10.0 | 6.6 |
| 1975 | 24.2 | 20.1 | 14.9 | 9.8 | 6.5 |
| 1976 | 24.0 | 19.8 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 6.6 |
| 1977 | 23.6 | 19.6 | 14.6 | 9.7 | 6.5 |
| 1978 | 23.9 | 20.0 | 15.3 | 10.3 | 6.9 |
| 1979 | 23.9 | 20.2 | 15.3 | 10.7 | 7.1 |
| 1980 | 24.1 | 20.3 | 15.3 | 10.7 | 7.1 |
| 1981 | 24.6 | 21.0 | 16.4 | 11.7 | 8.2 |
| 1982 | 24.4 | 20.6 | 16.0 | 11.4 | 7.9 |
| 1983 | 24.5 | 21.0 | 16.4 | 11.9 | 8.3 |
| 1984 | 24.7 | 21.0 | 16.5 | 12.1 | 8.7 |

4. Remedial instruction provided by a nearby community college not reflected here.
5. A score of 13 or less corresponds to placement into a remedial course (below college algebra). Thus, the data indicates between $25 \%$ and $50 \%$ placing at the remedial level.
thf ohin farly college mathematics placfment testing program FOR HIGH SCHODL JUNIORS

## A Proqram of the Ohio Board of Regents

Administered by The Department of Mathematics of The Ohio State University
In February, 1978, the Mathematics Department at The Ohio State University initiated an experimental Early Mathematics Placement Testing (EMPT) program at Westland High School near Columbus. The basic objective was to address the issue of remediation at the college level. It was hoped that if high school juniors were informed about their mathematics skills (in terms of OSU math placement levels) and if they understood the negative consequences of needing remedial math courses in college, then they would schedule appropriate college preparatory mathematics courses in their senior year. Indeed, Westland High School realized a $73 \%$ increase in senior math enrollments the following year as a direct result of the early testing. We also hoped that Westland students attending OSU in Autumn of 1979 would have higher mathematics placement scores and would need to take fewer remedial courses at OSU. Dur hopes were realized and the program grew from 7 high schools in the '78-'79 school year to 232 high schools in '82-'83, and then expanded to over 600 Ohio high schools for the past two academic years (1983-4 \& 1984-5). Over 60,000 Ohio high school juniors will be tested this year in the Ohio EMPT program!

Each student tested receives a personalized report of his or her performance together with a list of mathematics courses required in the intended major and an indication of what remedial courses, if any, would be required if the mathematics skills remain at the junior year level. This year students are able to request course information in terms of the curriculum at ANY one of twelve State supported Universities (Bowling Green State University, Central State University, Cleveland State University, Kent State University, Miami University, Ohio University, The Ohio State University, University of Akron,
iversity of Cincinnati, University of Toledo, Wright State University, and Youngstown ate University) and seven two-year State supported colleges (Clark Technical Colleqe, Cuyahoga Community College, Edison State Community College, Lorain Community College, Muskingum Area Technical College, Sinclair Community College, and the University of Cincinnati Two-Year College).

Last year, out of the 61,680 juniors tested, 8,782 of them indicated they were taking no math in their junior year, and $93 \%$ of the students taking no math had remedial math placement. Also our data indicated that overall 23,744 juniors tested last year were college bound AND had projected remedial math placement!

As a result of participating in EMPT, many high schools report that their senior math enrollments increase dramatically. We also have strong evidence that students from high schools participating in EMPT for several years need fewer remedial courses than would otherwise be expected. In addition, $O S U$ math placement scores have significantly improved over the past two years as a likely result of widespread use of the EMPT program in high schools sending large numbers of students to Ohio State.

The Ohio Legislature has funded the Ohio EMPT program for the $1983-5$ biennium at a level that allowed any Ohio high school to participate in early mathematics testing as well as incorporating all Ohio state-supported four year Universities and selected two-year colleges. The potential of the Ohio EMPT Program is great. We can save taxpayers and parents of college bound students thousands of dollars in eliminating unnecessary remedial instruction. In addition, we are insuring that high school students get the best possible academic preparation for colleqe mathematics courses. We also have established a valuable dialogue among high school math teachers and counselors and colleqe mathematics faculty roughout Ohio.

Further information regarding the Ohio EMPT program can be obtained from Professor Bert Waits, Director, Ohio EMPT Program, c/o Department of Mathematics, The Ohio State University, 231 W. 18th Ave., Columbus, Ohio, 43210. Phone (614) 422-0746.
Number of
Participating
Ohio High Schools $\quad$ Cooperating Universities

| $1977-78$ | 1 | OSU |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1978-79 | 7 | OSU |
| $1979-80$ | 37 | OSU |
| $1980-81$ | 107 | OSU |
| $1981-82$ | 214 | OSU and University of Akron |
| $1982-83$ | 232 | OSU and five State Universities |
| $1983-84$ | 605 | All Twelve State Universities |
| $1984-85$ | 604 | All State Universities and selected two year <br> State Colleges (19 total) |

## Number of Ohio High School Juniors Tested

1982-83
28,238
1983-84 61,680

The Ohio EMPT program is funded by the Ohio Board of Regents and operated for the Ohio Board of Regents by the Mathematics Department of The Ohio State University

## EMPT Background Data

## MATH PLACEMENT LEVELS

Ohio High School Juniors

|  | 1979-80 | 1980-81 | 1981-82 | 1982-83 | 1983-84 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MPL 2 | 8.8\% | 10\% | 9.1\% | 12.2\% | 11.52\% |
| MPL 3 | 30.3\% | 30\% | 31.5\% | 34.6\% | 32.13\% |
| MPL 4 | 13.0\% | 13\% | 13.2\% | 12.5\% | 11.78\% |
| MPL 5 | 47.8\% | 47\% | 46.3\% | 40.7\% | 44.56\% |
| No of Students | 5,234 | 16,227 | 24,213 | 28,238 | 61,680 |
| No. Of High Schools | 37 | 107 | 114 | 232 | 605 |

EMPT Math Placement Level (MPL)
By High School Course of Enrollment
Ohio High School Juniors

|  | Algebra I |  | Geometry |  | Algebra II |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 183 \\ (4.15 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 184 \\ (4.56 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83 \\ (16.50 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 184 \\ (15.97 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 183 \\ (39.20 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 184 \\ (39.64 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| MPL 2 | 0.18\% | 0.40\% | 1.81\% | 2.54\% | 12.08\% | 10.69\% |
| MPL 3 | 7.11\% | . $6.12 \%$ | 25.13\% | 20.23\% | 55.12\% | 51.50\% |
| MPL 4 | 8.78\% | 8.45\% | 20.00\% | 15.93\% | 15.42\% | 16.47\% |
| MPL 5 | 83.93\% | 85.03\% | 53.06\% | 61.29\% | 17.38\% | 21.35\% |


| Advanced Math |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 83 | 84 |
| $(15.04 \%)$ | $(15.37 \%)$ |


| Other Math |  | No Math |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\prime} 83$ | ${ }^{\prime} 84$ |  |  |
| $(8.84 \%)$ | $(9.89 \%)$ | $(16.27 \%)$ | $(14.57 \%)$ |


| MPL 2 | $47.04 \%$ | $44.59 \%$ | $0.78 \%$ | $0.72 \%$ | $0.76 \%$ | $0.59 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MPL 3 | $43.72 \%$ | $44.84 \%$ | $8.27 \%$ | $6.68 \%$ | $9.20 \%$ | $6.55 \%$ |
| MPL 4 | $4.69 \%$ | $4.95 \%$ | $7.78 \%$ | $7.02 \%$ | $9.17 \%$ | $6.72 \%$ |
| MPL 5 | $4.55 \%$ | $5.61 \%$ | $83.17 \%$ | $85.57 \%$ | $80.87 \%$ | $86.14 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1982-83 N=27,474$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## EMPT Background Data

- 

COURSE ENROLLMENT TRENDS
Distribution of Ohio High School Students into Junior Year Math Courses
(EMPT participating high schools)

|  | 1979-80 | 1980-81 | 1981-82 | 1982-83 | 1983-84 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algebra I | 4.4\% | 4.0\% | 3.7\% | 4.2\% | 4.56\% |
| Geometry | 12.3\% | 13.4\% | 13.1\% | 16.5\% | 15.97\% |
| Algebra II | 38.3\% | 38.0\% | 36.2\% | 39.2\% | 39.64\% |
| Advanced Math | 12.9\% | 12.8\% | 15.6\% | 15.0\% | 15.37\% |
| Other Math | 11.0\% | 11.8\% | 12.0\% | 8.8\% | 9.89\% |
| No Math | 21.1\% | 20.0\% | 19.4\% | 16.3\% | 14.57\% |
| $N$ | 5,234 | 16,227 | 24,213 | 27,474 | 60,272 |
| No. of High Schools | 37 | 107 | 214 | 232 | 605 |


| 1983-84 | Ohio EMPT Program |
| ---: | :--- |
| 61,680 | Students |
| 605 | High Schools |

PLANS AFTER HIGH SCHOOL:


Other .................................................. $19.38 \%$

## EMPT Background Data <br> Summary of Last Mathematics Grade <br> (6 or 9 week grading period)

in high school junior mathematics courses from EMPT participating high schools

|  | 1983-84 | 1982-3 | 1981-2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 22.06\% | 23.77\% | 24.56\% |
| B | 33.96\% | 34.31\% | 34.47\% |
| C | 28.91\% | 27.83\% | 27.60\% |
| D | 11.71\% | 11.29\% | 10.55\% |
| $E$ or $F$ | 3.36\% | 2.80\% | 2.82\% |
|  | 605 High Schools | 232 High Schools | 214 High Schools |
|  | 52,092 Students | 23,425 Students | 19,322 Students |

## EMPT Background Data

(First Choice) Intended College Major

Major $\quad$\begin{tabular}{c}
1983-4 <br>
Percentage

$\quad$

1982-3 <br>
Percentage

$\quad$

1981-2 <br>
Percentage
\end{tabular}

1. Education
2. Social and Behaviorial Sciences
3. Humanities and Liberal Arts
4. Engineering
5. Math and Physical Sciences
6. Biological and Life Sciences
7. Allied Health Professions
8. Administrative Science or Business
9. Agriculture and Home Economic 10. Other or "no idea whatsoever"
$6.46 \%$
9.54\%
7.43\%
21.15\%
4.61\%
7.60\%
13.53\%
16.65\%
3.44\%
9.59\%

1983-4 $N=53,841$

Percentage Percentage

| $5.23 \%$ | $5.83 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $8.40 \%$ | $8.92 \%$ |
| $7.12 \%$ | $7.98 \%$ |
| $22.19 \%$ | $20.97 \%$ |
| $4.16 \%$ | $4.36 \%$ |
| $8.11 \%$ | $7.97 \%$ |
| $12.53 \%$ | $11.30 \%$ |
| $16.01 \%$ | $16.40 \%$ |
| $2.80 \%$ | $3.19 \%$ |
| $13.46 \%$ | $13.08 \%$ |

```
                The Ohio State University
                    EMPT Placement Data
Percentage Change in Actual OSU Math Placement Levels
Autumn '84 vs. 5 yr. Average Autumn '79 - '83
```

| MPL | Non-EMPT Schools | EMPT Schools | 01d EMPT Schools | EMPT Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | + 3.8 | $+4.4$ | $+4.3$ | $+5.2$ |
| 2 | + 3.8 | $+5.3$ | $+4.9$ | + 6.1 |
| 3 | + 3.2 | $+5$ | + 5.7 | + 5.5 |
| llege | evel $\overline{+10.8 \%}$ | +14.7 | +14.9 | $\pm 16.8$ |


| 4 | - 3.8 | - 5 | - 5.6 | - 5.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 5 | - 7 | - 9.7 | - 9.3 | - 11.2 |
| Remedial | -10.88 | -14.7 | \|-14.9 | -16.8 |
| $N=$ | 2968 | 3037 | 2629 | 2281 |
| August ' 84 H. S. |  |  |  |  |
| Rank | 72.3 | 68.8 | 68.6 | 69.7 |
| August ACT Math | 21.1 | 21.2 | 21.1 | 21.0 |

The Ohio State University

- lartment of Mathematics muary, 1985


## Math Department Works $w^{2 t h}$ Ohio Schools

 ng the 1970s the mathematics rreparation of freshman entering Ohio State experienced a sharp decline. In 1965, eight percent of the freshmen students demonstrated no skills in elementary algebra on the mathematics placement tests. This number increased to $26 \%$ in 1975. During the same period the average rank in high school of the freshmen improved.In 1977 the University sent a report to each Ohio high school on the mathematics and English preparation of the Ohio State students from that school in the period 1974 to 1977. In response to those data, Westland High School near Columbus asked the Department of Mathematics to test its college-intending juniors. The high school felt these students should be appraised of their mathematics levels with respect to University expectations before registering for senior year courses. T $\because$ invitation was the beginning Ohio Early Mathematics Plament Testing Program. This program has grown each year since 1977. In 1983-84, more than 60,000 juniors in 614 Ohio high schools wrote the early placement test. The program is now funded within the state budget of Ohio. All of the state universities participate, and a


Department of Mathematics
150 Math Building
231 West 18th Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43210-1174

0671-200671-361
student's test performance is interpreted in terms of the curriculum at the university he or she designates. Professor Bert Waits is the program director. Among the positive results of the Early Testing Program has been an average $40 \%$ increase in senior year mathematics enrollments in the high schools participating.
A curricular problem became evident through the Early Testing Program; the traditional college preparatory program has not provided an appropriate senior year course for low-achieving students in mathematics. In 1981-82 Professor Frank Demana and Professor Joan Leitzel (together with Professors F. Joe Crosswhite and Alan Osborne of the faculty of Mathematics Education at OSU and two high school mathematics teachers) piloted a new course for college-intending high school seniors who, as juniors, showed essentially no skills in algebra. This project was funded by the Battelle Memorial Foundation. The results have been very promising. More than $80 \%$ of the students improved their mathematics placement levels and almost $70 \%$ reached an accepted level for university entrance. The same group of faculty, with a grant from SOHIO, is now working with seventh and eighth grade students on a project to improve the transition from arithmetic to algebra.

In Autumn Quarter, 1984, freshmen for the first time will be conditionally enrolled if they have not taken a full college preparatory program in high school. The data on applicants indicate that all but $7.4 \%$ have taken at least three years of college preparatory mathematics. This is a marked improvement over previous years and hopefully will mean that Ohio State freshmen are now even better prepared to begin the mathematics required in their University programs.

Another part of the department's efforts in working with the schools has been the development of a full program of mathematics courses for in-service elementary and secondary teachers. These courses draw enrollments of more than 250 students each year. In addition, the Department of Mathematics has defined a Master's degree option especially for teachers. The program began during the summer of 1981. Presently there are 40 students pursuing this degree option. Of these, 25 are full-time students, serving as teaching associates in the department.

Through all of these cooperative efforts with schools and special programs for teachers, the department is recognizing that mathematics teaching is a task of primary importance in today's scientific and technological society.

The Ohio State University

## Appendix C

Sample Student Report
(Individual information based on student score and interests indicated by a bracket in the left margin)

The Michigan Mathematics Early Placement Test<br>Student Report Form<br>for<br>John T. Smith<br>Central High School<br>Ourtown, Michigan

January 26, 1986

This is a report of how you did on the Early Placement Test in Mathematics which you took a few weeks ago. The purpose of the test is to give you an idea of how well prepared you are at this point in your studies to handle the mathematics you will need for college. Many students are not sufficiently aware of how important a solid knowledge of mathematics is for so many fields of study. As a result, they do not take enough mathematics in high school, or only give a minimal effort to the courses they take. We hope that information in this report will help you and your counselor in choosing the right mathematics course for your senior year in order to best move you along toward a successful experience in college and toward meeting your career goals.

Your score on the test was 13 out of a possible 32 points. This puts you at a placement level of 4 on a scale of 1 to 5 , with 1 being the highest and 5 the lowest. The levels have the following meanings:

| 1 | Ready for a calculus course. (This test does not go that high.) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Ready for a pre-calculus course (topics like logarithmic, exponential and trig functions, analytic geometry, etc). |
| 3 | Ready for college algebra. |
| 4 | Below college level. Need intermediate algebra. |
| 5 | Farther below college level. Need refresher in arithmetic and beginning algebra. |

[^0]But, of course, you are not beginning college now. You still have your senior year to improve your level. Why not ask your counselor or math teacher about the best course to help you do that?

On your test form you also indicated your interest in the following fields of study:
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}\text { First choice: } & \text { Business } \\ \text { Second choice: } & \text { Social or behavioral science }\end{array}\right.$

Most majors in the area of business will require several mathematics courses. The first may have a title such as "finite mathematics" or "business mathematics." It will present a wide variety of topics that have applications in business decision-making. The second will be a course in statistics. Finally, at many colleges or universities, a brief look at calculus might be part of your program. You can also expect considerable exposure to computers for which mathematical skills will be very helpful.
The social and behavioral sciences are greater users of mathematics than you might expect. They make heavy use of statistics to identify social trends and patterns in behavior. Computers make it possible to use such mathematical approaches to a greater degree. You can expect to take one or more courses in statistics, together with the preliminary mathematics needed for them. You will also make use of computers to analyze data.
C. Alternate placement level paragraphs:

For level 2 :
Your score on the test was 31 out of
a placement level of 2 on a scale of a ...Your placement level of 2 means the ns 32 points. This puts you at now, you would likely be placed into that if you were entering calculus course. Being at level 2 is course that is just junior to be. It Being at level 2 is a very good is just one step below a You will surely want to that you have stayed with place for a high school course as well. If you take your high school's with mathematics all along. next year when you get do well in it, you could versed senior mathematics without delay into programs college. This means you well place in level 1 mathematics. programs which require calculus or be able to progress

## For level 3:

 ...Your placement level of 3 means that if you were entering college right now, you would likely be placed in a course in college algebra or a course atthe same level designed to meet the needs of students in particular
prams. programs. This course would overlap to a great extent with a high school school mathematics background students who enter college with a solid high level courses required in their programs. For students it on to higher nontechnical majors, entering college at placement level going into most prolong their time to getting a degree. However for will likely not engineering, computer science or pre-mediciner, for fields such as mathematics, students entering at pre-medicine which require much progress.

Of course, you are not entering opportunity during your senior year to college right now. You have the placement level. Why not talk to your counselor mathematics and raise your appropriate course to take?

For level 5:
Your score on the test was 8 out of a possible 32 points. This puts you at a placement level of 5 on a scale ... ...Your placement level of 5 means that if you were entering college right now, you would likely be placed in pre-algebra course. This course provides a review of arithmetic and beginning algebra. From a college perspective, it is a remedial level course, and at most schools, it does not earn you credit toward graduation. It prepares you for an intermediate algebra course (also remedial) which prepares you for the collegiate level mathematics which your program may require.

Clearly starting college at level 5 is going to add to the time and money it takes to get a degree. This may put some fields of study of interest to you out of reach.

But, of course, you are not beginning college now. You still have your senior year in high school in which to take a mathematics course and improve your placement level. Talk to your counselor or math teacher about what would be the best course for you. Take the course, and really work at it. The payoff will be very real - in terms of dollars, time and broadened opportunity -- when you get to college.


[^0]:    Your placement at level 4 means that if you were entering college right now, you would likely have to take a course in intermediate algebra before being admitted into the mathematics courses which your program may require. This course may not carry credit toward graduation, will possibly add to the time it takes to complete a degree, and will certainly add to the expense.

