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MINUTES
BOARD OF CONTROL
SAGINAW VALLEY STATE COLLEGE
REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING
Pioneer Room--Pioneer Hall of Engineering and Technology
May 7, 1982

Present: Arbury
Braun
Curtiss
Darin
Gilmore
Kendall

Others Present:
Andrewes
Barnett
Colvin
Dickey
Fitzpatrick
Gilbert
Hamling
Irish
K. Kendall
J. Kendall
K. Kendall
Lange
Lee
Rummel
Rybkowski
Ryder
Sharp
Woodcock
Yien
Press (3)

Absent: Saltzman, excused
Williams, ""

I. CALL TO ORDER
Chairman Kendall gavelled the meeting to order at 9:40 a.m. and observed that a quorum was present.

II. PROCEDURAL ITEMS
1. Approval of Minutes of Regular Monthly Meeting held on March 8, 1982

Chairman Kendall stated that the Minutes of the last Regular Monthly Meeting held on March 8, 1982 were mailed. He asked if there were any corrections or additions.

Mr. Curtiss observed that there was a bunch of stuff in the Minutes again that was not pertinent directly to the discussion of the decision-making process, and it was time that a major effort be made once again to cull the Minutes.

Mrs. Arbury called attention to an incomplete statement attributed to her on Page 33..."Mrs. Arbury suggested that perhaps the indoor track they were talking about was going to help SVSC athletes." This was 180 degrees different from what she meant. She meant that the SVSC athletes were doing such a superb job in being such tough competitors because they had had to train under such tough circumstances. Right after that, she said, in Dr. Ryder's statement he had agreed with her...his statement was right, but her's was not.

She asked that her statement be clarified as follows: "Mrs. Arbury stated that the indoor track team was to be congratulated for doing such a terrific job under very tough circumstances and that perhaps the indoor track they were talking about was going to help SVSC athletes in the future." She concluded that it wasn't really very important, but it was just "one of those things."

Dr. Ryder indicated that Mrs. Arbury's correction would be made and also that Mr. Curtiss' comment would be taken under advisement as well. Corrections should be made also to Pages 20 and 21 per Attorney James Kendall's letter of May 12, 1982 (see Attachment).

Hearing no further discussion, Chairman Kendall declared the Minutes approved as corrected.
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May 12, 1982

Ms. Opal Colvin
Office of the President
Saginaw Valley State College
2250 Pierce Road
University Center, Michigan 48710

Re: March 8 Minutes Board of Control

Dear Opal:

At page 20 and again at page 21, the proposed new entity SVSC Research and Educational Development Foundation fails to include the word "Educational" in the minutes. Perhaps you would like to note same for correction.

Also reference is made in the minutes to a packet of materials concerning this undertaking having been mailed to Board members. Would it be possible for me to have a copy of those materials (as to this entity only)?

Sincerely,

James A. Kendall

JAK:mk
2. Official Representative of Faculty Association

Chairman Kendall asked if there were an official representative of the Faculty Association present. Dr. Alexander Ross responded.

Dr. Ryder introduced Dr. Ross, noting that he was an Associate Professor of Sociology and also a member of the Executive Board of the SVSC Faculty Association.

Dr. Ryder asked Dr. Ross if he cared to make any comments at this time. Dr. Ross responded that he had none to make. Chairman Kendall welcomed him to the meeting.

3. Communications and Requests to Appear before the Board

Chairman Kendall asked if there were any communications and requests to appear before the Board. Dr. Ryder indicated there were no requests to appear before the Board...he did have a communication, however, that he would deal with under one of the items on the Agenda.

4. Remarks by the President

Chairman Kendall asked Dr. Ryder if he had any remarks. Dr. Ryder responded that there were some recent developments about which he would like to bring the Board up-to-date.

First, Dr. Ryder recalled, he had spoken at previous Board meetings about his concern about the cutbacks that had been recommended by the national administration to the Congress with respect to student financial aid, and he wanted to report now that it did appear that some compromises were being made and that many of the proposed cuts would not be put in place. It was hard to say now, since it was not completed yet, he stressed, but the best information he had was that
while there would be some cuts, they would not be as extensive as previously recommended. Where it was going to end up, he concluded, he did not know, but he was extremely hopeful about it because he felt that excessive cuts would adversely affect access to higher education, which was not in the national interest at this time or in the future. This was the good news.

Secondly, and bad news, Dr. Ryder noted, was that as a result of the fact that the Senate, so far at least, had not concurred in support of an increase of 1.0% in the income tax in the State of Michigan, which would be put in motion and expire at the end of the state's fiscal year, the Governor had prepared a new Executive Order which presumably would come down very shortly.

Although it had been reported in the press, Dr. Ryder said, and SVSC had had calls from the press about it, he had not seen it, nor did he know the exact details, but the assumption was, by people in Lansing, that it would put back in place the original proposal which would bring the higher education cut up to $136 million, which would mean a cut of $1.6 million for SVSC.

Under the Governor's proposal on the increase of 1.0% in income tax, the total cost for higher education...the holdback during the end of this year...would have been a total of $80 million, which would have been a cut of a little over $900,000 for SVSC. It appeared that if the effort to increase the state income tax failed, the Governor was going to proceed ahead to try to gain support for the original proposed cut, which would be the whole fourth quarter for higher education, amounting to $136 million in the state and $1.6 million for SVSC.

This obviously represented a problem, Dr. Ryder stressed. It represented a problem before even at $900,000 plus in terms of the need for SVSC to borrow
money...what he was talking about was moving back to the point where the need would be to borrow more money than SVSC had originally anticipated, if the Executive Order by the Governor were to go through. Obviously, he said, this represented a problem, and the SVSC administration, in its contacts with the legislators from this area, had tried to convince them that they had to face up to the problem and that they should support the 1.0% income tax increase.

Dr. Ryder pointed out, however, that there were other problems influencing the decisions on this, one of which was reapportionment...it was a difficult problem, and he couldn't really say that their legislators should simply forget about it, since it did have a bearing on their being able to serve in the legislature and serve their constituents...but he would say that there came a time when statesmanship had to prevail...he would hope that all of their legislators would stand on that and do what was right for the State of Michigan, whatever that meant to them.

Thirdly, Dr. Ryder congratulated Board member, Charles Curtiss, for his recent accolade received in Bay City. He informed the Board that Mr. Curtiss was the recipient of the highest award given by the United Way...the Carl H. Weber Award for Voluntarism, noted that his service on this Board of Control was predominately mentioned, and stated that he thought this was representative of the kinds of contributions that the Board members were making to the community and very positive in view of the fact that they were recognizing the contributions that Mr. Curtiss was making to SVSC, because they had really been extensive.

Members of the Board of Control present also congratulated Mr. Curtiss, who, in turn, thanked them and Dr. Ryder for the recognition.
III. ACTION ITEMS

5. Recommendation for Approval of the 1982-83 SVSC Foundation Awards for Faculty Research and Scholarly Activity

Chairman Kendall stated that copies of this recommendation had been included in Board packets and asked if someone would care to move its adoption.

RES-542 It was moved and supported that the attached resolution be adopted.

Mr. Kendall asked if there were any discussion.

Dr. Ryder pointed out that this was traditional for SVSC to provide resources from the Foundation to the faculty for summer and even year-long research and scholarly activity grants...it had been very positive for the faculty, and as a result, they were having many books and papers completed, along with other kinds of research. He added that it had really been beneficial not only to the faculty members, but also to the students who had become involved in the process as well.

Dr. Ryder displayed the "Bulletin of Faculty Research and Publication" just distributed by Dr. Yien and indicated this was a result of the 1981-82 efforts on the part of the faculty in the area of research and scholarly activity.

Dr. Ryder then recommended approval of the resolution.

Chairman Kendall asked if there were any further discussion. Hearing none, he called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried to adopt RES-542 approving the 1982-83 SVSC Foundation awards for faculty research and scholarly activity.

6. Recommendation for Approval of Dormitory Board and Room Rates and Miscellaneous Room and Board Rates for 1982-83

Chairman Kendall noted that copies of this recommendation had been included in Board packets and asked if anyone would care to move its adoption.
BOARD RESOLUTION ON SYSC FOUNDATION AWARDS
FOR FACULTY RESEARCH AND SCHOLARLY ACTIVITY PROJECTS

WHEREAS, Faculty Research and Scholarly Activity has as a purpose the enhancement of teaching programs in addition to the production of knowledge which expands the basic disciplines, and

WHEREAS, The College Professional Practices Committee has recommended the following faculty research projects for support in the year of 1982-1983,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the attached list of projects be approved for the SYSC Foundation Awards for Faculty Research and Scholarly Activity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Hsuan Chen</td>
<td>Professor of Physics</td>
<td>$1,670.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Basil Clark</td>
<td>Asst. Prof. of English</td>
<td>$345.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. David Dalgarn</td>
<td>Asst. Prof. of Biology</td>
<td>$756.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. John Jezierski</td>
<td>Professor of History</td>
<td>$328.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Arthur Koch</td>
<td>Asst. Prof. of Biology</td>
<td>$595.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Ricardo Pastor</td>
<td>Asst. Prof. Mod. For. Lang.</td>
<td>$770.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Albert Plausch</td>
<td>Asst. Prof. of Chemistry</td>
<td>$950.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Thomas Renna</td>
<td>Professor of History</td>
<td>$1,300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. O. Z. Robertson</td>
<td>Professor of Political Sci.</td>
<td>$869.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Richard Trdan</td>
<td>Asst. Prof. of Biology</td>
<td>$757.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Suk Koo Yun</td>
<td>Professor of Physics</td>
<td>$1,100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Faculty Proposals Recommended for the 1982-1983 SVSC Foundation Grants 
for Faculty Research and Scholarly Activity

Dr. Hsuan Chen  
Professor of Physics  
$1,670  
Continuing research in rainbow holography. Six research papers have been 
published as a result of the 1981-1982 grant.  
($420 for student assistant; $1,250 for consumable supplies and materials)

Dr. Basil Clark  
Associate Professor of English  
$345.50  
Research on medieval astrology and its function in the works of selected 
contemporaries of Chaucer.  
($100.50 for student assistant; $220 for Travel to major research libraries in 
the state; $25 for photo-copying)

Dr. David Dalgarn  
Associate Professor of Biology  
$756  
Study of genetic variation in four species: Two species of Andropogon 
(Prairie grasses) and two species of common weeds.  
($500 for consumable supplies and materials; $136 for travel to MSU; $120 for 
photo-copying)

Dr. John Jezierski  
Professor of History  
$928  
Continuing research on "The Goodridge Brothers: Saginaw's Pioneer 
Photographers." One paper has been published as a result of the 1981-1982 
grant.  
($98 for consumable supplies and materials; $640 for travel; $190 for photo­ 
printings)

Dr. Arthur Koch  
Assistant Professor of Biology  
$595  
Concluding a three-year research on the floristics and ecology of acidic, 
subaerial algae in Michigan.  
($560 for data-collection field trips; $35 for photo-copying)

Dr. Ricardo Pastor  
Associate Prof. of Mod. For. Lang.  
$770  
Continuing study of the literature of the Andes. Previous support led 
to publication of three books and many articles on Bolivian literature.  
($705 for travel and per diem in Bolivia; $65 for photo-copying and mailing 
of materials)

Dr. Albert Plausch  
Associate Professor of Chemistry  
$950  
Employing experimental approach to measure the efficiency of NH₂OH photo­ 
oxidation of water.  
($315 for student assistant; $635 for consumable supplies and materials)

Dr. Thomas Renna  
Professor of History  
$1,300  
Research on the image of the "city" in Western literature from 200 to 
1800. Numerous papers have been published in national and regional journals.  
($200 for reproduction of materials; $1,100 for research in major libraries, 
including the University of Chicago)

Dr. O. Z. Robertson  
Professor of Political Science  
$869  
Study of the effect of the Appellate Courts in the Michigan court system.  
($105 for student assistant; $264 for consumable supplies and materials; $500 
for travel relating to interviews of judges)
Dr. Richard Trdan  
Assistant Professor of Biology  
$757  
Assessing the condition of the freshwater mussel populations within the Tittabawassee River drainage.  
($235 for consumable supplies and materials; $480 for data-collection field trips; $42 for film processing)

Dr. Suk Koo Yun  
Professor of Physics  
$1,100  
Continuing research on the genesis mechanism of nucleons from the primordial quarks at the Aspen Institute for Physics and Astrophysics during May 25 through June 30, 1982.  
($1,100 for travel and per diem)
RES-543  It was moved and supported that the attached resolution be adopted.

Mr. Kendall asked if there were any discussion.

Dr. Ryder called upon Mr. Woodcock to review.

Mr. Woodcock reviewed the first page of the attachment, noted that the increase for the 21 meal plan from the current rate of $2,126 to the proposed rate of $2,256 amounted to $130 or a 6.1% increase, and stated that the administration was pleased that the proposed rate of increase was expected to be one of the lowest, if not the lowest, in the State of Michigan with respect to board and room rates. Of the 12 Michigan public institutions which had dormitory programs, he said, SVSC at the present time, was 10th from the bottom as far as being the cheapest. However, with the proposed rate increase, and with the information he now had, he expected that SVSC would probably be 7th from the bottom. At the current time, SVSC was roughly between $40 and $50 from being at about the median.

Turning to the second page of the attachment, Mr. Woodcock noted that as in the past, the administration was providing derivatives of the basic room and board rate. He reviewed in detail and pointed out that the reason Conference costs were being brought before the Board at such an early time, with respect to next fall's activities, was because such activities often were booked far in advance, and SVSC needed to have its rates approved and established in order to attract this type of activity.

After a brief question and answering period, during which Mr. Woodcock elaborated upon the Conference rates, Chairman Kendall called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried to adopt RES-543 approving dormitory board and room rates and miscellaneous room and board rates for 1982-83.
WHEREAS, Sufficient information regarding projected operating expenses of the housing and food service operations can be ascertained,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That effective for the Fall Semester of 1982-83, the academic year board and room charges will be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Meals per Week</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Room and Board</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident Hall Association</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra charge for single room</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Room and Board</td>
<td>$2,252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident Hall Association</td>
<td>$2,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$2,256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra charge for single room</td>
<td>$2,176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$330</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$330</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AND, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Miscellaneous Room and Board Rates as per attached document be approved effective September 1, 1982, unless otherwise indicated.
SAGINAW VALLEY STATE COLLEGE
MISCELLANEOUS ROOM AND BOARD RATES
Effective September 1, 1982
(unless Otherwise Noted)

Academic Sessions (Housing Only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>1981-82</th>
<th>1982-83</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spring and Summer Sessions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double bedroom occupancy (2 per bedroom)</td>
<td>$215</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single bedroom occupancy (1 per bedroom)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session I and III (5-1/2 weeks)(^a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double bedroom occupancy (2 per bedroom)</td>
<td>$155</td>
<td>$165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single bedroom occupancy (1 per bedroom)</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session II (10-1/2 weeks)(^a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double bedroom occupancy (2 per bedroom)</td>
<td>$310</td>
<td>$330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single bedroom occupancy (1 per bedroom)</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session IV (7-1/2 weeks)(^a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double bedroom occupancy (2 per bedroom)</td>
<td>$215</td>
<td>$225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single bedroom occupancy (1 per bedroom)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Guest Rooms (Housing only, except freshman orientation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1981-82</th>
<th>1982-83</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Double bedroom occupancy (2 per bedroom)</td>
<td>$4.50/night</td>
<td>$5.00/night</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27.50/week</td>
<td>-0-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single bedroom occupancy (1 per bedroom)</td>
<td>$8.00/night</td>
<td>$8.50/night</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42.50/week</td>
<td>-0-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

American Youth Hostels
Freshman Orientation
Room (one night only)
Board (two partial days, refreshments, snacks)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1981-82</th>
<th>1982-83</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$4.00/night</td>
<td>$4.00/night</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4.00/night</td>
<td>16.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.25(^b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Internal Sponsored Activities (Paid from College Funds)
Minimum of two nights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1981-82</th>
<th>1982-83</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Room</td>
<td>$2.75/night</td>
<td>$2.90/night</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board</td>
<td>Negotiable</td>
<td>Negotiable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conferences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conference Count</th>
<th>1981-82</th>
<th>1982-83</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Room Rates(^c)</td>
<td>Board Rates(^c)</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-50</td>
<td>$4.50</td>
<td>Not Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-100</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>$9.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 100</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Single Rooms (space permitting) | $8.00 |

Board Only Contracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meal Plan</th>
<th>1981-82</th>
<th>1982-83</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>$640.00</td>
<td>$676.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>640.00</td>
<td>636.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) The 1981-82 rates were derived from other 1981-82 Board of Control approved rates.

\(^b\) If the orientation format changes, the administration is authorized to adjust the charges accordingly.

\(^c\) Rate may vary according to services and selections desired, number of persons involved, and length of the activity.
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7. Recommendation for Approval of the Establishment of the Mary C. Walker Endowed Nursing Scholarship

Chairman Kendall stated that copies of this recommendation had been included in the Board packets. He asked if someone would care to move its adoption.

Mr. Woodcock pointed out that the title was wrong for this Agenda item and he corrected it to read "Mary C. Walker Endowed Nursing Tuition Grant."

RES-544 It was moved and supported that the following resolution, with corrected title, be adopted:

WHEREAS, This endowed fund was established by a gift from Mrs. Edna Walker and her son, Raymond C. Walker, of Midland, in honor of their daughter and sister, Mary C. Walker, R.N., and

WHEREAS, The grant will be made annually to a student who has completed the third semester of the Clinical Nursing Program at Saginaw Valley State College;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the Board of Control of Saginaw Valley State College accept this gift in perpetuity and express its appreciation to Mrs. Edna Walker and her son, Raymond C. Walker, for their thoughtfulness.

Chairman Kendall asked if there were any discussion.

Mr. Woodcock reported that this was a gift of cash which SVSC would be investing, and since it was an endowment, only the earnings on the principal could be spent for the indicated purpose.

This required Board action, Mr. Woodcock stressed, because it was a long-term contract that would go far beyond this Board or this administration, as long as Saginaw Valley State College existed.

Hearing no further discussion, Chairman Kendall called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried to adopt RES-544 for the establishment of the Mary C. Walker Endowed Nursing Tuition Grant.
8. Recommendation for Approval of the Establishment of the Frank E. Starkweather Trust

Chairman Kendall observed that copies of this recommendation had been included in the Board packets. He asked if anyone would care to move its adoption.

RES-545 It was moved and supported that the following resolution be adopted:

WHEREAS, The Saginaw Valley State College Foundation received a gift on September 24, 1981 of $7,663.98 from the Frank E. Starkweather Trust, and

WHEREAS, The terms of the trust stated the funds are "for use in making loans to qualified students to meet current expenses", and

WHEREAS, Saginaw Valley State College participates in the National Direct Student Loan Program which makes loan money available to qualified students for educational expenses, and

WHEREAS, The Federal government contributes 8/9 of capital requirements and SVSC 1/9 of capital requirements of the NDSLCP;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That Saginaw Valley State College accept the gift of $7,663.98 and utilize it to fund a portion of the College's obligation of 1/9 of the NDSLCP capital.

Chairman Kendall asked if there were any discussion.

Mr. Curtiss referred to the last paragraph of the resolution and asked if the Board adopted this resolution there would be no net increase in the amount of money available for lending to students. Mr. Woodcock responded in the affirmative.

Mr. Curtiss then asked if the administration had any sense of what the intent of the donor was here with respect to the loan fund. Mr. Woodcock indicated there was only the statement that was made in the decedent's will to the Trust which was basically that it was to be used for loans...there was no detail.

Mr. Curtiss indicated that his concern stemmed primarily with the fact that it was important that SVSC kept faith with the contributors and donors to this institu-
tion, and if the donor felt that he was doing something for the institution...in this case, perhaps, to increase the amount of monies available for loans to students...if SVSC, in fact, indirectly just absorbed that money into the General Fund, as the resolution proposed, he thought they would see a slowdown in the rate of contributions...he was concerned about the credibility. He concluded that with the amount of money involved here...it was difficult to work with, and that it did not really warrant a major program.

Mr. Woodcock suggested that if it was desired by to Board to modify the resolution so that the money could be used in the NDSLP program as additional money, it would be easy to do.

Dr. Ryder indicated that another approach would be to proceed as the administration had proposed in the resolution, and let the administration make the decision about how much it wanted to place in the NDSLP fund in relationship to the budget...whether it wanted to stay with the 1/9th it had in there, or not.

Mr. Curtiss suggested that no action be taken on this Agenda item today and that it be tabled and referred to the Business, Finance and Investments Committee for a recommendation at the next regular meeting...he would make a motion to that effect.

BM-622 It was moved and supported that Resolution-545 be tabled and referred to the Business, Finance and Investments Committee for a recommendation at the next regular meeting.

Chairman Kendall asked if there were any further discussion. Hearing none, he called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried to table action on RES-544 and refer it to the Business, Finance and Investments Committee for a recommendation at the next regular meeting.
9. Recommendation for Approval of the Revised SVSC Student Judicial Code

Chairman Kendall referred to the Saginaw Valley State College Judicial Code, copies of which had been provided the Board members in their packets and asked if someone would care to move its adoption.

BM-623 It was moved and supported that the Saginaw Valley State College Judicial Code submitted to the Board of Control, as revised, be approved, with an effective date of September 1, 1982.

Chairman Kendall asked if there were any discussion.

Mrs. Arbury indicated she had read through most of the Judicial Code and found that it consistently referred to the Coordinator of Judicial Programs. She asked who the Coordinator of Judicial Programs was. Dr. Gilbert responded that it was Dr. Philip Beal, the Dean of Students, although they might appoint a separate individual to do that. Mrs. Arbury noted that it was never exactly spelled out, and Dr. Gilbert indicated the reason for that was so that they could assign the responsibility to different people.

Mr. Hamling reported that Dr. Beal, the Dean of Students, and he had discussed Dr. Beal's dual role he had been playing this past year and before, and Dr. Beal had agreed with the students in that there was really a conflict of interest because the Coordinator of Judicial Programs was the one who brought the charges against the students, and formally ran it through the process, whereas the Dean of Student's role should be that of counsel and advice to the students...it sort of ran into a conflict. He noted that Dr. Beal felt a new Coordinator would be hired or someone else appointed within the community...he would be working on that soon, but presently, he had been playing both roles and it had been a problem.

Mrs. Arbury indicated she felt Mr. Hamling had made a good point.
Dr. Ryder observed that the committee had had a chance to review the Revised Saginaw Valley State College Judicial Code, they had discussed it in committee, and this was the administration's recommendation.

Dr. Gilbert commented that the Judicial Code that was currently in effect since 1976 had operated reasonably well...the changes filled in some gaps and made some additions. He stressed that this Code was for student behavior...it was not for administrators or staff...it also didn't pertain to academic matters, such as grade appeals...that was a separate process.

The three key additions or sections in the Code, he said, dealt with (1) alcohol use...policy and procedures on the college campus...(2) residential life judicial process...and (3) mechanism for removing a student from school for a period of time during serious health problems. These three were the major changes.

Also, Dr. Gilbert pointed out, there was one concern he thought Mr. Hamling wanted to discuss...that was the difference in the counsel that SVSC allowed the student to have during the hearing. The college's recommendation was that a student be allowed to have a counsel...staff member, faculty member, or another student, appear at a hearing with that student, and that counsel be able to make a statement for the student, but would not participate in the cross-examination of other witnesses...that would be the responsibility of the student himself. Dr. Gilbert concluded that they had had a number of discussions with Mr. Hamling, and that he would want to make a comment on that issue and present a proposal.

Mr. Hamling pointed out, as Dr. Gilbert had said, that presently the counsel in judicial hearings was able to go into the hearings but was not able to speak at all...the student had to answer his own questions. The counsel could whisper to
the student, which he thought was a hindrance to the student in the first place, because if someone asked the student a question and all of a sudden counsel leaned over and whispered an answer to him, it didn't look too good. Also, Mr. Hamling observed, at Saginaw Valley they didn't expect any of the students to be able to read and write and subtract, and that was why SVSC made them take tests when they were admitted, but the college made sure that the students could before they left.

Mr. Hamling also said that the college wouldn't think that the students were able to bring out testimony that would prove their case or prove their innocence, or able to ask the right questions.

Because of this, he said, he would like to submit an alternate proposal to the Revised Judicial Code that was slightly different than what the administration was proposing, for the Board to consider. He distributed copies of his proposed revision (see Attachment) and noted that the counsel would still be a member of the college community...faculty, staff, or students, who would be able to ask questions of the witnesses, would not be able to answer questions for the student, but would be able to redirect questions at any of the witnesses or the student or the Board.

Mr. Hamling pointed out that there had been some questions raised...there were some lawyers on SVSC's faculty and staff...were they going to turn this into a courtroom type setting...was this going to get into legal questions? According to the Code, he said, there were no rules of admissibility for evidence or testimony, and therefore, it was left up to the Board to decide what evidence was pertinent, or what testimony was valid...and it weighed all that evidence in that manner.

Therefore, he observed, the only advantage someone with a logically trained
mind, or an attorney, or even a faculty member would have was not to object to testimony or to say "this evidence is not admissible because of this" but he or she would have the opportunity to raise questions from the witnesses that might bring a different light to the situation...something, he said, he didn't think the students would be able to do, because they didn't know how to do it.

Mr. Hamling indicated he felt the administration's proposal was definitely a step in the right direction...allowing the counsel to summarize the case at the end of the hearing...but there could be a problem with that too. If the counsel summarized the whole case at the end, he could raise questions and make the Judicial Board's job a lot harder...for example, he could say "this witness had a contradiction in his testimony" or "two witnesses contradicted their testimony" and raise all sorts of questions that would make the decision-process a lot harder. But, Mr. Hamling stressed, by carrying that role one step further, and allowing the counsel to ask questions of the witnesses during the hearing...if he saw contradictions in testimony between witnesses, he could ask questions of the witnesses and bring it out right then...then the questions could be answered and not left until the end.

Mr. Hamling stated that the reason he felt so strongly about this was because there were severe penalties that could be imposed on students in the judicial hearings...they could be expelled from school...suspended...assessed fines for damages...and circumstances surrounding an innocent student might lead the Judicial Board to believe his guilt which could have serious consequences for the student's future...and everything possible should be done to make sure that the innocent was proven innocent.
Mr. Hamling concluded that although he felt that the administration's proposal was at least a step in the right direction, he would like to see it go all the way as he had just proposed...he would accept what the Board of Control decided, but since he personally would not be at SVSC any more, he would hope that the administration would keep reviewing the Judicial Code, regulating it, and seeing that it worked out right...and consider possible changes.

Mr. Hamling stated that he would also like to take the opportunity to thank the Board of Control for letting him work with it this year...it had been a very good experience for him.

Mr. Braun observed that he had raised the same concern that Mr. Hamling had at the committee meeting and he was persuaded with the logic and reasonableness of the position that he had just articulated. It seemed to him, he said, that the whole purpose of these hearings was (1) to afford a forum within the college for the rights of the accused as well as the accuser to be fully and completely heard...(2) to afford the accused reasonable protection...and perhaps most important of all...(3) to make sure that the facts and the truth did come out. That, he stressed, was the whole purpose of the hearings...to make sure that they got down to what the truth was. This was not new at SVSC, Mr. Braun pointed out...for that reason they had had that in their Judicial Code for some time...and as Mr. Hamling said...it could result in something as serious as expulsion...that the student would have the opportunity to be accompanied by a member of the college community, be that a fellow student, faculty member, or someone else. The reasons to him were obvious...there were some students who did not have the experience...perhaps were intimidated by the proceedings...and perhaps simply
Mr. Braun stated that he thought Mr. Hamling's proposal was a good idea... to him, he said, to let the counsel do everything except ask a witness a question went 75% of the way when they ought to be going 100% of the way. He said that he agreed completely with Mr. Hamling that they were not trying to change this into a judicial hearing of any kind...they were not permitting outside attorneys in the hearing...it seemed to him that they would add to the credibility of it... they would add to the fact-finding and the ability to get at the truth...and they would be able to further assure the rights of the parties involved, if they permitted this member of the college community to participate 100% of the way instead of just 75%. Mr. Braun concluded that he had not looked at Mr. Hamling's proposal before, but he would make a motion to amend the original motion to substitute the proposed revision.

BM-624 It was moved and supported that BM-623 be amended to substitute Mr. Hamling's "Proposed Revision of Section III. C." (see Attachment) in place of Section III. C. on Page 24 of the Saginaw Valley State College Revised Judicial Code.

Chairman Kendall asked if there were any discussion on the amendment.

Dr. Gilbert pointed out that the statement made by counsel, according to the administrative proposal, had not said "held until the end of the hearing"...it simply said "he can bring a statement to the All College Judiciary on behalf of the student"...it did not indicate when, during the hearing process, that it might take place.

Dr. Ryder indicated that one of the concerns was to have this similar to a court of law, but not the same, and not to have representation by the legal profession. One of the problems he saw was that SVSC had faculty and staff members who
were lawyers. He asked if it would be unreasonable to exclude them in the process...if they did, he would feel more comfortable...if they were going to abide by all the technicalities and the special role they thought lawyers had with respect to this kind of a situation...and they were not going to have that kind of an environment...then he didn't see how they could expect to have people on the SVSC faculty or staff who were lawyers represent the students...otherwise, he stressed, they might as well open it up to outside counsel.

Mr. Braun stated that personally he had no objection to that kind of a concept, and he agreed with what Dr. Ryder had said, but he pointed out that the huge difference between this kind of a procedure and the judicial procedure was, just exactly as Mr. Hamling had said...there were no imposed technical rules, and he thought it somewhat made it meaningless whether a member of the college community was an attorney or simply another member of the faculty or staff...he didn't think it made a lot of difference.

Mr. Hamling added that since there were no rules of evidence or testimony, there were not going to be objections as to whether somebody was drawing conclusions, opinions, or whatnot...it was always left up to the Board to make their own decisions on the testimony...also if they started trying to exclude members of the faculty, this also could get into a problem...for instance, an English teacher right now was going to Law School...would they exclude him...he was not an attorney yet...but he was going to Law School. He concluded that as far as the role that the counsel would play, any articulate member of the faculty...whether they were in English, Sociology, or Business, would be able to have the same effect as an attorney would in this type of a hearing.
Mr. Kendall noted that one thing he would like to observe was that earlier in the conversation it was mentioned that until the person was proven innocent... their judicial system...inside and outside...should say that a person was innocent until proven guilty...he wanted to make sure that that was clear.

Mr. Rybkowski advised that his earlier experiences with the All-College Judicial Board involved a person who was trained in the legal profession...they ran into some problems in that area because there still was the question of 'due process'...that became the rhetoric of the hearing and also the problem. When they had people who were aspiring to be defenders of justice, they got involved in that role and forgot what they were there for, and it became a three-ring-circus. He observed that was one of the reasons that he personally did not become involved in the All-College Judiciary, because he had the tendency to look at some of the things he had learned in his experience in the criminal justice field for 17 years.

Another thing they had to look at, he noted, was that sometimes the process of the All-College Judiciary left the college and went to the courts to be adjudicated, and one of the precedents that the courts had used in the past was that it was an administrative hearing and that it did not necessarily involve 'due process'... and the college might be opening itself up to conflicting its administrative law with criminal procedures.

Mr. Braun observed that he agreed that his experience had been very similar to Mr. Rybkowski's...he thought this kind of administrative proceeding could get out of hand...but the answer to that was, that in the hearing, the hearing officer had to keep a very firm control...he had to remind everybody that this was not a judicial proceeding...that the primary purpose of this was not to create a record,
but rather to find out what the facts were and what the truth was, and if that
person conducting that hearing would take that same stand, which he or she had
every right to do, then they could at least have the opportunity to avoid the
very thing Mr. Rybkowski had pointed out could happen. If it were permitted,
he cautioned, there were a lot of amateur Clarence Darrows around who would take
the opportunity and enjoy it...what they simply had to say was "hey, wait a
minute...the purpose of this hearing is not to exercise the rules of evidence,
it is to find out what the facts are and the truth is."

Mrs. Darin stated that she agreed with Mr. Braun...the basic reason was to
find out the truth and not worry about all the technicalities...it was having
the person there with them in support...and whether they happened to have a legal
degree or whatever didn't matter, because she knew of institutions that had a Law
School and they utilized those law students to help students to find the truth and
help them in those proceedings...so in support of the students, she thought they
should have someone to help them.

Dr. Gilbert pointed out that if they were going to take that route, they would
also have to look at the question of counsel for the person bringing the charge...
which might be another student...and that wording was not in the Judicial Code any-
where...they had to look at that side also...they didn't want counsel on one side
and not counsel on the other.

Mr. Kendall asked if this meant that if a student chose a faculty member having
a law degree that the other side had to go out and find a lawyer to bring back.

Dr. Gilbert responded that it still had to be a member of the college community.

Mr. Braun added that the important key was that it was a member of this college
community which kept it administrative rather than judicial.

Mr. Kendall observed they would have a very difficult time to exclude a faculty member that had a law degree or to defend that position.

Dr. Gilbert noted, that in speaking with Dr. Beal, who had to work very closely with this Code, that he would certainly rather stay with the current wording as proposed by the administration...even look at it for a year's time and see if they were having any major problems with it.

Chairman Kendall indicated they had an amendment that they had to deal with. He asked if there were any further discussion on the amendment.

Mr. Curtiss asked, if the amendment were adopted, what the next step would be in terms of granting counsel for the other side.

Dr. Gilbert responded that they would have to work on the wording for that...perhaps it could be passed with the understanding that the administration develop similar wording which it could bring back to the committee next month.

Mr. Curtiss asked if the accuser's right to be represented was limited anywhere in the Code.

Dr. Gilbert indicated he would have to check...it didn't provide for counsel.

Mr. Curtiss asked if it did limit, and Dr. Gilbert stated that he didn't think so.

Chairman Kendall asked Dr. Gilbert how he would like the amendment worded.

Dr. Gilbert indicated what the administration would want the Board to do would be to give the administration some general wording in the motion to that effect...let the administration write it, but get it in with that intent, because they would like to get it printed.
Mr. Braun stated that he would have no objection to changing the amendment, providing Mrs. Darin approved, to include the concept that the accuser likewise be permitted the same rights...that might require a change in the language, or perhaps it simply could be added. Mrs. Darin approved.

The expanded motion to amend would read as follows:

BM-624 It was moved and supported that BM-623 be amended to substitute Mr. Hamling's "Proposed Revision of Section III. C." (see Attachment) in place of Section III. C. on Page 24 of the Saginaw Valley State College Revised Judicial Code, and that the accuser likewise be permitted the same rights as set forth in Mr. Hamling's "Proposed Revision of Section III. C." with the wording to be developed by the administration to be included in the Saginaw Valley State College Revised Judicial Code.

Hearing no further discussion on the amendment, as expanded, Chairman Kendall called for a vote.

Motion on expanded amendment unanimously carried.

Chairman Kendall asked if there were any further discussion on the main motion, as amended. Hearing none, he called for a vote.

Motion, as amended, carried, with one dissenting vote.

10. Recommendation for Approval of Implementation of Legislative Action Regarding Campus Police Authority

Dr. Ryder noted that the material for this Agenda item had not gotten back in time to include in the Board packets. He distributed copies of the resolution which he asked the Board members to take the time to read. He stated that he would recommend its approval.

RES-546 It was moved and supported that the attached resolution be adopted.

Dr. Ryder indicated the resolution was self-explanatory...this action had come
c. The student is entitled to be accompanied by counsel of his choice: counsel shall be a member of the faculty, staff, or student body of the college. The student or his counsel may ask questions of the judicial body or any witnesses. Further, the counsel or the student may summarize the case at the conclusion of the hearing.
RESOLUTION OF THE
SAGINAW VALLEY STATE COLLEGE
BOARD OF CONTROL

WHEREAS, Act Number 21 of the Public Acts of 1982 (hereinafter the "Act") provides that the Board of Control of Saginaw Valley State College (hereinafter the "Board") may establish a public safety department and prescribe the powers and duties of the public safety department and prescribe the conditions of employment of its public safety officers, and

WHEREAS, the Act further provides that the public safety officers shall be peace officers of the State of Michigan having the authority of police officers under Act Number 300 of the Public Acts of 1949, as amended, and be vested with the same powers and authority as deputy sheriffs in the county where property of the Board is situated, and shall enforce state law and the rules and ordinances of the college, and

WHEREAS, the jurisdiction of the public safety officers under the Act shall be limited to the property of Saginaw Valley State College and property running through or adjacent to the college,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that a Department of Public Safety is hereby established, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the public safety officers of Saginaw Valley State College shall be peace officers of the State of Michigan having the authority of police officers under Act Number 300 of the Public Acts of 1949, as amended, and such authority as deputy sheriffs in any county where property of the Saginaw Valley State College is situated, and shall enforce state law, ordinances of Saginaw Valley State College, and any College regulations which are designated for Department of Public Safety enforcement by the President or his designee, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the jurisdiction of the public safety officer shall be limited to the property of Saginaw Valley State College and public property running through or adjacent to Saginaw Valley State College, except that College public safety officers may respond to calls for assistance from other police agencies, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the public safety officers shall be required to meet the training standards of Act Number 203 of the Public Acts of 1965, as amended, and
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the public safety officers shall be duly sworn and shall take the oath of office of a public safety officer as set forth in the attached Oath of Office designate as Exhibit "A" and by this reference made a part hereof which oath of office is hereby adopted by the Board as the oath to be administered by the College President, or his designee, to all Saginaw Valley State College peace officers.

May 7, 1982
EDG
SAGINAW VALLEY STATE COLLEGE
OATH OF OFFICE

* * *

I, ____________________________, do solemnly swear that I
(name)
will support the Constitution of the United States and the Constitu-
tion of this State, and that I will faithfully discharge the duties
of the position of a Saginaw Valley State College— (position) —
to the best of my ability.

(Signature)

Oath of Office administered by:

(Name)

(Signature)

(Title)

Subscribed and sworn to
before me this ______ day of ____________,
19______.

NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR
SAGINAW COUNTY, MICHIGAN
My Commission Expires: ____________

EXHIBIT "A"
about as a result of the problem SVSC had some time back when the sheriff's department came on campus, took control, when SVSC didn't want them to, and it didn't turn out as well as SVSC thought it could have. So, the legislature had passed legislation giving SVSC police officers full authority for control on campus. Previously, SVSC's officers were deputy sheriffs and did not have control on campus if the sheriff's office were brought in.

Dr. Ryder pointed out that there were several other institutions interested in this for themselves, but the legislature felt at this time, at least, they wanted to go ahead with this and see how it worked out, and then they would look at it again in another year for some of the other institutions...the Boards of Michigan Tech and the University of Michigan already had this authority.

Mr. Rybkowski briefly elaborated on the scope of the SVSC Public Safety Department, after which Chairman Kendall called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried to adopt RES-546 approving implementation of legislative action regarding campus police authority.

Dr. Ryder suggested to Chairman Kendall that at this time it was important, and perhaps symbolic that they take the next step and swear into office their Director of Public Safety, Zane L. Rybkowski, so he would like to proceed with that. He asked Mr. Rybkowski to step forward, and he administered the Oath of Office as per the attached.

Chairman Kendall called a recess at 10:53 a.m. The meeting reconvened at 11:18 a.m.

IV. INFORMATION AND DISCUSSION ITEMS
SAGINAW VALLEY STATE COLLEGE

OATH OF OFFICE

* * *

I, Zane L. Rybkowski, do solemnly swear that I will support the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of this State, and that I will faithfully discharge the duties of the position of a Saginaw Valley State College police officer to the best of my ability.

Zane L. Rybkowski
(Signature)

Oath of Office administered by:

Jack M. Ryder
(Name)

Jack M. Ryder
(Signature)

PRESIDENT
(Title)

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 7th day of May, 1983.

Paula W. Chien
NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR SAGINAW COUNTY, MICHIGAN
My Commission Expires: 4/5/86
11. **Report on Executive Committee Planning Retreat**

Dr. Ryder asked Dr. Ann K. Dickey, Director of Institutional Research and Planning, to comment.

Dr. Dickey observed that as all of the Board knew, better than anyone, the administration and Board of Control had been continuously planning for the development of this institution for nearly 20 years. During the last two years, they had, with many participants, begun a formalized planning process. They began last year with the academic departments and a few administrative units submitting proposals as a part of the planning process...this last year, all units of the college had participated. She received proposals from nearly 60 departments and offices...from all the deans and all four vice presidents.

Dr. Dickey noted that what she had asked these units to do was to discuss their goals for the years from now through 1985-86...for the next four years in other words...and their specific objectives for the 1982-83 year. In doing that, the administration approved a set of planning assumptions. In thinking about the 1982-83 year, the departments and offices were asked to assume that about the best thing that would happen to Saginaw Valley State College's resources was that they would keep up with inflation, and the more likely possibility was that there would be no increase in its resources next year.

Consequently, she said, she asked the planning committees to divide their objectives into two types...those that would require some additional resources...and those that would not...and she did receive a lot of proposals about ways to improve the operation...various programs and offices, which would require no
additional resources.

The first three days of this week, the Executive Committee held a Retreat to consider these proposals from all over the college. On Monday, it met on the campus...the Executive Committee alone in the morning right in this room...and then it adjourned to the large private dining room in Doan Center to have lunch with the members of the Planning Resource Council, and during the afternoon discussed a number of issues with them, including the linkage of planning and budgeting...how they should set the priorities, and a number of other issues.

On Tuesday and Wednesday, the Executive Committee retreated only as far as her house in Midland...they were not spending money on travel this year, and so the group found a very low rental facility in Midland and centered their discussion during those two days on sources and uses of college operating funds, sources and uses of the Foundation funds, the issue of linking planning and budgeting, proposals for program development, in the area of development and college relations, proposals for development of the academic program, other concerns in the academic affairs area, and temporary solutions to space problems while they were waiting for construction of new permanent facilities.

Dr. Dickey stated that the Executive Committee would be meeting again on June 3 and 4 to discuss proposals from the Business Affairs offices and from Administration and Student Services offices.

Dr. Dickey concluded that when the Executive Committee had done this, then it would be ready to move with the Board of Control and talk with them about the most important issues in the development of the college for the next few years.

Dr. Ryder thanked Dr. Dickey for this report.
12. **Discuss and Set Dates for Board of Control Planning Meetings**

Dr. Ryder stated that what he would like to propose for consideration of the Board was that they meet either on Sunday, June 13, preceding the next Board meeting on Monday, June 14, or on Tuesday, June 15, the day after the Board meeting.

Mrs. Darin indicated she couldn't meet on Sunday and preferred Tuesday.

Mrs. Arbury stated that she would not be available during the month of June.

Dr. Ryder observed that since it was a Regular Board Meeting on Monday, June 14, they obviously ought to have five members present, even though all could not be present.

Discussion revealed that the preference was to start on Sunday, June 13 with dinner and a meeting to follow, and continue on after Board committee meetings on Monday, June 14...with no plans for Tuesday.

Dr. Ryder indicated he would get word out to the Board members with respect to Sunday night, June 13.

In addition to these sessions, Dr. Ryder stressed, he would expect it would be necessary to have a similar session probably in August around the August Board meeting, which should take care of it.

13. **Report on National League of Nursing Accreditation of the SVSC Nursing Program**

Dr. Ryder read into the record a letter to him dated April 22, 1982 from the National League for Nursing (see Attachment) and then called upon Dr. Yien to comment and introduce Dr. Crystal Lange, Dean of Nursing and Allied Health Sciences.

Dr. Yien said his comments wouldn't be too long because all honors went to
April 22, 1982

Dr. Jack M. Ryder, President
Saginaw Valley State College
2210 Pierce Road
University Center, Michigan 48710

Dear Dr. Ryder:

On April 19-23, 1982 the National League for Nursing's Board of Review for Baccalaureate and Higher Degree Programs voted to grant initial accreditation to the baccalaureate program in nursing. National League for Nursing initial accreditation of a nursing program is effective as of the date it is granted by the Board of Review and is retroactive to the 8-month period prior to the Board's decision.

The Board's comments and recommendations will be sent to you in a second letter to follow.

Sincerely,

Sr. Mary McCarthy, R.N., Ed.D.
Secretary to Board of Review for Baccalaureate and Higher Degree Programs

cc: Dr. Crystal M. Lange, Dean
Regular Monthly Meeting

Dr. Lange. He noted that on some occasions they linked one person with a program and this was one of those occasions...without Dr. Lange, he stressed, he doubted SVSC would have achieved what it had today. Without further ado, he called upon Dr. Lange.

Dr. Lange noted that the accreditation process had three phases. First, was the self-study phase in which they examined their program and every facet of it...write out a self-study report and even have a volume of appendices...and that was done in January of 1981.

The second phase was a site visit and SVSC had two site visitors at its campus for a full week, during which they visited SVSC's classes, its clinical settings, talked with students, talked with faculty, to validate, verify and amplify SVSC's report. Their action in April of 1981 was to defer SVSC's accreditation and they spoke to nine areas of concern. Their faculty and administration then had a year to address those areas and prepare a supplemental report which was submitted in February 1982.

Having achieved this accreditation, Dr. Lange said, was very significant for their graduates, their students and their faculty. Their graduates not only would be able to more readily matriculate into the Master's level program without a lot of testing and validation but also were now eligible for officer appointments in the military and would get preferential consideration in job consideration, particularly in Public Health.

Their students would benefit since they were now eligible for funding under the Veteran's administration...they also would be eligible to be members of the ROTC and receive funding under those programs. In addition, this would be a
distinct asset for SVSC in recruiting students inasmuch as the most frequently asked question of her office and the Office of Admissions was "is your program NLN accredited?" If not, they looked for other programs.

Dr. Lange noted there were 13 baccalaureate programs in the State of Michigan so SVSC joined the ranks of those that were NLN accredited in this state. There were also 26 associate degree programs, five or six of those enjoyed NLN accreditation.

Dr. Lange said she was really pleased to achieve this...it was a major asset for SVSC in recruiting faculty, because the question that faculty, or potential faculty asked was "is your program NLN accredited?" In addition, she added, SVSC's faculty would be eligible as accreditation visitors now that SVSC was accredited... so that would be another opportunity for SVSC to strengthen its program.

She thanked the administration for its support...it had been really excellent and she expressed her appreciation for the time to make this presentation today.

Congratulations were extended to Dr. Lange by the members of the Board and Dr. Ryder thanked her for being present.

14. Introduction of SVSC's First Fulbright Scholar, Dr. Arthur G. Andrewes

Dr. Ryder called upon Dr. Yien to present SVSC's Fulbright scholar.

Dr. Yien gave a brief history of what Fulbright scholarships were by noting that in the late 1940's Congressman James William Fulbright introduced a Bill to the House in order to promote international understandings and suggested the U.S. Government should spend some money providing for those scholars in order to send them to foreign countries. Subsequently, every year, up to about 500 scholars, all of them established scholars in their own fields, received awards from the
International Scholars Exchange Council. This year, Dr. Yien said, this institution was honored to have Arthur G. Andrewes as the first SVSC Fulbright scholar. He introduced Dr. Andrewes and asked him to make a few comments.

First of all, Dr. Andrewes observed, one had to be more lucky than good in getting one of these awards, because the competition was extremely competitive. What he would be doing overseas, he hoped, would be a lot of hard work and a lot of hard playing.

The type of research that he would be doing would be in the area of synthetic organic chemistry, which was not very attractive to anybody who was reading a grant...he had addressed the problem such that the person reading his application would understand that it had direct application for research involving therapeutic aspects relating to cancer.

The key to this whole thing, Dr. Andrewes stressed, and he just happened to receive a copy of "The Fulbright Senior Scholarship Award Announcement" in the mail the other day, was to get the institution to say "we need a person with this type of expertise" and that type of expertise was published in the publication he had just mentioned, listing the awards for every country, and the type of disciplines they were looking for in that particular country...so in his case, he had to ask the institution to go to bat for him...see that it would provide the necessary fringe benefits for him, and then, his application was thrown in with the other 10,000 applications for the same thing.

The awards, he said, went through several stages...the initial stage was that the candidate had to get his own application and fill it out...send it to Washington for initial screening...overseas for screening by International bodies, and finally,
if one were lucky...and at this point, one certainly must be lucky, besides having something that was salable, you were awarded the fellowship. Dr. Andrewes said he just happened to be very fortunate, and he wanted to thank his colleagues and the institution for support of his application. He indicated he planned to leave in the first week of August and intended to be away for about one calendar year... he would be going to the Technical University of Norway in Trondheim, where he expected to spend the year.

Congratulations were extended to Dr. Andrewes by the Board members present, and Dr. Ryder thanked him for his presentation.

15. Sponsored Programs Report

Dr. Ryder distributed copies of the Sponsored Programs Activity Report for March 1, 1982-April 30, 1982 and asked Dr. Sharp if he would like to comment.

Dr. Sharp stated he didn't think there had been much change from last month... nothing new at this time.

Dr. Ryder noted that they still had a number of grants pending and two that were denied.

Dr. Yien reported that Item 2. Bilingual Education Training under II. Grants Pending, had been approved...they were now negotiating the total amount.

Mr. Curtiss referred to Item 5. Business & Industrial Development Institute under II. Grants Pending, and asked if the final revision of the original grant request had been completed.

Dr. Ryder responded that it had not...it would be, and it should go out early next week. He added that as he understood it, the indications were positive on the national level.
16. Personnel Report

Dr. Ryder distributed copies of the May 7, 1982 Personnel Report and noted that it was really straightforward and he didn't have any comment other than to say that the acquisition of faculty this year had been very slow...clearly SVSC, as well as other institutions in the State of Michigan, were having difficulty in attracting faculty from out of the state and even within the state. The problems they had had at the state level had been written up in newspapers and other publications, which certainly didn't help. The whole economy in the State of Michigan didn't help in that respect, and Dr. Ryder stressed, as he had pointed out to the members of the Board in his recent memo, he certainly hoped this turned around soon, because that could be a very serious problem in the state.

V. OTHER ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION

16A. Recognition of Mr. Jerry Hamling, President of Student Government

Mr. Curtiss observed that since this was Mr. Hamling's last Board meeting, as he understood it, they should express their appreciation to him for participating with the Board during the course of the last year...he personally appreciated it.

Dr. Ryder noted that Mr. Hamling would be attending the Wayne State University Law School in the fall, but this afternoon he would be participating in the Conference Track Meet on campus...perhaps after the committee meetings, the Board members could go over to the track and watch...Mr. Hamling would be running at 4:00 p.m.

On behalf of the Board of Control, Chairman Kendall thanked Mr. Hamling for his year's participation with the Board, and wished him good luck, not only for
his future at Wayne State University Law School, but certainly also for his success in the Track Meet today.

Mr. Hamling expressed his thanks to the Board.

17. Executive Session to Discuss Pending Legal Action and Collective Bargaining

Dr. Ryder stated that the discussion under this topic would be exempt under the "Open Meetings Act" and therefore, he requested that the Board move to go into Executive Session for the sole purpose of discussing pending legal action and collective bargaining, after which the Regular Board Meeting would reconvene only for the purpose of adjournment.

BM-625 It was moved and supported that the Board go into Executive Session for the sole purpose of discussing pending legal action and collective bargaining, after which the Regular Board Meeting would reconvene only for the purpose of adjournment.

Chairman Kendall asked if there were any discussion. Hearing none, he called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried.

The Regular Board Meeting recessed at 11:55 a.m.

The Executive Session convened at 12:00 noon.

The Executive Session adjourned at 12:25 p.m.

The Regular Board Meeting reconvened at 12:26 p.m.

VI. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to transact, Chairman Kendall adjourned the meeting at 12:26 p.m.
Respectfully submitted,

John W. Kendall--Chairman

Richard H. Gilmore--Vice Chairman
(In absence of Secretary)

Opal M. Colvin--Recording Secretary