

SAGINAW VALLEY STATE COLLEGE

BOARD OF CONTROL

MINUTES

MARCH 8, 1982

INDEX OF ACTIONS

	<u>PAGE</u>
RES-534 RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL OF AN SVSC AFFIRMATIVE ACTION PLAN <u>APPROVED</u>	9
RES-535 RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION REVISING BUDGETARY CONTROL PROCEDURES <u>APPROVED</u>	11
RES-536 RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION RATIFYING VARIANCES BETWEEN THE 1980-81 GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES AND THE INITIAL OPERATING BUDGET <u>APPROVED</u>	12
BM-618 RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL OF MODIFICATIONS IN THE TUITION AND FEE SCHEDULE FOR 1982-83 <u>APPROVED</u>	13
RES-537 RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL OF CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF AN SVSC RESEARCH AND EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT FOUNDATION <u>APPROVED</u>	20
BM-619 ELECTION OF THREE BOARD OF CONTROL MEMBERS TO SERVE ON THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SAGINAW VALLEY STATE COLLEGE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT FOUNDATION <u>APPROVED</u>	26
RES-538 RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL OF THE APPOINTMENT OF AUDITORS <u>APPROVED</u>	26
RES-539 RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL OF THE ESTABLISHMENT OF AN OFFICIAL ENROLLMENT COUNT DATE <u>APPROVED</u>	29

		<u>PAGE</u>
BM-620	RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL OF THE APPOINTMENT OF MR. DAVID D. WIERMAN, PUBLISHER OF THE SAGINAW NEWS, TO THE BOARD OF FELLOWS <u>APPROVED</u>	30
BM-621	RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL OF THE APPOINTMENT OF MR. W. BLAIR THOMPSON, GENERAL MANAGER ELECT OF THE SAGINAW STEERING GEAR DIVISION, GMC, TO THE BOARD OF FELLOWS <u>APPROVED</u>	31
RES-540	RESOLUTION HONORING THE SVSC WOMEN'S INDOOR TRACK TEAM FOR EARNING SEVEN ALL-AMERICAN BERTHS AND FINISHING THIRD IN THE NAIA NATIONAL INDOOR MEET <u>APPROVED</u>	31
RES-541	RESOLUTION COMMENDING THE SVSC MEN'S INDOOR TRACK TEAM FOR ITS FIRST-EVER NATIONAL CHAMPIONSHIP <u>APPROVED</u>	32

MINUTES

1982- 30

BOARD OF CONTROL
SAGINAW VALLEY STATE COLLEGE

REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING
Pioneer Room--Pioneer Hall of Engineering and Technology
March 8, 1982

Present: Arbury
Braun
Curtiss
Darin
Gilmore
Kendall
Saltzman

Others

Present: Colvin
Dickey
Gilbert
Gill
Hamling
Rummel
Ryder
Sharp
Smith
Woodcock
Yien
Press (2)

Absent: Williams, excused

I. CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Kendall gaveled the meeting to order at 9:41 a.m. and observed that a quorum was present.

II. PROCEDURAL ITEMS

1. Approval of Minutes of Regular Monthly Meeting held on January 11, 1982

Chairman Kendall noted that the Minutes of the last Regular Monthly Meeting held on January 11, 1982 were mailed. He asked if there were any corrections or additions. Hearing none, he declared the Minutes approved as mailed.

2. Official Representative of Faculty Association

Chairman Kendall asked if there were an official representative of the SVSC Faculty Association present and Dr. Ryder indicated there was not.

3. Communications and Requests to Appear before the Board

Chairman Kendall asked if there were any communications and requests to appear before the Board and Dr. Ryder advised there were none.

4. Remarks by the President

Chairman Kendall asked Dr. Ryder if he had any remarks and Dr. Ryder responded that he did.

Dr. Ryder recalled that at the last two Board meetings he had alerted the Board members to what was happening at the Federal level with respect to student aid. At the time of the last meeting, the President had not made his formal recommendations, but that had now taken place and it was pretty much in line with what had been expected.

Dr. Ryder indicated he was going to distribute some material for the Board members to look at which reviewed the basic problem as he saw it, and which he thought most people in colleges and universities across the country saw it today as well. This was the first time, Dr. Ryder noted, that public and private institutions, and many others in various areas that related to education in the country had come together in one body and almost with one voice as to the concern over the proposed budget reductions in student financial aid.

Two publications relating to student financial aid..."A News Release dated February 18, 1982 from The University of Michigan"...and "A News Release dated February 22, 1982 from Don Riegle, U.S. Senator, Michigan" (see Attachments) were distributed by Dr. Ryder.

ATTACHMENT

11. 4. Remarks by the President

February 18, 1982 (31)
Contact: Joel S. Berger
Phone: (313) 764-9238

RECEIVED

FEB 23 1982

The University of Michigan

news

Information Services
6008 Fleming Administration Bldg.
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

RELEASE ON RECEIPT

ANN ARBOR -- A resolution concerning federal support of student financial aid was passed unanimously at a meeting today (Feb. 18) of more than 40 Michigan college presidents and their financial aid officers.

The gathering was held at the Gerald R. Ford Library on The University of Michigan campus at the suggestion of U-M President Harold T. Shapiro.

The gathering was the first by presidents of Michigan's community colleges, independent colleges, and public colleges and universities in more than a decade.

Text of the resolution follows:

"As presidents of over forty of Michigan's colleges and universities, we would like to express our concern for the future of federal support of student financial aid.

"We believe that, if the full cutbacks currently proposed by the Reagan Administration are enacted, they may have tremendous impact on access to higher education for many American families.

"If a decision were reached greatly to diminish the availability of education for hundreds of thousands of our citizens, we believe that that decision should be made in full recognition of the implications for our national interest.

"We all recognize that certain adjustments are necessary if our country is to live within its means. We agree that higher education must participate in these adjustments and we are ready to do so. Our concern is that the proposed cuts are precipitous ones, which will have the effect of isolating whole strata of our population from the benefits of higher education at a time when our country is much in need of the strength that comes from highly trained people and the wisdom that comes from an educated citizenry.

"We believe that there is an appropriate role for the federal government to play in higher education and that federal financial aid programs offer the government a way of playing this role through guaranteeing the widest possible latitude of choice to individual students seeking an education. Just as there is an appropriate level for national investment in physical capital, so too there is an appropriate level for a national investment in human capital. The proposed financial aid cuts will have the result of decreasing our national investment in human capital to an unacceptably low level. We urge the citizens of the State of Michigan to make their opinion on this proposed federal action known to their senators and representatives."

#

(FinAid; Shapiro) (R1-3; Ed1-5; EWA; DT) [0438]

jsb

NEWS RELEASE**RECEIVED**

MAR 2 1982

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

Don Riegle**U. S. SENATOR, MICHIGAN**

1205 Dirksen Washington, D.C. 20510 (202) 224-4822

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
February 22, 1982CONTACT: Mike Russell
Mary Conklin

LANSING-- U.S. Senator Donald Riegle said the proposed Reagan Administration budget cuts in college loan and grant programs could be devastating for the economic future of Michigan. "These shortsighted and damaging cuts will hurt our country and our future," said Riegle, "and are an example of misguided priorities where billions of scarce Federal budget dollars are being squandered on tobacco subsidies, the neutron bomb and tax giveaways to the oil and gas companies."

At a news conference in the State Capitol, Riegle said nearly 107,000 Michigan college students will be hurt in some way by proposed cuts in the several government college aid programs now available to those who qualify. The Senator said: "I have to agree with the comments from Michigan universities and colleges we have talked to regarding these cuts -- an affordable college education for the sons and daughters of the working middle class families of this state could be out of the question if these new Reagan cuts are approved. Just a few miles from here, officials at Michigan State University have called the cuts 'devastating', telling me that Michigan will lose its best potential teachers, researchers, and scholars -- just when we'll need all of that talent to rebuild the economy of Michigan and to compete aggressively in a more competitive world marketplace."

Riegle, a member of the Senate Budget Committee which will consider the drastic cuts to higher education, told the news conference he is committed to opposing them. He said: "Now more than ever, it's critical that we make it possible for our young people to prepare to compete in our increasingly sophisticated and technological world."

According to Riegle, the cuts represent a 50% slash in student aid and could force thousands of Michigan students to drop out of college. "And if they are forced to leave college," said Riegle, "they will be facing a job market where the unemployment rate is now 16% and the chances of finding a job are near zero. For those students who will attempt to borrow the large amount of money needed to continue their schooling, they will face a prohibitively high prime interest rate of 17% and the likelihood that struggling businesses seeking funds will have exhausted all available credit."

Riegle, also a member of the Senate Labor and Human Resources Committee which sets the level for Federal help to students, had ordered a staff study on the effects of the aid cuts on Michigan colleges. Some of the results are as follows:

Effects of the Administration's proposed budget cuts on higher education in Michigan:

PELL GRANTS - These basic education opportunity grants (BEOGS) would be cut 40%

- No one with a family income of over \$14,000 would be eligible
- maximum award would be limited to \$1,600 a year, a 12% cut for the neediest students in the face of college costs which are rising 15-20% a year
- 44,600 Michigan students would be eliminated from the Pell Grant Program

WORK STUDY - Would be cut 30%

- 7,849 Michigan students would have necessary job opportunities eliminated
- Supplemental education opportunity grants (SEOG) would be eliminated
- State student incentive grants (SSIG) would be eliminated
- National direct student loan program (NDSL) would be eliminated
- 38,950 Michigan students would be affected

In addition to the program cuts suggested by the Administration, several changes in the Guaranteed Student Loan Program, if approved, would go into effect on April 1, 1982:

- 15,000 graduate and professional students (law, medicine, etc.) would no longer be eligible for subsidized deferred interest loans
- All loans would be based on remaining financial need (cost of instruction minus family contribution and other financial aid)
- Origination fee (now 5%) would be doubled to 10%
- Students would be required to repay loans at market interest rates (rather than current 9%) beginning two years after graduation
- Loan insurance and reinsurance premiums would be increased

In compiling the study, Senator Riegle asked a cross-section of Michigan colleges and universities to provide their brief assessments of the damage that would follow if the Reagan budget cuts were approved.

ADRIAN COLLEGE, ADRIAN . . . 97% of our students are receiving aid - cuts would be disastrous, without even increasing our tuition, our students would be paying 20% more for living expenses.

OLIVET COLLEGE, OLIVET . . . We're scared to death and plan to become a complete labor program school, as attrition occurs, we will replace staff with student workers.

MICHIGAN TECH, HOUGHTON . . . Education will be limited to the very rich and the very poor. Technology is the key to our economic problems. 73% of our students rely on the guaranteed student loan program and some form of financial aid. About 85% of our graduate students receive GSL's and we're proud of our default rate of only 1.5%.

JACKSON COMMUNITY COLLEGE, JACKSON . . . 30 to 35% of our students receive Pell Grants. We expect our enrollment to go down 15% at a minimum as a result of cuts in the Pell Grant program. Cuts in the college work study program will hurt. We're using every dollar we can get hold of.

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ANN ARBOR . . . 60% of U of M's 45,000 students receive some financial aid, colleges will suffer because they're going to be recruiting students from a much narrower band of the socio-economic structure - those who can pay.

THOMAS M. COOLEY LAW SCHOOL, LANSING . . . 75% of our students need some form of financial aid, they will have a difficult time getting jobs to make ends meet because of poor employment prospects in the Lansing area. College educations will be available to the very rich and the very poor - the middle class loses.

WESTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY, KALAMAZOO . . . 65% of our students receive some form of aid. As a result of cuts, significant numbers will not be able to attend WMU. Coupling federal cuts with state aid cuts, we expect reductions in academic and administrative staffs.

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING . . . The cuts are devastating and mean we could lose our best potential teachers, scholars and researchers. 62% of our 40,000 students depend on federal aid to education...this figure jumps to 75% for minority students.

WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY, DETROIT . . . 90% of our medical students receive types of financial aid which will now disappear. Because of Michigan's economic problems, tuition at WSU is already double that of other major urban universities. 135 faculty contracts probably won't be renewed.

Dr. Ryder noted that the first news release came about as a result of a February 18 meeting concerning federal support of student financial aid called by President Harold T. Shapiro of the University of Michigan that Dr. Gilbert, Mr. Gill and he attended. He reviewed the news release briefly.

Dr. Ryder reviewed pages 2 and 3 of the Riegle news release in depth and noted that with respect to Saginaw Valley State College it was estimated that somewhere between 800 and 900 students were going to be affected to such an extent that it was a real question of whether or not they were going to be able to attend. Obviously, he said, this could be up to 25% of SVSC's student body, and this all would come at a time when the state had such limited resources that the administration was recommending a 9.1% tuition increase today, at the same time that students were finding it extremely difficult to be employed, particularly in this state.

Dr. Ryder reiterated that at the national level there was a proposal to cut back on student financial aid...the state was providing insufficient funds for SVSC to hold the line on tuition, and the students couldn't get jobs. This, he stressed, was a very bad position to be in...he personally felt that it was in the national interest that college and university students be supported at the same level, if not higher, than what it was currently. If one had to take a position for where the funds came from...he was not sure about it...he thought the Congress should be in a position to determine that better than any one individual could. In his judgment, if it meant looking at some reduction in the proposed defense budget, it seemed to him that student financial aid was in the order of national defense as well, and the money would be better spent. By placing more emphasis on education, and particularly higher education, he concluded, it simply meant that

the government would not be increasing its defense budget at as fast a rate as that proposed.

Dr. Ryder observed that he might be "going out on a limb" but he personally was for a strong defense of this nation...he had no problem with this...a lot of people didn't agree with that. On the other hand, he stressed, it was a question of how fast the government did this...and at what expense it did it...he didn't think it was in our national interest or important to national defense to move this fast on cutting student financial aid.

Dr. Ryder pointed out that the federal education budget...with respect to financial aid...took a 12% cut last year...it wasn't as if they hadn't participated in the cuts that were made. They were all interested in getting inflation under control, but now, they were talking about additional cuts...not just for the coming year, 1982-83, but an even larger piece to be taken from the 1983-84 student financial aid budget.

In an article in a national publication, Dr. Ryder recalled, it had shown that in the State of Michigan almost \$61 million would be lost and 97,368 student awards would be lost if President Reagan's budgetary proposals were put in place. One of the most important programs, in his judgment, Dr. Ryder emphasized, was the Work Study program, which not only provided benefits to the student from the financial point of view, but from the development of work habits as well. Also, he expressed concern over the proposed ineligibility of graduate and professional students in the Guaranteed Student Loan program. The effect would be negative on the graduate student's education at a time when it seemed to him the U.S. was trying to mount a defense force which would be able to cope with Russian developments in the last 10 years. Dr. Ryder observed it didn't seem to fit together in terms

of research the United States needed to do and the need for graduate students to do much of that in labs in the colleges and universities across the country.

Dr. Ryder displayed a full page from yesterday's Saginaw News and noted that virtually the entire sheet was devoted to Federal aid for college students dwindling, college tuition rates going up...they were going up all over the country...even if the President's recommended cuts were not put in place, they were still going to go up substantially. It dealt with SVSC, and then it showed the proposed cuts in Federal aid here and the meaning of each of them. This was a very good story, Dr. Ryder concluded.

Dr. Ryder indicated he had made his comments in the hope that the Board members would evaluate, review the material he had distributed, as well as other material he would be sending out in the next week or two, and let their concerns be voiced with their legislators and with the President's office as to their anxiety over this matter. He concluded that this was very serious in terms of the national defense, as he had said earlier, and he believed that they were seeing a mounting resistance to these cuts across the whole country...he hoped that it continued. He then asked if there were any questions or comments.

Mrs. Arbury stated that she thought it would be wise for the Board members, as Dr. Ryder had asked them to do before, to write to Washington...she had done it a couple of times...she had gotten so exercised about it. It was so stupid, she said, to cut back on federal student financial aid and then expect to have people who would have not only the technology for all of the defense hardware, but also the wisdom and thoughtfulness of how to use it...it just scared her.

Dr. Ryder added that there had been abuses, particularly in the Guaranteed Student Loan program whereby an individual could borrow money under the Guaranteed

Student Loan program, and if he or she didn't need to use it, put it into the bank and earn interest during the period of time while in school, and then pay it back under the Guaranteed Student Loan program. In other words, the money really wasn't needed for school expenses and was banked to earn interest. This was an abuse, Dr. Ryder stated, and personally, he thought the abuse was made by some of the more economically privileged people of their society, because they were the ones who knew the most about it, and it was not in any way legitimate. If they looked at it in one way, they could say, 'well, that's good business' but from his point of view, Dr. Ryder stressed, it was unethical business, and that kind of thing was going to be cleaned up. That didn't mean, however, that the whole program, which he thought had been very effective, should be eliminated on that basis.

Mr. Curtiss pointed out that there were some discrepancies between Senator Riegle's news release and other information he had seen, so that if the Board members were writing letters, they should be sure they had the right information. The colleges and universities were saying that a family of four with an income of \$18,000 or more would not be eligible...Senator Riegle said \$14,000 and also pointed to a 12% decline in the maximum amount of award at the two-year decline from \$1,800 last year to \$1,670 this year and \$1,600 next year. It was a little misleading, he concluded, and asked for clarification.

Mr. Gill advised that SVSC came under the "forward funding formula" so for 1982-83, the family income would be \$18,000...what Riegle was referring to was for 1983-84...the budget they were talking about, and if implemented, it would go down to \$14,000 for a family of four.

Mr. Curtiss noted that this was going to have its most serious impact on

what they used to call the "middle income group" the vast majority of Americans.

Dr. Ryder agreed and indicated that was the reason the Guaranteed Student Loan program was put in place in 1979 and the BEOG changed...the middle income people of America were up in arms because they felt that the people who were economically deprived were getting support to go to school, and the middle income families, with two or three children going to college at the same time were getting nothing. In other words, those who had the money could send their children to college, but here they were, in the middle income bracket, and caught. They prevailed upon the Congress and the support came through...now the people in Washington were turning their backs on that whole development.

Mr. Curtiss observed he didn't think that the vast majority of middle income wage earners was prepared to support only low income higher education...they wouldn't be swept under the rug.

Dr. Ryder agreed and indicated that was why he thought the pressure was mounting...they couldn't just sit back and let it happen.

Dr. Gilmore reported that there had been a story yesterday in the Detroit Free Press about a senator's package bill to restore 50% of President Reagan's student financial aid cuts.

Mr. Gill noted that it was Senator Robert Stafford, Republican, of Vermont, who had joined Democrats in this endeavor. He reviewed the contents of the story (see Attachment), said it was a good effort, but changing the White House was going to be more difficult.

Mr. Curtiss said he would like to make a comment, which didn't bear directly on what they had been talking about, but it might be helpful. Based on his calculations, he stated, the increased price of imported oil now cost every wage earner

STAFFORD'S PACKAGE WOULD INCREASE SPENDING 50 PERCENT

ATTACHMENT

11. 4. Remarks by the President

GOP senator joins Dems to save college aid funds

AP and UPI

WASHINGTON — A liberal Republican is joining Democrats on the GOP-controlled Senate Labor and Human Resources Committee to save college aid for middle-class students and other education programs

from President Reagan's budget ax.

Sen. Robert Stafford, R-Vt., has prepared a package bill that would increase the president's spending proposal for colleges by 50 percent. Committee Chairman Orrin Hatch, R-Utah, who supports the cuts, had

to cancel a meeting last week because he didn't have enough votes to stop the package.

Stafford and Sen. Lowell Weicker, R-Conn., last year sided with committee Democrats against Reagan's proposed cuts.

Stafford's package, endorsed by the panel's seven Democrats, would add \$8.1 billion to Reagan's proposed \$16.2 billion budget in fiscal 1983 for several education, welfare and health programs, including Legal Services, Head Start and low-income energy subsidies.

The panel is scheduled to convene again Wednesday to try and meet the March 15 deadline for submitting its recommendation to the Senate Budget Committee, but some committee sources doubt that the deadline will be met.

THE PROPOSAL would:

- Increase funds for so-called Pell grants — the federal grants made to students from families with annual incomes of less than \$26,000 — to \$2.8 billion, twice the amount Reagan wants. The authorization for the current year is \$2.3 billion. Reagan's proposal would deny the grants to about one million students who otherwise would receive them.
- Set the authorization level for guaranteed student loans at \$3.9 billion, 57 percent above the \$2.4 billion Reagan wants. This year's authorization is \$3 billion. The guaranteed loans allow students to borrow up to \$2,500 a year without having to pay any interest until after they leave school.
- Triple Reagan's budget for other campus aid, from \$397.5 million to \$1.28 billion. The current level is \$1 billion.
- Raise Reagan's request for block grants to states by 72 percent, from \$1.9 billion to \$3.3 billion. The current level is \$2.8 billion.
- Provide \$7.5 million for migrant education. The

1982 level was \$7 million and Reagan wants to eliminate the program in 1983.

Democrats on the committee have proposed increases over the administration's recommendations for alcohol, drug abuse and mental health, immunization, family planning and preventive health programs.

MEANWHILE, it was reported that the government will send out reminders this week to thousands of high school seniors warning that they will lose Social Security student benefits — averaging about \$250 a month — unless they enroll in college by May 1.

Some colleges have waived requirements for high school diplomas so students can enroll before the deadline, and in some cases students plan to attend high school and college at the same time.

As part of Reagan's budget cuts, Congress last summer — in an effort to save \$10 billion — voted to phase out Social Security student benefits by 1985.

These benefits go to college students whose parents are dead, disabled or retired. An estimated 750,000 students, aged 18 to 22, now receive this aid, which averages about \$250 a month.

More than 100,000 students face the loss of benefits this year.

In this country about \$2,500 a year more than it would have in 1973...money right out the window. That didn't include, he stressed, the increased cost of natural gas which had gone up almost an equal percentage. This was leaving the consumer with significantly less disposable income in an environment where it couldn't afford to borrow. So, he questioned, they were going to have a great upsurge in consumer spending to generate an economic revival? Nonsense!

And then, Mr. Curtiss continued, to take away some of these other things, which they saw were inflationary, and were not the root cause of inflation, which really had been the oil situation fundamentally...to play around with essential programs like student aid in order to control inflation, ignoring the principal cause of inflation, was ridiculous.

What was happening very simply, Mr. Curtiss observed, was that it had taken 200 years to build up the market value of America's largest corporations to \$900 billion...that was the value of all the stocks on the New York stock exchange...and in the last seven years, the U.S. shipped \$300 billion to OPEC...and now they were taking it out of the peoples' pockets again. That was "trading." When they were talking about student aid, they were taking it out of one pocket and away from another...that was "tinkering" and it wasn't solving the problem.

Dr. Ryder agreed and indicated Mr. Curtiss had made a good point.

III. ACTION ITEMS

5. Recommendation for Approval of an SVSC Affirmative Action Plan

Chairman Kendall noted that copies of a proposed resolution and supporting material had been included in Board packets. He asked if anyone would care to move its adoption.

RES-534 It was moved and supported that the following resolution be adopted:
WHEREAS, Saginaw Valley State College recognizes its legal and moral obligation to providing equal opportunity, and
WHEREAS, Specific regulations require the formulation and adoption of an Affirmative Action Plan, and
WHEREAS, The College declares and reaffirms its policy of equal employment opportunity, equal educational opportunity and nondiscrimination in the provision of educational and other services to the public, and
WHEREAS, These opportunities are to be provided regardless of race, religion, creed, color, sex, national origin, handicap, or age;
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the Affirmative Action Plan submitted to the Board of Control be approved and adopted on this date of March 8, 1982.

Chairman Kendall asked if there were any discussion.

Dr. Ryder advised that Dr. Guy Lee had expected to be present today to discuss the Affirmative Action Plan, however he was ill.

He called attention to the condensation of some of the Affirmative Action materials out of the beginning of the plan which was attached to the resolution and recalled that over a period of the last two years, the Board members had been given copies of portions of the plan for review, and now that it was completed, the administration was recommending its adoption.

Mr. Curtiss indicated he thought most of them around the table were pretty much in favor of a solid Affirmative Action program...the only hesitation he had, however, on the program was that the discharge or discipline of a minority student was prima facie evidence of discrimination, and he hoped the program was not worded in such a way that a non-discriminatory disciplinary action could be challenged under the program as a student discrimination simply because it occurred to a minority.

Dr. Ryder asked Mr. Curtiss where he had gotten the disciplinary action as

being discriminatory.

Mr. Curtiss responded that it was from experience...the suits SVSC had in the last 10 years from minorities...every one pursued on the fact that it would not have happened to him if he were not a minority, or her if she were not a woman or a minority. In about one-half of the cases where SVSC had taken action, he recalled, it had come down to a discrimination suit. He indicated he didn't know how they got over that...they couldn't ignore Affirmative Action responsibilities, but in the administration's final draft, he stressed, he hoped that it would keep that in mind, because by spelling out the principles, which the college intended to adhere to, it also was laying out the ground work for additional legal action, claiming discrimination. He concluded he had no specific recommended change, but did want to caution the administration about it.

Making his point in another way, Mr. Curtiss said that he hoped the administrative staff would continue to take necessary disciplinary actions regardless of race, color, creed, etc.

Dr. Ryder assured him that the administration would do so.

Chairman Kendall asked if there were any further discussion. Hearing none, he called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried to adopt RES-534 approving the SVSC Affirmative Action plan.

6. Recommendation for Approval of a Resolution Revising Budgetary Control Procedures

Chairman Kendall stated that copies of the proposed resolution had been included in Board packets and asked if someone would care to offer it for adoption.

RES-535 It was moved and supported that the attached resolution be adopted.

Chairman Kendall asked if there were any discussion.

Dr. Ryder asked Mr. Woodcock to comment.

Mr. Woodcock, referring to the proposed resolution, indicated that the background leading up to this particular resolution was contained therein. He reviewed it in detail, reiterated that the Board of Control Resolution 440 of October 31, 1979 had been complied with, and this resolution today made the change recommended by the Auditor General which was agreed to by Saginaw Valley State College administration.

In another proposed resolution today, Mr. Woodcock said, the administration would be bringing forth in format what the difference in the actual spending patterns were from what the original budget for the year 1980-81 was.

Mr. Curtiss stated that the Auditor General had an excellent point...the Board should be asked to vote on the material differences in spending. He added that he would like to see this resolution, if adopted, included in the Board Policy Manual, because it really didn't address the budgetary process very much.

Chairman Kendall asked if there were any further discussion. Hearing none, he called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried to adopt RES-535 with respect to budgetary control procedures.

7. Recommendation for Approval of Resolution Ratifying Variances between the 1980-81 General Fund Expenditures and the Initial Operating Budget

Dr. Ryder called attention to the misspelling of the word "variances" on the Agenda...it was spelled correctly on the proposed resolution, but he had incorrectly spelled it in the preparation of the Agenda.

RESOLUTION: BUDGETARY CONTROL PROCEDURES

WHEREAS, General Fund Budgetary allocations are established for the categories Personal Services; Supplies, Materials and Contracted Services; and Capital Expenditures, and

WHEREAS, Certain deviations from the initial spending plan occurred during the year, and

WHEREAS, Board of Control Resolution 440 of October 31, 1979 established the process for review of spending differences between budgetary areas as follows, "That any material differences in spending patterns between the budgetary areas set forth above are to be reviewed with the Business, Finance and Investment Committee, or its successor. In addition, the annual financial statements should report expenditures according to these budgetary areas.", and

WHEREAS, The Auditor General's Audit Report of the State of Michigan for the period ending July 31, 1981 concluded that "Because the board of control approves the budget for the college, it should also formally approve any adjustments required because of material deviations from the budget", and recommended "that the Board of Control formally approve all material budgetary adjustments", and

WHEREAS, Saginaw Valley State College agreed to the recommendations;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That Resolution 440 of October 31, 1979 be replaced as follows: That the budget submitted to the Board of Control for adoption shall consist of three budgetary areas: Personal Services; Supplies, Materials and Contractual Services; and Capital Expenditures, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That any material differences in spending patterns between the budgetary areas set forth above are to be reviewed with the Business, Finance and Investment Committee, or its successor, and annually submitted to the Board of Control for formal action. In addition, the annual financial statements should report expenditures according to these budgetary areas.

JAW:pkz
2/11/82

Chairman Kendall asked if anyone would care to offer the resolution for adoption.

RES-536 It was moved and supported that the following resolution be adopted:
WHEREAS, The Saginaw Valley State College Board of Control adopted the General Fund operating budget for fiscal year 1980-81 on December 8, 1980, and
WHEREAS, Actual expenditures are known for fiscal year 1980-81 and are reported by expenditure category on the attached schedule;
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the Board of Control receive this report and approve these variances from the fiscal year 1980-81 General Fund operating budget.

Mr. Kendall asked if there were any discussion.

Mr. Curtiss questioned the reason for the scope of the increase in Capital Expenditures.

Mr. Woodcock responded that the initial budget SVSC had included Library Acquisitions as being "Supplies, Materials and Contracted Services" however the reporting guide the college had to follow for reports to the State of Michigan considered books as "Capital Expenditures" and so the audit figures showed books as "Capital Expenditures" including the \$191,706 although the budget for those elements was in "Supplies, Materials and Contracted Services." That was the primary difference, Mr. Woodcock concluded...beginning with the budget for 1982-83 SVSC would make that change so the "Capital Expenditures" budget would include the Library Acquisitions budget so there wouldn't be that variance in the future.

Mr. Curtiss suggested it might be appropriate for the figure (2) from footnote (2) be added after the \$191,706 figure on the table. Mr. Woodcock agreed, noting he had done that one time, but had lost it.

Chairman Kendall asked if there were any further discussion. Hearing none.

GENERAL FUND BUDGET
COMPARISON OF ACTUAL EXPENDITURES TO BUDGET CATEGORIES
FISCAL YEAR 1980-81

<u>Expenditure Allocations</u>	<u>Budget as Approved by Board of Control 12/8/80</u>	<u>Expenditures per Audited Financial Statement</u>	<u>Variance</u>
Personal Services	\$ 7,921,033	\$ 7,410,811	\$ 510,222
Supplies, Materials and Contracted Services	2,479,967	2,410,107	69,860
Capital Expenditures	<u>59,000</u>	<u>191,706⁽²⁾</u>	<u>(132,706)</u>
	<u>\$10,460,000</u>	<u>\$10,012,624¹</u>	<u>\$ 447,376</u>

¹For purposes of financial reporting, intra-fund revenues of \$115,736 have been eliminated from the expenditure total, resulting in net expenditures of \$9,896,888 as reported on the Statement of Current Fund Revenues, Expenditures and Transfers.

²Library expenditures are initially budgeted in the supplies, materials and contracted services category although H.E.G.I.S. reporting requires that such expenditures be classified as capital expenditures. The 1982-83 General Fund budget will include this change in budget categories.

JAW:pkz
2/5/82

he called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried to adopt RES-536 with respect to ratifying variances between the 1980-81 General Fund expenditures and the initial Operating Budget.

8. Recommendation for Approval of Modifications in the Tuition and Fee Schedule for 1982-83

Chairman Kendall stated that copies of this recommendation had been included in Board packets and asked if someone would care to move its approval.

BM-618 It was moved and supported that the Saginaw Valley State College Board of Control approve the attached Modifications in the Tuition and Fee Schedule for 1982-83.

Mr. Kendall asked if there were any discussion.

Dr. Ryder observed that it had been a trend, or pattern, that SVSC had increased tuition rates every year...last year it was 34% over the preceding year. This year, administration was recommending what amounted to a 9.1% increase, plus a few other modifications...he would ask Mr. Woodcock to review later.

Dr. Ryder stressed that in his judgment the State of Michigan...not just Saginaw Valley State College, was beginning to price students out of higher education. He referred to the article in the Saginaw News wherein it pointed out that at the University of Texas, the fee was something like \$120...but, of course, they had oil on their property so that they were able to keep their rates low.

Also, Dr. Ryder indicated he had pointed out before that in Arizona, an individual could attend the University as a non-resident student at less cost than a student could attend this institution as a resident student. That, obviously, he said, was going to have an impact upon the opportunity for students...perhaps they would be leaving the state...that would not be to Michigan's advantage in terms

	<u>1981-82</u>	<u>Proposed 1982-83</u>
<u>TUITION</u>		
Michigan Residents:		
Undergraduate Courses	\$ 41.00/cr. hr.	\$ 45.00/cr. hr.
Graduate Courses	55.00/cr. hr.	60.00/cr. hr.
Non-Residents:		
Undergraduate Courses	85.00/cr. hr.	90.00/cr. hr.
Graduate Courses	110.00/cr. hr.	120.00/cr. hr.
Auditor	Tuition Rate	Tuition Rate
Credit by Examination	Tuition Rate	Tuition Rate
<u>FEES</u>		
General Service ^a	3.00/cr. hr.	3.00/cr. hr.
Athletic Season Pass (annual fee)	20.00	20.00
Listener's Permit	15.00/course	15.00/course
Off-Campus Service Fee	See Footnote b	See Footnote b
Laboratory	14.00/course	14.00/course
Applied Music ^c	35.00/cr. hr.	35.00/cr. hr.
Equitation	30.00/cr. hr.	30.00/cr. hr.
Matriculation (On & Off Campus) ^d	25.00/first registration at SVSC	25.00/first registration at SVSC
Orientation	30.00	30.00
Late Registration	10.00	10.00
Hand Registration	25.00/transaction	25.00/transaction
I.D. Replacement	5.00	5.00
Graduation	15.00	15.00
Transcript ^e	2.00/official copy .50/student copy	2.00/official copy .50/student copy
Return Check Service Charge ^f		
First Billing	5.00	5.00
Second Billing	10.00	10.00

SPECIAL TUITION AND/OR FEE RATES

Senior Citizens (60 & Over), employees and employee dependents	$\frac{1}{2}$ tuition plus other fees	$\frac{1}{2}$ tuition plus other fees
Macomb Program - Off-Campus Service Fee	18.00/cr. hr.	18.00/cr. hr.
Oscoda/Wurtsmith Center - Undergraduate Tuition	60.00/cr. hr.	65.00/cr. hr.
Graduate Tuition		80.00/cr. hr.

^aGeneral Service Fee Includes (on campus students only):

Athletic Fee	\$.75/cr. hr.
Parking Facility Fee	.90/cr. hr.
Student Government Fee	.55/cr. hr.
Publication Fee	.15/cr. hr.
First Aid Facility Fee	Up to \$1.00/cr. hr.

^bOff-Campus Service Fee calculated based upon distance from campus:

30 miles-includes Bay City, Midland & Saginaw	\$ 6.00/cr. hr.
31-45 miles-includes Caro	12.00/cr. hr.
46-60 miles-includes West Branch	18.00/cr. hr.
61 & over miles-includes Kirtland Comm. College	24.00/cr. hr.

(Macomb and Oscoda/Wurtsmith Center have independent fee structures.)

^cPlus tuition, subject to variance by instrument upon administrative approval.

^dExcluding guest students and high school students.

^eFirst transcript is provided at no cost.

^fIf involves registration or a board and room payment, any late service charges can also apply.

of its economic recovery and development. In any event, Dr. Ryder observed, SVSC was not inconsistent with other institutions in the state about raising fees, because most, if not all of the institutions would be raising their fees and tuition.

Dr. Ryder called upon Mr. Woodcock to report on what he knew from the other institutions in general and comment on SVSC's changes in the chart.

Mr. Woodcock advised that a week ago he had met with his peers from the other public four-year institutions in the state of Michigan and that one of the items of discussion was tuition and fee increases. About five of them, he said, were far enough along with their budgetary process to be able to report that the rate of increases they were considering ranged from 11.0% to 17.0% minimum on a comparable basis with SVSC's 9.1%. In addition, at least three institutions had had increases for the winter semester from the prior fall.

Mr. Woodcock pointed out that one of the reasons for bringing the modifications in the tuition and fee schedule for 1982-83 to the Board at this time, in addition to students being able to have some idea of what their costs were going to be next year, was because SVSC did have students who qualified for the State Competitive Scholarships and it was necessary to have the new rates established and approved by the Board by April 9, 1982 so that the students' awards would be based on the new rates rather than those for 1981-82. SVSC, in effect, was doing what the University of Michigan had been doing for the last couple of years...making an announcement, wherever possible, in time so that those students who qualified would get the higher rate of award.

Noting that the members of the Board had the proposed modifications in the tuition and fee schedule in front of them, Mr. Woodcock indicated he would just go

through it briefly and would answer questions, if there were any.

Under "Special Tuition and/or Fee Rates" Mr. Curtiss asked if the \$18.00 per credit hour for Macomb was in addition to the tuition rate, and if so, what was the combined rate. Mr. Woodcock responded that it was in addition to the tuition, which was the same as on-campus...so the combined rate for an undergraduate course would be \$63.00 per credit hour.

With the exception of Oscoda/Wurtsmith, Mr. Woodcock pointed out, all off-campus tuition rates for SVSC courses, were the same as on-campus, plus the appropriate service fees which were listed under Footnote (b) on the second page of the schedule.

Referring to Oscoda/Wurtsmith, Mr. Woodcock noted that it had its own tuition and fee structure...the undergraduate tuition would be increased from \$60.00 per credit hour to \$65.00 per credit hour...and for the first time, a graduate tuition rate was recommended at \$80.00 per credit hour...primarily, the program at Oscoda/Wurtsmith was undergraduate, but periodically there might be a special graduate course offered. Thus, Mr. Woodcock concluded, they had to have an established graduate tuition rate there.

Mrs. Saltzman asked if Mr. Woodcock had any idea about private colleges in the state with respect to tuition and fee rates as compared with SVSC and the other four-year public institutions in the state.

Mr. Woodcock indicated that he did not know for this next year...the private colleges generally didn't provide survey data on what they intended to do ahead of time. For this last year, however, their tuition and fees, as well as board and room, when compared with SVSC and the other four-year public institutions, were basically \$2,000 or more expensive...based on SVSC's 9.1% increase, he would expect

the increases at the private colleges in the state to exceed that percentage.

Mrs. Saltzman asked if there had been that \$2,000 differential over the past few years and Mr. Woodcock stated that to be honest with her, up until last year, he had not made a specific survey study.

Mr. Curtiss indicated that he had a thought that he would almost like to attach as an Amendment, but he probably shouldn't because he didn't know SVSC's computer capabilities. He said that he was dismayed by what he perceived to be a lack of understanding by students and residents in this area as to who paid for their education...as he remembered, in a survey conducted last spring or summer, it pointed out that some 65% of the respondents thought that SVSC was supported by a property tax. He concluded that he thought the colleges had done a very poor job of informing people as to (1) how much the education cost, and (2) where it was coming from.

Therefore, Mr. Curtiss said, his strong suggestion would be that the administration determine whether or not it could work out, through a computer program, a notice on every tuition bill that said something like:

"The balance of your educational cost, approximately 65%, or \$3,200 (or whatever the proper amount was), is appropriated by the Legislature from the State General Fund and not provided from property taxes."

Mr. Curtiss noted that the percentage and/or amount could be different for each bill, but now that SVSC's bills were computerized, it wouldn't be very hard to work out the percentage and come pretty close. He stressed the word "approximately" should be in the statement...but the point had to be made that the State was paying a sizable proportion of that tuition bill already.

Mr. Woodcock agreed that administration could work out something...it might

be a separate document, not printed by the computer...without talking about the exact wording offhand, they should have a better way of communicating something along that line...he didn't think they had to have an Amendment...they could just do it.

Dr. Ryder observed that Mr. Curtiss' suggestion was a good one and that the administration should implement it.

Dr. Gilmore asked Mr. Woodcock if, at the meeting he attended, they had talked about cost-effectiveness or cost-reduction by the colleges and universities to cope with the financial shortfall, which he gathered tuition was all about (the tuition increase was due to the shortfall) such as was being talked about for civil service employees of Michigan...unpaid days off...cancellation of vacation time, etc.

Mr. Woodcock responded that this particular group met only quarterly, but from time to time they had discussed how different institutions were handling their problems. Obviously, he said, all the institutions over the last two or three years had had some very abnormal times. Their resources, whether they were from State appropriations, tuition and fees, or whatever, were not sufficient to maintain the level of services they were trying to provide.

All he could say, Mr. Woodcock continued, was that different schools, and particularly at different times, because they were different institutions, had approached their problems, sometimes in similar ways, but other times, in different ways...but they were all looking at different ways to become more effective and efficient...some had had faculty and staff layoffs over the last two years that SVSC had refrained from doing, because it had basic programs and trying to serve the needs of this community. What might be right for one institution, he stressed, wasn't necessarily exactly the case for the other...but they did discuss in their

quarterly meetings where perhaps an institution showed that it had some uniqueness that might be of interest to share with the others.

Chairman Kendall asked if there were any further discussion.

Dr. Ryder stated that he would like to point out one more thing...this recommendation was made from a number of different points of view...obviously they did not have all the pieces together with respect to the resources for next year...they didn't know what the financial aid situation was going to be, but as Mr. Woodcock had pointed out, they were making this recommendation at this point in time to hopefully benefit some students who might be participants in the State Competitive Scholarship program.

Continuing, Dr. Ryder stressed, based upon what knowledge they did have, this increase in tuition and fees would be the minimum that SVSC would recommend for fall...he hoped it stayed right there, but he did have to say that it was not inconceivable that the administration might come back and modify it upward later. They didn't anticipate that, he said, but they didn't anticipate that the cuts at the state level would be as drastic as they were...there was even discussion now of additional cuts that would go beyond the withholding of the fourth quarter...whether that would apply to higher education or not made a big difference, but at the same time, SVSC was looking at the problem of the students and how they could even manage to pay the rate they were recommending now...certainly it would be a problem if the Federal government came through with less for student financial aid, and SVSC had to increase tuition again because of a cut in state resources.

Dr. Gilmore stressed that if the administration did come back for an increase in the fall, he would like to see some positive effort, plan or suggestion within the institution to cut costs.

Dr. Ryder advised that the administration had been exploring these things not only in terms of this change in rate they were talking about today, but in simply being able to maintain the programming SVSC had now...the administration would continue to do so because it was so important and particularly before additional tuition increases would be recommended. He reiterated he hoped it didn't happen, but he just wanted to alert the members of the Board to the fact that it was not inconceivable that he would be back and asking for more, but at the same time, he would have had to do things in the institution that would really call for sacrificing on the part of people in terms of their own personal welfare.

Chairman Kendall recalled Mr. Curtiss' comments he made earlier with respect to offering an Amendment to the resolution...they had gotten away from it.

Mr. Curtiss indicated it was a strong recommendation from one Board member.

Dr. Ryder observed it was a very good idea and something they should be talking about...and even with scholarship students, they ought to be making the point about the support they were getting also in funding from the State General Fund.

Mr. Curtiss agreed and stressed that all the students were getting pretty good scholarships from the state. Dr. Ryder concurred, saying that the state indeed was giving scholarships from that point of view.

Mr. Braun observed that the best place to put the message Mr. Curtiss suggested was on the bills SVSC would be sending the students. Mr. Woodcock said he would do so.

Chairman Kendall asked if there were any further discussion. Hearing none, he called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried approving the modifications in the tuition and fee schedule for 1982-83.

9. Recommendation for Approval of Conceptual Framework for Establishment of an SVSC Research and Educational Development Foundation

Chairman Kendall recalled that a proposed resolution, with supporting material, had been mailed separately from the Board packets to the members of the Board. He noted that there were some procedural things the Board needed to do, but before doing so, he asked if anyone would care to move the adoption of the resolution.

RES-537 It was moved and supported that the attached resolution be adopted.

Mr. Kendall asked Dr. Ryder if he would like to comment.

Dr. Ryder indicated that he did. As they were all aware, he said, the administration had been keeping the Board up-to-date with respect to development of the Business and Industrial Development Institute and the formation of a Foundation, which, if established, would be called the "Saginaw Valley State College Research and Development Foundation" and it would be the legal entity through which the Business and Industrial Development Institute would function. Also, this Foundation would be the legal entity through which SVSC would file for the 501 (c) (3) under the Internal Revenue Code, providing the charitable status similar to that of the SVSC Foundation, which was the fundraising arm of the college.

The Board of Directors would total nine members composed of three members of the Board of Control, three members from the administration, who, by virtue of office would include himself as President, the person who would serve as Treasurer, who in this case would be Jerry Woodcock, Vice President for Business Affairs, and Robert Yien, Vice President for Academic Affairs. In addition to these six, there would be three outside Board members who would be "friends of the College" and people interested in the advancement of the Business and Industrial Development

BOARD OF CONTROL

RESOLUTION

RESOLVED, That the President, the Vice President for Business Affairs, and the Vice President for Academic Affairs of the College, be and they are hereby authorized to establish as incorporators, a Michigan non-profit corporation to be named Saginaw Valley State College Research and Educational Development Foundation, and permission is hereby granted for use of such name;

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the foregoing named individuals are further directed and authorized to prepare and execute all instruments necessary to such incorporation and organization and as may be required to assure tax-exempt status for such organization under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code as other than a private foundation;

FURTHER RESOLVED, That _____, _____, and _____, as members of this Board of Control are designated to serve as members of the Board of Directors of said Saginaw Valley State College Research and Educational Development Foundation in addition to the said President, Vice President for Business Affairs, and Vice President for Academic Affairs of the College.

FURTHER RESOLVED, That up to Fifty Thousand (\$50,000.00) Dollars from specifically restricted funds is hereby appropriated as initial funding of said undertaking.

Institute. There would be staggered terms. It would be necessary for this Board of Control to elect three members to the Board of Directors, and then, with the three members from administration as Board members, they would elect the three outside people.

Dr. Ryder stated that he would be serving as the First Vice Chairman of the organization and also Executive Director of the corporation. The actual function of the Business and Industrial Development Institute would be under the leadership of an Operations Group which included at this point the Vice President for Academic Affairs, as Chairman...the Director of Sponsored Programs, the Vice President for Business Affairs...the Dean of the Division of Continuing Education...the Dean of the School of Business and Management...and the Dean of the School of Science, Engineering and Technology. Theoretically, under the Operations Group, there would be the appointment of a Director, and he would operate the Business and Industrial Development Institute, and would report effectively to the Operating Group.

Insofar as meetings of the Board of the Directors of the proposed "Saginaw Valley State College Research and Development Foundation" were concerned, Dr. Ryder said, he would not see the Board meeting more than two times a year, or three times, at the most.

Mrs. Arbury commented that she was impressed with how much work had been done.

Dr. Ryder pointed out that the material he had provided the members of the Board was basically the result of legal efforts...as they would recall, an attorney had been retained because this was very sophisticated in the 501 (c) (3) approach area, and this would allow SVSC to go ahead with the Articles of Incorporation at the State level...this was not concrete yet...that was why the proposed resolution

asked the Board of Control to authorize the three administrators to sign. There still had to be a final review with the attorney, who would be preparing the Articles of Incorporation for the 501 (c) (3) approach.

Mr. Curtiss stated that he did have some problem with the procedure...it seemed that this Board of Control should authorize the designated individuals to file the Articles of Incorporation...he didn't have any problem particularly with the Articles of Incorporation, as they were distributed...but it seemed to him that the Board of Directors of the proposed Foundation ought to adopt its ByLaws.

Dr. Ryder advised that this Board was not being asked to adopt anything but the proposed resolution authorizing the Incorporation...the Articles of Incorporation and the ByLaws, as presented to the Board, were drafts and needed further review by the attorney, and then the Board of Directors, composed of three Board members and three administrators, and three "friends of the college" would adopt the ByLaws.

One other point, Mr. Curtiss noted, which gave him some cause for concern, was that Dr. Ryder had submitted a request to Dave Murphy asking for a funding level for the Business and Industrial Development Institute of \$117,000 for 1982-83, and \$35,000 for 1983-84. He asked if Dr. Ryder intended to phase BIDI out that quickly.

Dr. Ryder indicated not...there was \$83,000 in the budget...part of SVSC's budget...not a line item...but what was called "track" and they were assuming that amount carried forward...the \$117,000 would carry forward, and so they would really have about \$200,000 in their continuing budget.

Mr. Curtiss then asked if the \$35,000 for 1983-84 would be another addition. Dr. Ryder indicated it would be. Mr. Curtiss noted that wasn't clear in Dr. Ryder's

letter to Dave Murphy.

Mrs. Darin asked if she were correct that most of the funds for BIDI were coming from the College's General Fund.

In the sense that the State of Michigan had put that money in the General Fund for that purpose, Dr. Ryder responded, then it was part of the General Fund, but, as he had said before, it was what the State called "track"...it didn't show up in a budget line, but they kept a separate record of it, which was made known to SVSC.

Mr. Curtiss questioned the initial \$50,000 in capitalization...did Dr. Ryder contemplate it coming from this year's Business and Industrial Development Institute appropriation.

Dr. Ryder responded in the affirmative...they put in \$50,000 because they were not sure how much they were going to have to cut out of the \$62,000 that they would get in this fiscal year out of the \$83,000 that was actually appropriated.

Mr. Curtiss asked if he contemplated that this Board of Control would annually approve appropriations to the proposed Foundation, as a separate item

Dr. Ryder indicated he assumed so.

Mr. Curtiss observed that that was a point where this Board of Control would have control over what the Foundation did...on the other hand, if this Board got involved in approving the ByLaws, he would begin to raise questions about how independent the Foundation was.

Dr. Ryder agreed, but indicated the Board of Control did have control in the sense that it had three Board members, and also three "friends of the college" it could change at whim.

Mrs. Darin indicated she had one more question...Dr. Ryder indicated that the

State had appropriated the money for BIDI...she got a little nervous when it gave the General Fund to SVSC, because of cuts the Governor made afterwards. Once SVSC got its feet into this BIDI project, they were stuck with this large amount of money that they would have to find somewhere...at this particular point, it bothered her.

Mr. Curtiss noted that SVSC's approach had been to take the cuts pretty uniformly...in other words, the BIDI appropriation had been reduced each time SVSC had gotten cut.

Dr. Ryder agreed...the 3.0% cut on the college was across the whole budget...that didn't mean that they had to do that, but that was what they had been doing, up to this point, anyhow. As far as that went, he stressed, conceivably at a point in time, for example...the State gave SVSC everything it asked for in the area of BIDI, but didn't in the rest of the budget, SVSC could say..."we're sorry, but we just are not going to do it that way" and write the state a letter and report what it had done. The state might gripe about it in the ensuing year, but it could not really do anything about it, because once it had made the appropriation, the college could make its decision about it. But, he pointed out, they did want to stay as close as they could to the state's allocations, because the next year, the state could take the appropriation away from the college.

Mrs. Darin asked if the companies shown on the list Dr. Ryder sent them would contribute.

Dr. Ryder indicated that it depended upon specific arrangements...there was no way of knowing. A particular company that SVSC would be working with would contract with the college, and this contract would vary as to the company's input, SVSC's input, and what SVSC expected out of it in terms of equity interest, for example,

as opposed to getting nothing out of it. In other words, a company could contract with us to do a specific case of research for a fee...that was one thing...another would be that it would contribute 50% of the cost of the research and SVSC would contribute 50%, but in so contracting, SVSC would get some sort of equity, assuming there were benefits coming out of it.

Mr. Curtiss added that it was individually prorated.

Dr. Ryder indicated the Board of Control should identify the three Board members who would participate. Mr. Kendall suggested they first needed to vote on the framework and then have an election. Discussion ensued as to which step to take first... should they vote on the resolution with blanks, already on the floor, or elect three Board members, and fill in the blanks, then vote on the resolution in its entirety. The consensus was that the Board should adopt the resolution with the blanks, and then fill in the blanks with the names of the elected individuals.

Chairman Kendall called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried to adopt RES-537 as presented to the Board.

Chairman Kendall called for nominations of three Board of Control members to serve on the Board of Directors of the Saginaw Valley State College Research and Development Foundation, suggesting that they take a good look at themselves, because there certainly were some Board members who would be very skilled and very knowledgeable about these things (he was not calling names) but he thought they ought to lean in that direction if they could.

Mr. Curtiss nominated Mr. Braun...supported by Dr. Gilmore.

Mrs. Arbury nominated Mr. Curtiss...supported by Mrs. Darin.

Mr. Curtiss nominated Dr. Williams...supported by Mrs. Saltzman.

Mr. Curtiss moved that nominations be closed...supported by Mrs. Arbury.

Dr. Gilmore asked if the nominees would agree to serve. Mr. Braun and Mr. Curtiss, who were present agreed to do so...Dr. Williams was absent.

Mrs. Arbury asked if they could cast a unanimous ballot. A brief discussion revealed a consensus to do so, whereupon Chairman Kendall called for a motion.

BM-619 It was moved and supported that the following members of the Saginaw Valley State College Board of Control serve on the Board of Directors of the Saginaw Valley State College Research and Development Foundation:

Mr. Hugo E. Braun, Jr.
Mr. Charles B. Curtiss
Dr. G. James Williams

Chairman Kendall asked if there were any further discussion. Hearing none, he called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried.

Mrs. Arbury commented that she thought this was one of the nicest things that had happened to the college in a long time.

Dr. Ryder indicated he thought it had tremendous potential.

10. Recommendation for Approval of the Appointment of Auditors

Chairman Kendall stated that this recommendation had been included in the Board packets and asked if someone would care to move the adoption of the resolution.

RES-538 It was moved and supported that the following resolution be adopted:
WHEREAS, It is considered a good management practice to have conducted annually an independent financial audit;
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the CPA firm of Yeo & Yeo be reappointed to conduct the annual financial audit for the year ending June 30, 1982, subject to their acceptance.

Chairman Kendall asked if there were any discussion.

BOARD OF CONTROLRESOLUTION

RESOLVED, That the President, the Vice President for Business Affairs, and the Vice President for Academic Affairs of the College, be and they are hereby authorized to establish as incorporators, a Michigan non-profit corporation to be named Saginaw Valley State College Research and Educational Development Foundation, and permission is hereby granted for use of such name;

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the foregoing named individuals are further directed and authorized to prepare and execute all instruments necessary to such incorporation and organization and as may be required to assure tax-exempt status for such organization under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code as other than a private foundation;

FURTHER RESOLVED, That Hugo E. Braun, Jr., Charles B. Curtiss, and G. James Williams, as members of this Board of Control are designated to serve as members of the Board of Directors of said Saginaw Valley State College Research and Educational Development Foundation in addition to the said President, Vice President for Business Affairs, and Vice President for Academic Affairs of the College.

FURTHER RESOLVED, That up to Fifty Thousand (\$50,000.00) Dollars from specifically restricted funds is hereby appropriated as initial funding of said undertaking.

Dr. Ryder called upon Mr. Woodcock to comment.

Mr. Woodcock noted that auditors were selected annually...and since they were auditors to the Board of Control, it was appropriate for the Board of Control to select them. This was an independent audit, Mr. Woodcock pointed out. Normally, SVSC waited until it received the bill for the audit for the past year so that had all been settled, and that had been done. This, he concluded, had been discussed with the Business, Finance and Investments Subcommittee, and it was its recommendation that Yeo & Yeo be reappointed as auditors for the year that SVSC was now in, which was 1981-82.

Dr. Gilmore asked what the amount of the last bill was.

Mr. Woodcock responded that it was somewhere between \$18,000 and \$18,900. Since 1978, he said, it had been going down...he thought that this amount was within \$400 of what it was prior to that period of time. He pointed out, however, that SVSC was at the point now where he really didn't expect they were going to be able to decrease the cost much below what it was now.

Dr. Ryder noted that SVSC's effectiveness had improved. Mr. Woodcock agreed, advising that SVSC changed some things around, provided certain types of analyses, and cooperated better with the auditors so that they could spend their time at a higher professional level and not have to do as much of certain detail types of work on the audit, and thus they had been able to decrease the hours on the audit.

Mrs. Saltzman indicated she couldn't remember if they had discussed, when she was on the BFI Committee, the fact that if one didn't rotate auditors, one at least should rotate the head of the team that came. She asked if Yeo & Yeo were doing that.

Mr. Woodcock noted that there were different types of philosophies, and that

was one of some institutions. The head of the team, he said, had been the same for the last two years, but they had a change from who was the "in charge" person or "senior" on the job. The partner of Yeo & Yeo had remained the same, and that was Joe Ruth...he had been a partner on the job for many, many years. Mr. Woodcock concluded that he would expect that a company of the size of that firm, that Mr. Ruth probably had more expertise, as far as colleges and universities were concerned, than anyone else in the firm...he reiterated they had changed the "in charge" supervisor...the person who was directly responsible for supervising the audit.

Mrs. Darin and Mrs. Saltzman recalled that it was a young lady last time, whereupon Dr. Gilmore brought up the subject of experience and qualifications of the individuals provided by Yeo & Yeo to audit SVSC, and asked for details about her. Mrs. Saltzman asked if he would have been concerned if it were a man. He said "yes."

Mr. Woodcock responded that Yeo & Yeo was a firm of certified public accountants and SVSC was hiring Yeo & Yeo to do the audit...it was really Joe Ruth, who was the partner...the staff that he assigned, he stated, he personally didn't have any direct say about. He pointed out, however, that if SVSC ever had a problem, it could recommend a change, and particularly this Board could, more so than he could as Vice President for Business Affairs.

Mr. Curtiss stressed that one thing the Board certainly didn't want was to have Mr. Woodcock picking who was going to audit him.

Dr. Gilmore agreed, but indicated that if SVSC was spending \$19,000 for an audit, and Joe Ruth spent one-half hour on it, he was concerned about the composition of the team.

Mr. Curtiss observed that that was something the Board should take up with Joe Ruth if that was an area of concern...but it should not be Mr. Woodcock's responsi-

bility to select the qualified auditors.

Mr. Kendall stated that the bottom line was SVSC's satisfaction with Yeo & Yeo as a total company rather than the auditors SVSC was going to end up with...if SVSC had some disagreement with that, then he thought it had to take the appropriate action.

Mrs. Arbury noted that the young lady in question from Yeo & Yeo was going to be here in the afternoon.

Mr. Woodcock agreed, and suggested that questions about her qualifications and experience, perhaps could be asked of her then.

Chairman Kendall asked if there were any further questions. Hearing none, he called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried to adopt RES-538 approving the appointment of auditors.

11. Recommendation for Approval of the Establishment of an Official Enrollment Count Date

Chairman Kendall called for a motion to adopt the resolution included in Board packets.

RES-539 It was moved and supported that the following resolution be adopted:
 WHEREAS, Public Act No. 27 of May 7, 1981 requires the governing boards of each institution of higher education to prescribe a date for counting student credit hours, and
 WHEREAS, Public Act No. 27 further requires that this date be consistent from term to term and year to year, and
 WHEREAS, At Saginaw Valley State College it is deemed appropriate to count students and their credits at the end of the schedule adjustment period for each term;
 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the College's official enrollment report will include students and their credits as they are registered at the end of the schedule adjustment period for each term.

Chairman Kendall asked if there were any discussion.

Dr. Ryder called upon Dr. Dickey to comment.

Dr. Dickey explained that the language of the Appropriations Act of former years had required that enrollment be counted at one-tenth of the duration of the course. That language was dropped from the Act at the request of some institutions which preferred to count their enrollment at other times in the term, and they made a good case with the Legislative Committee.

Continuing, Dr. Dickey advised that the language now stated merely that each Board of Control should establish an enrollment count date...this one presented today was the one which was recommended by the Board subcommittee, which had discussed it, and the staff work was done by the Vice President for Administrative Affairs and the Registrar.

Dr. Ryder added that it was a housekeeping resolution.

Mr. Kendall asked if there were further discussion. Hearing none, he called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried to adopt RES-539 approving the establishment of an official enrollment count date.

12. Recommendation for Approval of the Appointment of Mr. David D. Wierman, Publisher of the Saginaw News, to the Board of Fellows

Chairman Kendall called for a motion.

BM-620 It was moved and supported that the appointment of Mr. David D. Wierman, Publisher of the Saginaw News, to the SVSC Board of Fellows, be approved.

Mr. Kendall asked if there were any discussion.

Dr. Ryder called upon Mr. Smith to comment.

Mr. Smith reported that Mr. Ray Gover, former Publisher of the Saginaw News,

left the Saginaw area, and in trying to fill the vacancy, they took a look at many people in the media business throughout the Valley and they still came back to Mr. Wierman as the best candidate for Mr. Gover's replacement.

Dr. Ryder noted that Mr. Wierman was recommended by the Board of Fellows and had his support.

Hearing no further discussion, Chairman Kendall called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried approving Mr. Wierman's appointment to the Board of Fellows.

13. Recommendation for Approval of the Appointment of Mr. W. Blair Thompson, General Manager Elect of the Saginaw Steering Gear Division, GMC, to the Board of Fellows

Chairman Kendall called for a motion.

BM-621 It was moved and supported that the appointment of Mr. W. Blair Thompson, General Manager Elect of the Saginaw Steering Gear Division, GMC, to the SVSC Board of Fellows, be approved.

Dr. Ryder advised that Mr. Thompson was in Saginaw now and had officially taken over the position of General Manager on March 1...the position from which Dr. Ellis Ivey had retired...Mr. Thompson had his support, Dr. Ryder concluded.

Hearing no further discussion, Chairman Kendall called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried approving Mr. Thompson's appointment to the Board of Fellows.

- 13A. Resolution Honoring the SVSC Women's Indoor Track Team for Earning Seven All-American Berths and Finishing Third in the NAIA National Indoor Meet

Copies of the resolution were distributed by Dr. Ryder and Chairman Kendall asked if someone would care to move its adoption.

RES-540 It was moved and supported that the attached resolution be adopted.

SAGINAW VALLEY STATE COLLEGE
BOARD OF CONTROL

RESOLUTION: HONORING THE SVSC WOMEN'S INDOOR TRACK TEAM FOR
EARNING SEVEN ALL-AMERICAN BERTHS AND FINISHING
THIRD IN THE NAIA NATIONAL INDOOR MEET

WHEREAS, eleven women on the Saginaw Valley State College Women's Indoor Track Team qualified for the National Association of Intercollegiate Athletics national indoor championship competition in Kansas City on February 26-27, and

WHEREAS, seven members of the team earned All-American honors in 15 categories, including Colleen Booms in the two-mile relay and the distance medley relay; Cathy Bowman in the two-mile relay, distance medley relay and the mile run; Cindy Reinhart in the distance medley relay, two-mile run and mile run; Reta Robinson in the distance medley relay; Kathy Schmidt in the two-mile relay, 1,000-yard run and 880-yard run; Kelly Vasold in the 600-yard dash; and Lee Ann Yanik in the two-mile relay and the 1,000-yard run, and

WHEREAS, the team placed third at the national meet in only its second year of competition, and

WHEREAS, Kathy Schmidt was named the Outstanding Performer in the meet and set a new NAIA record in the 1,000-yard preliminary round,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that we extend our congratulations to the team members and their coach, Robert Maybouer, for their accomplishments and the rapid progress the women's program has made during its short existence and its lack of an indoor practice facility.

March 8, 1982

Mr. Kendall asked if there were any discussion.

Dr. Ryder called attention to the fact that Item 13A dealt with the women's indoor track team and Item 13B dealt with the men...he wanted all present to be aware that the women were "first" in this instance...what it meant, he didn't know.

Obviously, he said, this was an outstanding performance on the part of the women...Kathy Schmidt, who performed in the two-mile relay, 1,000-yard run and 880-yard run, was honored as the outstanding female performer in the meet, and she was placed on the podium with the outstanding male performer, who at that meet, set the world record in the pole vault...18'10". While they were on the podium, he turned and gave her a big kiss. She was kidded by some of her colleagues about being kissed by a world champion and was extremely embarrassed by all the attention.

Hearing no further discussion, Chairman Kendall called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried to adopt RES-540 honoring the SVSC women's indoor track team.

13B. Resolution Commending the SVSC Men's Indoor Track Team for its First-Ever National Championship

Copies of this resolution were also distributed by Dr. Ryder. Chairman Kendall asked if anyone would care to move its adoption.

RES-541 It was moved and supported that the attached resolution be adopted.

Mr. Kendall asked if there were any discussion.

Dr. Ryder observed that it was just tremendous that the men, for the first time ever in the history of SVSC, had won a national championship. The recognition, of course, had been great in the press and everywhere else...he had received letters

SAGINAW VALLEY STATE COLLEGE
BOARD OF CONTROL

RESOLUTION: COMMENDING THE SVSC MEN'S INDOOR TRACK TEAM FOR
ITS FIRST-EVER NATIONAL CHAMPIONSHIP

WHEREAS, the Saginaw Valley State College Men's Indoor Track Team, coached by Dr. Douglas Hansen, won its fifth consecutive National Association of Intercollegiate Athletics (NAIA) District 23 Indoor Track Championship last month, and

WHEREAS, the team claimed its first-ever NAIA National Indoor Track Championship at Kansas City on February 26-27 and scored in all but two events, and

WHEREAS, 16 men from SVSC qualified for the National meet and 11 earned All-American honors in 15 categories, including Ed Brown in the 60-yard high hurdles, 440-yard run, triple jump and mile relay; Evans Lalas in the 440-yard run and mile relay; Rick Brown in the mile relay; John Darga in the mile run; Duane Johnson in the three-mile run; Ed LaBair in the 1,000-yard run; Ben Laser in the 35-pound throw; Bill Olson in the mile relay; Spence Peterson in the two-mile run; Ken Plude in the 880-yard run and Daniel Prose in the 1,000-yard run, while Charles Balderstone, Richard Hunter, David Johnson, Paul Richmond and John Stadwick also competed in Kansas City, and

WHEREAS, Coach Hansen was honored as the NAIA District 23 Coach of the Year for the fifth time and has been named NAIA National Coach of the Year,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Control praise the team members and Coach Hansen for attaining this pinnacle of achievement and extend congratulations to the team for its continued excellence. Their hard work and accomplishments have brought national distinction to the College and its track program.

March 8, 1982

from a number of people congratulating SVSC and its men's indoor track team for this achievement. The interesting note about it, Dr. Ryder said, was that not a single one of SVSC's people won an event, but SVSC came in second and third in so many events that they won the championship.

There was one relay, he recalled, that SVSC apparently would have won, because it had lapped others in this relay, but the officials stopped it before it was over and tried to put it all back together again after that...and presumably SVSC bumped somebody...at least SVSC was charged for doing that and was disqualified in one relay which it might have won.

Mr. Hamling commented that if SVSC had won that relay, it would have set a national record.

Mr. Rummel reported that had the pole vaulter, whom Dr. Ryder had mentioned earlier, not set the world record, the second person for "most valuable performer" in the men's competition was Eddie Brown from SVSC.

Mrs. Arbury suggested that perhaps the indoor track they were talking about was going to help SVSC athletes.

Dr. Ryder indicated he had made that comment...so others were saying "well, we don't know whether we should get an indoor track or not." He suggested that all present had probably observed SVSC's students running on the roads around the college and inside on college roads as well in all kinds of weather. He concluded he would like to have Jerry Hamling comment as to whether or not he would like to have an indoor track.

Mr. Hamling responded that SVSC had done pretty well considering it was competing against a lot of southern schools which had nice weather to train in all

year round and SVSC students were out in the cold, on the roads, which was pretty hard on the legs because the roads were so hard. He concluded that he really felt it would be an asset for SVSC to have an indoor track, although he was leaving this spring.

Mr. Curtiss noted that there was an old saying..."If it works, don't fix it."

Mr. Hamling responded that was true, but pointed out that SVSC competed not only with southern schools which as he had said before, had good weather all the time, but also with northern schools which did have indoor tracks.

Dr. Ryder asked Mr. Rummel if it were not true that this was only the second time that a northern school had won this meet. Mr. Rummel indicated it was...it was the first time a northern school had won since 1971.

Dr. Ryder concluded that SVSC was very hopeful, of course, that its outdoor track team would come back in the same way...it was very possible.

Hearing no further comments, Chairman Kendall said that it was with a great deal of pride that he called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried to adopt RES-541 commending the SVSC men's indoor track team for its first-ever national championship.

Chairman Kendall called a recess at 11:08 a.m.

The meeting reconvened at 11:26 a.m.

IV. INFORMATION AND DISCUSSION ITEMS

14. Personnel Report

Dr. Ryder distributed copies of the Personnel Report of March 8, 1982 and called upon Dr. Yien for comments.

Dr. Yien stated that the comments he would make would apply to all fields...

it was getting more and more difficult when it came to faculty recruitment, and one of the reasons the Board was seeing a lot of openings on the Personnel Report was because last year they had about seven positions filled with one-year temporary. This was why this year SVSC had quite a few faculty openings and was moving full speed ahead in these areas. He indicated he thought they had about an 80% possibility of filling all these positions...perhaps 20% of them they might have to fill with part-time or again, one-year temporary.

Mrs. Darin asked the reason for the one-year temporary appointments...was it because their qualifications weren't what they were looking for.

Dr. Yien responded that usually it was because of the time they had to recruit which was short...if someone resigned at the end of April, they probably wouldn't fill that position with a permanent individual...they needed more time...they could conduct a more thorough selection at another time of the year.

Mrs. Darin then asked how many times they had hired a one-year temporary person on a permanent basis, after the trial period of one year.

Dr. Yien indicated that in the past they had had about an 80% track record of them being hired back. Last year, however, because they did have last minute resignations, they ended up with about seven one-year temporary positions. By that, he said, he meant last minute...by the end of April or May, or sometimes it might even get into July or August. When that happened, they wouldn't want to fill the position with a permanent individual...they would like to have more time.

Mrs. Arbury stated that she imagined that the economy of the state had a lot to do with recruiting...she asked Dr. Yien if it wasn't very telling.

He responded that indeed it was. It was reflected also in the numbers of

applications in all fields.

Mrs. Arbury asked about the position listed in the Personnel Report...
Assistant/Associate Professor of Music.

Dr. Yien advised that was a replacement for Tom Root, who had resigned.

Mrs. Arbury indicated she was sorry...he was such a talented fellow.

Dr. Ryder noted that Tom Root was working on his Ph.D. at Michigan State University.

15. Sponsored Programs Report

Dr. Ryder distributed copies of the Sponsored Programs Report for December 1, 1981 to February 28, 1982, and called upon Cy Smith to comment.

Mr. Smith observed that there was nothing highly unusual in this report...the state of grants, particularly at the federal level, was in a great deal of flux...nobody really knew what was going to happen.

Under Grants Denied, 1. Implementation of a BSN Outreach Program by SVSC, Mr. Smith said, since the denial, SVSC had resubmitted and was now under consideration currently for this program again...it usually took one or two tries before being successful...they were very hopeful this time around.

Mr. Smith noted that they were very dismayed at the reduction of the support of Science Education funding in Washington, particularly on behalf of the National Science Foundation whose Science Education funding had essentially been eliminated. He concluded it made it quite hard to upgrade technology at the university level when Washington kept cutting the support for it.

Mrs. Arbury recalled that it was NSF that was so impressed with SVSC's BIDI.

Dr. Ryder noted that BIDI was not exclusively for Education...so it was still

conceivable that SVSC would be supported for that.

Mr. Smith agreed...Item 4. Business & Industrial Development Institute, under Grants Pending looked very good.

16. President and Board of Control Evaluation

Dr. Ryder stated that he was just calling to the Board's attention that three years ago after the evaluation of the President and the Board of Control, it was agreed and was included in his contract with the Board that at least by the third year of the four-year contract, there would be another evaluation. This was just to inform the Board that they were proceeding ahead, and while this would be a much more limited evaluation, basically a two-day effort, and although he had communicated the dates to the Board, this was a reminder that Dr. Munitz would be at SVSC April 5 and 6, 1982.

Dr. Ryder indicated he would be back in touch with the members of the Board of Control in terms of interviews with them...probably in one or two groups, and then Dr. Munitz would, of course, be meeting with various groups on campus, and administrators, and then, giving them an updated evaluation in comparison to the way things were when he finished in the fall of 1978.

Mrs. Saltzman and Mrs. Darin expressed amazement at the length of his visit... less than a day and a half.

Dr. Ryder, however, pointed out that he would arrive on Sunday night, April 4... the feeling was that they really had the parameters already, and in looking at where they were before and looking at the updated materials he was sending Dr. Munitz... not just the evaluation, but other things that happened on campus...the planning process...things of that sort, which went back to the recommendations...then he

would be doing between now and the time of Dr. Munitz's visit, another assessment... he had done two Progress Reports previously...and once he had the third one completed, he would send copies to members of the Board of Control as well as Dr. Munitz, and he could look at that and all the other materials, so that when he did arrive, it was felt that Dr. Munitz could complete the evaluation without any more time than that.

17. North Central Association Focus Visit--March 25 and 26, 1982

Dr. Ryder announced that the NCA Visiting Team would actually arrive on March 24 in the afternoon and would be at SVSC through the 26th. At 1:00 p.m. on March 26, Dr. Ryder noted, he would like to invite any members of the Board of Control who could be at SVSC for the "Exit Interview" of the NCA Team.

Dr. Ryder listed the Team members as follows:

Dr. Warren Armstrong, President
Eastern New Mexico University

Dr. Allen Guskin, Chancellor
University of Wisconsin--Parkside

The "Exit Interview" would be held in Pioneer Room and would be attended also by members of his Executive Committee.

Dr. Ryder pointed out that this was a "Focus Visit" in several areas as a result of the last accreditation visit which was held in 1979.

Dr. Yien reminded Dr. Ryder that the Team wanted to meet with one Board member on March 25. Dr. Ryder indicated he hadn't yet had the opportunity to ask Mr. Curtiss, who had been around at SVSC for a considerable period of time, and the logical one to meet with the Team. He asked Mr. Curtiss if he would be available. Mr. Curtiss checked his calendar and said he would be available from 3:15 p.m. to 4:15 p.m. on

Thursday, March 25. He asked where the meeting would be and was informed it would be in the Wickes Hall Board Room.

18. Exchange Program with Shikoku Women's University in Tokushima, Japan

Dr. Ryder called upon Dr. Yien to comment.

Dr. Yien recalled that for the last two years this Board had met with two teams of Japanese students. SVSC was also going to receive another team from Shikoku Women's University in July, and this summer was going to be the first time this college would be sending students to Shikoku Women's University. It would be sending four students to attend a five-week program there...they were going to study Japanese history, language, culture, etc. for the period of five weeks, starting May 10 through June 11.

Dr. Ryder added that three SVSC juniors and one sophomore would be going.

Mrs. Darin asked who paid for the SVSC students.

Dr. Ryder advised that SVSC was providing, through the Foundation, a \$350 stipend, and all the rest the students paid...except while they were at Shikoku Women's University, there would be no dormitory or tuition charge. He pointed out that the big cost was transportation, which the students had to pay, other than the \$350. He asked Dr. Yien what the total cost was.

Dr. Yien advised that the total cost was about \$2,000 per person, and he was still negotiating to get some funding from Student Government.

Mrs. Darin pointed out that they needed spending money on top of that.

Dr. Ryder concluded that it would be an excellent experience, they felt, for the SVSC students, as it had been for the Japanese students who had come here. The college, he said, had communications back from them and they had all been very

positive about their experiences here.

19. Opening Reception for Pamela Becker Introducing her Exhibit "Works in Fibre" on March 8, 1982 at 4:00 p.m. in the '68 Building

Dr. Ryder reminded the members of the Board that they did receive an invitation from the Art Department and he was hopeful that they would be able to attend the opening of Pamela Becker's Exhibit in the Art Workshop this afternoon. A number of people had been invited from the community, Dr. Ryder added, and if the Board members could spend 15 to 20 minutes, or longer there, he knew that it would be worth their while. Dr. Ryder suggested they probably would want to drive over there and leave from there...they would not need parking cards to park.

20. 1982 Annual Commencement

Dr. Ryder called upon Dr. Sharp to bring the Board up-to-date.

Dr. Sharp reported that things were progressing well...Commencement was to be on Saturday, May 8, 1982...one minor change was being made...the luncheon would be on campus this year instead of at the Bay City Country Club. A brunch would be served at the Doan Center, he said, and he asked the Board members to plan their schedules so that they could be there no later than 11:15 a.m.

It was a little early yet, Dr. Sharp observed, to say exactly how many students would be participating, but they anticipated another large group of students again this year.

SVSC was awarding four honorary degrees this year and the identity of those people, he said, would be released at a subsequent date.

He urged all members of the Board to be present as this was a big activity of the year and certainly one to recognize and acknowledge the success SVSC students had achieved in attaining their degrees.

With the exception of Mr. Braun, Dr. Sharp noted, he thought everyone had their own academic regalia. He suggested that Mr. Braun get with Opal, who was the keeper of the gowns.

V. OTHER ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION

21. SVSC Sunday Brunch

Dr. Gilmore indicated he had heard about the Sunday brunch and would like to know a little bit more about it.

Mr. Woodcock explained that in an attempt to upgrade eating on Sunday for the students who were on campus over the weekend, John Curry was putting together a brunch, which would be opened up to the rest of the college community and the public in general, by word of mouth...they were not advertising and not trying to compete with Bay Valley, the Sheraton, or anybody else. He indicated that there would be a brunch in the Doan Center Cafeteria starting on Sunday, March 14, and continuing for five weeks...serving time 11:00 a.m. to 1:30 p.m. This was being done on an experimental basis for this spring, he said, and depending upon how it went, a decision would be made as to whether or not to continue it next fall.

Mr. Rummel displayed a brochure "Brunch with the Bunch" which he said would be mailed to members of the Board and would also be included with The Interior this week. He noted that it contained a listing of entres for three weeks, and also the cost per person.

Mr. Woodcock indicated that although the brunch would be a lot fancier than the food normally served the students on Sunday, there would be no additional cost to the student. He welcomed anyone present to attend if they could.

Continuing, Mr. Woodcock stressed that if the brunches worked out well, with enough of the public attending so that the brunches paid for themselves...and this would be an opportunity, for example for the parents of students to come in from off-campus, perhaps Bad Axe, or whatever, eat with their children, and then take a look at the campus...or perhaps have a tour on campus, they might be continued.

Dr. Ryder pointed out that if the brunches were successful and SVSC was able to make money on them, then that would help to keep the total cost of room and board down to the students.

Mr. Woodcock stressed he was hopeful the revenue would just cover the cost... because it was going to be more expensive to offer that to the student body too, because the students would go through as part of their normal meal plan and would get a lot higher level of meal than before.

22. Report on MAGB

Mr. Curtiss stated that he had three items from the MAGB meeting he attended last week about which he would like to comment.

1. Awards Convocation

Mr. Curtiss indicated he thought the members of the Board had received some communication with regard to an Awards Convocation that was tentatively scheduled for April...the date was not yet set...it probably would be in Lansing. The speaker they thought they had lined up fell through and they were now trying to find a new speaker. Mr. Curtiss indicated that if the Board members did get notices on it prior to SVSC's next meeting, they would like very much to have a good attendance from each of the Boards throughout the state.

Mrs. Darin asked if it were going to be during the week or weekend.

Mr. Curtiss responded that he believed it was going to be during the week...they hadn't set a date yet, but it would be set, he thought, fairly soon...probably before SVSC's May Board meeting. This, he said, would be a very nice function, honoring two faculty members and two students from nine of the fifteen colleges.

2. MAGB Report Newsletter

Mr. Curtiss indicated that he believed that within the last week or so the Board members had received copies of a MAGB Report Newsletter, which was something new that they were trying out. One of the reasons for doing it was the hope that individual trustees would look for opportunities to speak on behalf of higher education in Michigan, and this would start providing them with some background data that they might find helpful in preparing comments.

They got, along with that newsletter, a postcard, and they would like very much to have responses as to whether that kind of a newsletter would be helpful. It was extra work for whoever was doing it, Mr. Curtiss noted, but if they liked the idea, he thought MAGB would be very happy to do it periodically. There was a second edition in the works, Mr. Curtiss concluded, which they would be getting. He asked that the Board members please respond with the postcard, if they could.

3. MAGB Records

Mr. Curtiss advised that MAGB was having some difficulty in terms of maintaining adequate records of continuity and secretarial assistance. Up to this point, he said, the institution from which the Chair came had been asked annually to handle all the paperwork for MAGB, and that meant that the current person, who was in her second term, would have the responsibility for another year.

This was the first time, Mr. Curtiss observed, that the Chair had lasted longer than a year. So, every year, the whole process of trying to update lists of trustees, mailing lists, etc., started all over again. MAGB had requested that the Presidents Council provide part-time secretarial assistance to handle this effort so that it didn't have to move around each year. The initial reaction from the Presidents Council, he concluded was negative, but MAGB was pursuing.

23. BIDI

Mrs. Arbury noted that she had a nitpicking item...she was sorry that she didn't mention it at the time they were talking about BIDI, the Articles of Incorporation and all that...but, she said, in one paragraph that said "some officer would be allowed to do something within the realm of what any prudent man would do" and she thought it should be "prudent person"...it just jumped at you from the page.

Dr. Ryder responded that they would have to talk with the attorney about that

Mr. Curtiss asked Mrs. Arbury if she knew who the attorney was. She responded that she didn't. When Mr. Curtiss told her it was Jim Kendall, she said she was going to call him up that night.

24. Communication from AGB

Chairman Kendall announced that there had been a request from the AGB that a Board member, if possible, take up the gauntlet to work in conjunction with the Public Policy Committee of the AGB in order to give better information, etc.

They would like to have somebody who was knowledgeable on policy issues and in considering that request, Mr. Kendall said, he didn't know how deeply Mr. Curtiss

had been involved, but if possible, he suggested perhaps they could ask Mr. Curtiss to move his assignment from the Delegate to MAGB over to that policy part of AGB, and at the same time, Mrs. Saltzman, who was the Alternate to MAGB, might be willing to switch, and then the Board would need to seek another Alternate for the MAGB.

Mr. Curtiss indicated he didn't know that there would be many meetings of the AGB...it looked like correspondence to him. Mr. Kendall agreed...it looked like correspondence and being available.

Mr. Kendall stated that he didn't want to take the time to read the communication, but suggested that perhaps they should distribute it so that everybody understood what they were talking about. Basically, Mr. Kendall said, the affiliation that presently existed with Mr. Curtiss being the MAGB Delegate for this Board, with Mrs. Saltzman being the Delegate...if they could get Mr. Curtiss to look at the AGB Public Policy Committee from his point of view and taking part in that... Mrs. Saltzman becoming the Delegate to MAGB, they could serve both MAGB and AGB.

Discussion revealed there was a consensus this matter should be resolved today, so Chairman Kendall asked Mr. Curtiss to serve as liaison to AGB, Mrs. Saltzman to serve as Delegate to MAGB, and Mr. Curtiss to serve as Alternate to MAGB until another Board member could be appointed.

VI. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to conduct, Chairman Kendall adjourned the meeting at 12:00 noon.

March 8, 1982

1982- 75

Respectfully submitted,

John W. Kendall--Chairman

Florence F. Saltzman--Secretary

JMR
omc

Opal M. Colvin
Opal M. Colvin--Recording Secretary