

SAGINAW VALLEY STATE COLLEGE

BOARD OF CONTROL

MINUTES

JUNE 8, 1981

INDEX OF ACTIONS

FOR LIBRARY USE ONLY
PAGE

BM-590	RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL OF SCHEDULE OF REGULAR MEETINGS OF THE BOARD OF CONTROL FOR 1981-82 <u>APPROVED</u>	4
BM-591	RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL OF THE APPOINTMENT OF MR. BERT W. BAKER AS A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF FELLOWS <u>APPROVED</u>	6
RES-505	RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION ON THE TENURE APPOINTMENTS OF FACULTY <u>APPROVED</u>	7
BM-592	AMENDMENT TO RES-505 <u>APPROVED</u>	8
RES-506	RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION ON THE PROMOTION OF FACULTY <u>APPROVED</u>	9
RES-507	RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL OF BID ON PARKING LOT "E" SURFACING <u>APPROVED</u>	10
BM-593	AMENDMENT TO RES-507 <u>APPROVED</u>	11
RES-508	RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL OF BID ON PARKING LOT "E" LIGHTING <u>APPROVED</u>	12
BM-594	AMENDMENT TO RES-508 <u>APPROVED</u>	13
RES-509	RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION COMMENDING THE SVSC OUTDOOR TRACK TEAMS FOR THEIR SUCCESS IN THE NAIA NATIONAL CHAMPIONSHIP COMPETITION <u>APPROVED</u>	14
RES-510	RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION HONORING 1981 SVSC GOLF TEAM <u>APPROVED</u>	15
RES-511	AUTHORIZATION TO CONTINUE GENERAL FUND BUDGET EXPENDITURES FOR 1981-82 <u>APPROVED</u>	16
BM-595	AMENDMENT TO RES-511 <u>APPROVED</u>	18
RES-512	RESOLUTION EXTENDING APPRECIATION TO CHARLES B. CURTISS FOR HIS OUTSTANDING CONTRIBUTIONS AS CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF CONTROL <u>APPROVED</u>	28
BM-596	RECOMMENDATION THAT THE BOARD RECESS FOR LUNCH AND THEN GO INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE OF DISCUSSING PENDING LITIGATION AND NEGOTIATIONS <u>APPROVED</u>	31

MINUTES

1981-114

BOARD OF CONTROL
SAGINAW VALLEY STATE COLLEGE

REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING

Pioneer Room--Pioneer Hall of Engineering and Technology
June 8, 1981

Present: Arbury
Curtiss
Darin
Kendall
Saltzman
Williams
Zahnow

Others

Present: Beal
Brett
Chen
Colvin
Dickey
Fitzpatrick
Gilbert
Hamling
Hanes
Lange
Rummel
Ryder
Sharp
Smith
Thompson
Woodcock
Press (1)
Chinese Visiting Scholars: G. Chen, M. Chen, Q. Shan

Absent: Gilmore, excused

I. CALL TO ORDER.

Chairman Curtiss called the meeting to order at 9:40 a.m. and observed that a quorum was present.

II. PROCEDURAL ITEMS

1. Approval of Minutes of Regular Monthly Meeting held on May 8, 1981

Chairman Curtiss noted that the Minutes of the last Regular Monthly Meeting

held on May 8, 1981 were mailed and asked if there were any additions or corrections. Hearing none, he declared the Minutes approved as mailed.

2. Official Representative of Faculty Association

Chairman Curtiss advised he had been notified by Professor Donald Novey this morning that he would be unable to attend today's meeting. He asked if there were any other representative of the SVSC Faculty Association present.

President Ryder indicated there was no representative in attendance.

3. Communications and Requests to Appear before the Board

Chairman Curtiss asked if there were any communications or requests to appear before the Board.

Dr. Ryder responded that there were none.

4. Remarks by the President

President Ryder introduced Jerry Hamling, the new President of Student Government, attending his first meeting of the Board of Control and told him they were very pleased to have him there.

On behalf of the Board of Control, Chairman Curtiss welcomed him to the table.

Dr. Ryder noted that Mr. Hamling's home was in Bridgeport and that he was on the SVSC Track Team. He asked Mr. Hamling what he was running, and Mr. Hamling responded that he was a sprinter...100 and 200 meters, and long jump also.

Dr. Zahnow observed that there was an article in the Saginaw paper that indicated that Mr. Hamling was on in some other ways too.

Dr. Ryder asked Mr. Hamling if he would like to comment about that.

Mr. Hamling said that he would...what had happened, he had had an interview

with Tony Dearing of The Saginaw News a couple of weeks ago...the two of them discussed the tuition increase and he indicated that Student Government was going to do a student study of looking at all areas of SVSC...its dorms, food service, administration, faculty, etc. to determine perhaps if there were ways for the school to save money. Mr. Dearing, he noted, just picked up on the administration and asked if he thought there were too many administrators. His response was that he didn't know...sometimes he wondered if SVSC maybe had a little too much fat at the top.

As soon as he got the paper, saw the article on the front page, and realized that Mr. Dearing had used his reference to administration as his focal point of the article, Mr. Hamling said, he knew he was in trouble.

Dr. Williams observed that Mr. Hamling had learned a very valuable lesson in dealing with the press...one he would always remember, because it wouldn't change.

President Ryder noted that before most of the administrators had seen the article, Mr. Hamling had distributed a memo to them apologizing for the misleading article. Dr. Ryder stressed that Mr. Dearing, up to then, had done a fine job of reporting for SVSC...had been very careful about getting the facts...but obviously, he hadn't done so this time. Mr. Rummel contacted Mr. Dearing about the article and Mr. Dearing apologized and said that he had blown it and hadn't done it right. This made the people connected with the college feel a little bit better about it, Dr. Ryder concluded, but there were many readers of The Saginaw News who read the article who were not aware of how inaccurate it really was.

Dr. Zahnow told Mr. Hamling it wasn't a total loss for him because it was a good picture of him that was used along with the article.

Mr. Hamling indicated he would have preferred that the article be correct.

III. ACTION ITEMS

5. Recommendation for Approval of Schedule of Regular Meetings of the Board of Control for 1981-82

Chairman Curtiss referred to the Proposed Schedule, Agenda Item #5, included in Board packets and asked if someone would care to move its adoption.

BM-590 It was moved and supported that the attached Schedule of 1981-1982 Regular and Committee Meetings of the Saginaw Valley State College Board of Control be adopted.

Mr. Curtiss pointed out that the Open Meetings Act required the Board to publish a Schedule of Meetings for the forthcoming year prior to the end of June. This schedule, he said, could be changed by calling Special Meetings, but the Board did have to set up at least a tentative schedule for the year.

President Ryder explained that the recommendation for this type of a schedule calling for Regular and Committee Meetings of the Board was from the Board Policies Committee.

Chairman Curtiss recalled that at some of their Regular Board meetings they had had relatively few action items, and while it was nice to get together to discuss happenings of the college, the Committee suggested the Board concentrate on action items at Regular Board meetings and use the other times for Committee meetings. Thus, he said, this Schedule was a means for the members of the Board to put the Board Committee meetings on their calendars.

Dr. Ryder stressed that these three scheduled Board Committee meetings did not take the place of the usual Committee meetings that followed the Regular Board meetings...if the two committees...Business, Finance and Investments Committee...and Academic, Personnel and Facilities Planning Committee had material for review and discussion, they would meet as usual...if not, one or both could be cancelled and Board members would be free to leave.

1981-1982*
REGULAR AND COMMITTEE MEETINGS
OF THE
SAGINAW VALLEY STATE COLLEGE
BOARD OF CONTROL
PIONEER ROOM
PIONEER HALL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

<u>DAY</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>REGULAR BOARD MEETINGS</u>	<u>BOARD COMMITTEE MEETINGS</u>	<u>TIME</u>
MONDAY	AUGUST 10, 1981	X		9:30 A.M.
MONDAY	SEPTEMBER 14, 1981		X	9:30 A.M.
MONDAY	OCTOBER 12, 1981	X		9:30 A.M.
MONDAY	NOVEMBER 9, 1981		X	9:30 A.M.
MONDAY	DECEMBER 14, 1981	X		9:30 A.M.
MONDAY	JANUARY 11, 1982	X		9:30 A.M.
MONDAY	FEBRUARY 8, 1982		X	9:30 A.M.
MONDAY	MARCH 8, 1982	X		9:30 A.M.
FRIDAY	APRIL 30, 1982	X		9:30 A.M.
MONDAY	JUNE 14, 1982	X		9:30 A.M.

*Consider one off-campus meeting.

JMR:omc
5/29/81
Adopted 6/8/81

Also, Dr. Ryder said, if it appeared necessary to have a Regular Board meeting on a date scheduled for a Board Committee meeting, a notice would be sent out calling for a Special Board meeting on that date, but the intent was not to do that unless it was essential to do so. In the event such a meeting were scheduled, only the items specified on the Agenda would be discussed.

Should it not be necessary to have the three Board Committee meetings scheduled, Dr. Ryder added, they could be cancelled.

Dr. Ryder, referring to a Board resolution adopted in March, which directed the administration to propose that at least one Board meeting be scheduled off campus once during the year, indicated this would be discussed in committee and would probably be brought to the Board at the August meeting.

Chairman Curtiss noted that in looking at the proposed Schedule he was wondering when they could meet off campus...if they did go off campus, they should be careful that they didn't have a really extended period without a meeting on campus. He stressed that he didn't anticipate problems, but he thought they should certainly be accessible to the people on campus should a problem arise.

Dr. Ryder agreed and suggested they might even have a Board Committee meeting off campus, although that didn't conform to the intent of the resolution, but, he concluded, they had changed the pattern of Regular Board and Board Committee meetings in this Schedule, so even that could be considered.

Hearing no further discussion on the motion to adopt the Schedule, Chairman Curtiss called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried to adopt the 1981-1982 Schedule of Meetings as proposed.

Dr. Ryder noted that since there was no July meeting scheduled, the next

meeting of the Board would be on August 10, 1981. He indicated that if a situation arose where a July meeting were needed, Board members would be advised...normally, however, the Board had not met in July.

6. Recommendation for Approval of the Appointment of Mr. Bert W. Baker as a Member of the Board of Fellows

Dr. Ryder distributed copies of a memo from Cy Smith to him regarding Mr. Baker's appointment to the Board of Fellows. He reviewed and then suggested a motion would be in order to approve the appointment.

Chairman Curtiss called for a motion.

BM-591 It was moved and supported that the appointment of Mr. Bert W. Baker, Administrative Director-Finance, Bay Medical Center, to the Board of Fellows, be approved.

Mr. Curtiss asked if there were any discussion.

Dr. Ryder observed that the Board of Fellows had several members from Bay City accept the emeritus status...they needed to be replacing some of them. SVSC's relationship with the Bay Medical Center, he said, was an important one now and for the future, and it was the feeling that Mr. Baker would be a good person to serve on the Board of Fellows and thus, he recommended that his appointment be approved.

Hearing no further discussion, Chairman Curtiss called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried to approve the appointment of Mr. Bert W. Baker to the Board of Fellows.

7. Recommendation for Approval of Recommendation on the Tenure Appointments of Faculty

Chairman Curtiss noted that the members of the Board had been mailed copies of this recommendation. Dr. Ryder called upon Dr. Lange to review and suggested that she be certain all present had copies, because it had not been distributed earlier.

Dr. Lange distributed additional copies of the recommendation for tenure, and then distributed copies of Page 33 of the SVSC Faculty Contract dealing with tenure and promotion (see Attachment) and reviewed in detail.

Chairman Curtiss noted that there had not been a motion to adopt the resolution and asked if someone would care to move its adoption.

RES-505 It was moved and supported that the following resolution be adopted:
WHEREAS, Faculty Tenure at Saginaw Valley State College means continuous employment of a faculty member, or at least a basic two semester appointment during each fiscal year, with discharge or termination only for just cause, and
WHEREAS, The College Professional Practices Committee has reviewed and recommended the following faculty members for tenure;
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That Mr. Jon Cisky, Associate Professor of Criminal Justice, Dr. Douglas Hansen, Associate Professor of Physical Education, Dr. Richard Trdan, Assistant Professor of Biology, and Dr. Gene Yang, Associate Professor of Biology, be granted faculty tenure effective July 1, 1981.

Chairman Curtiss asked if there were any discussion.

Mrs. Saltzman, in reference to the clause in the resolution "with discharge or termination only for just cause" raised the question of "termination for financial reasons" noting that there had been a court case over whether or not faculty could be terminated for financial reasons.

Dr. Lange advised that there were provisions in the SVSC Faculty Contract that in the event of financial exigency, there may be reductions in personnel and also that there were procedures delineated for that purpose.

Dr. Williams asked if tenure wasn't defined in the college operating procedures or the Faculty Contract. Dr. Lange responded that it was. Dr. Williams then asked why they had to recite what tenure meant in the resolution. Dr. Lange indicated it was simply a quote from the contract. Dr. Williams observed that

ATTACHMENT

III. 7. Recommendation for Approval of Resolution on the Tenure Appointments of Faculty

The following criteria provide guidelines for evaluating faculty performance. It is recognized, however, that precision is very difficult in this area and that in many cases outstanding achievement in one or more areas set forth below may justify lesser achievement in other areas.

The criteria are as follows:

1. Teaching Performance: to be evaluated by course evaluation forms, classroom visits, student evaluations, and peer evaluations. If necessary and desirable, other procedures may be developed by agreement between the F.A. and the College.

2. Productive Scholarship, creative activities, and participation in professional societies.

3. College service and leadership in student activities. defined as service on standing or ad hoc committees, either elective or appointive; participation in departmental activities; Faculty Association leadership functions, sponsorship of student functions, etc.

4. Community Service activities which favorably reflect upon and/or bring credit to SVSC, when such activities are determined to be of a significant nature.

Except where specifically limited by this agreement, these criteria shall apply to any evaluation of faculty members.

An individual shall be considered automatically for tenure after accumulating five (5) years of service at SVSC (less experience credit at initial appointment). An individual shall be automatically considered for promotion after serving the normal minimum number of years in rank (length of service, however, will not be an automatic reason for promotion.)

Alternatively, an individual may be considered for promotion before serving the minimum number of years upon nomination and recommendation by his/her department and dean or director. The normal minimum for each rank shall be as follows:

1. Four years in the rank of Instructor before promotion to the rank of Assistant Professor.

2. Four years in the rank of Assistant Professor before promotion to the rank of Associate Professor.

3. Five years in the rank of Associate Professor before promotion to the rank of Professor.

they could change the contract but it was not necessarily going to change this resolution.

Chairman Curtiss asked Dr. Williams if he would like to amend the resolution. Dr. Williams responded that he would.

BM-592 It was moved and supported that the resolution on tenure be amended to delete the entire first paragraph.

Mr. Curtiss indicated he didn't think that deletion changed the substance of what the resolution said, but accomplished the same. He asked if there were and discussion on the amendment. Hearing none, he called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried to amend the resolution on tenure by deleting the entire first paragraph.

Mr. Curtiss then asked if there were any further discussion on the resolution. Hearing none, he called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried to adopt RES-505, as amended, approving tenure for four faculty members.

8. Recommendation for Approval of Resolution on the Promotion of Faculty

Dr. Ryder advised he would have Dr. Lange review this resolution, but before she did, he would like to point out that the promotion process was considered to be a very important one at the institution...faculty who made the kind of progress that promotion represented should be recognized. Along with the distinction and prestige of promotion from one rank to another, he said, was additional compensation over and above any other salary increase which might be negotiated in the contract...the additional compensation for promotion would be: from Instructor to Assistant Professor--\$800...from Assistant Professor to Associate Professor--\$1,000...from Associate Professor to Full Professor--\$1,500.

Dr. Lange distributed copies of the resolution on promotion to those present

who had not already received copies, and reviewed in detail.

Chairman Curtiss asked if someone would care to move its adoption.

RES-506 It was moved and supported that the following resolution be adopted:
WHEREAS, Faculty services to the College and the community are recognized by means of promotion, and
WHEREAS, The College Professional Practices Committee has reviewed and recommended the faculty members for professional distinction;
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the following faculty members are granted promotion to the ranks specified effective July 1, 1981.

To the Rank of Assistant Professor

Ms. Pamela Chally	Nursing
Ms. Eunice Hinz	Nursing

To the Rank of Associate Professor

Dr. David Dalgarn	Biology
Dr. Susie Emond	Education
Dr. Altaf Rahman	Electrical Engineering
Dr. Alexander Ross	Sociology
Mr. Dale Sultzbaugh	Social Work

To the Rank of Professor

Dr. Charles Brown	Music
Dr. Rose Novey	Mathematical Science
Dr. Bernyce Smith	English/Education
Dr. Gene Yang	Biology

Chairman Curtiss asked if there were any discussion.

Mrs. Saltzman, noting that only two of the criteria for promotion were listed in the resolution, asked about the other two...teaching performance and scholarship.

Dr. Lange responded that "faculty services to the college and community" was used as a broad term and included teaching performance and scholarship. Dr. Ryder concurred.

Hearing no further discussion, Chairman Curtiss called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried to adopt RES-506 approving 11 faculty promotions.

9. Recommendation for Approval of Bid on Parking Lot "E" Surfacing

Chairman Curtiss indicated this recommendation had not been included in the Board packets. Dr. Ryder distributed copies and advised they could not be prepared until last Friday.

Mr. Curtiss asked if someone would care to move its adoption.

RES-507 It was moved and supported that the following resolution be adopted:
WHEREAS, A need has been recognized to build a new parking lot, install curbing, sidewalks and paving for Parking Lot "E", and
WHEREAS, Bids have been received for this construction work on Lot "E", and
WHEREAS, Five bids were received and formally opened on June 5, 1981, and the lowest bid in the amount of \$107,592.50 was submitted by the Saginaw Asphalt Paving Company of Saginaw, Michigan;
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That documents be prepared awarding a contract to Saginaw Asphalt Paving Company for this amount, and
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That all payments related to this project be made from the accumulated earnings from parking lot operations as held in the Auxiliary Activities Fund.

Chairman Curtiss asked if there were any discussion.

Mrs. Darin recalled reading in the Minutes of the Academic, Personnel and Facilities Planning Committee that Mr. Curtiss had suggested little islands with trees and asked if they would be included in this lot.

Dr. Gilbert advised that they were not part of this bid...they had unit costs which might be extended to the islands, but they did not have time to complete specifications for them.

Mr. Curtiss noted that they could go wild on islands but he personally would like to see a mass of blacktop broken up and was glad to know that still could be done.

Dr. Williams observed that having islands in a parking lot certainly added to

maintenance costs...having islands in a parking lot made it a lot harder to plow in the winter.

Mr. Curtiss noted that Parking Lot "D" behind Wickes Hall had islands and he would like to see Parking Lot "E" have islands also.

Mr. Woodcock pointed out that the amount of accumulated earnings at this time was not sufficient to totally fund this project now...it would be a combination of accumulated earnings now and those that would be projected for the next year or two. Thus, he recommended that they delete the last paragraph of the resolution.

Discussion revealed, however, that the deletion of one word "accumulated" in the last paragraph was all that was needed and Chairman Curtiss asked if anyone would care to move to amend the resolution.

BM-593 It was moved and supported that the resolution be amended to delete the word "accumulated" from the last paragraph.

Chairman Curtiss asked if there were any discussion on the amendment. Hearing none, he called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried to amend the resolution.

Chairman Curtiss then asked if there were any further discussion on the resolution. Hearing none he called for a vote on the resolution as amended.

Motion unanimously carried to adopt RES-507, as amended, for approval of bid on Parking Lot "E" surfacing.

9A. Recommendation for Approval of Bid on Parking Lot "E" Lighting

Copies of this recommendation were distributed by President Ryder who noted this second resolution was needed with respect to Parking Lot "E" because it dealt specifically with the lighting work on the lot.

Chairman Curtiss noted that the word "accumulated" should be deleted from this resolution and asked if anyone would care to move its adoption as changed.

RES-508 It was moved and supported that the following resolution be adopted:
WHEREAS, A need has been recognized to install lighting for Parking Lot "E", and
WHEREAS, Bids have been received for this lighting work on Lot "E", and
*WHEREAS, Six bids were received and formally opened on June 5, 1981, and the lowest bid in the amount of \$49,945.00 was submitted by the Delta Electric Company of Saginaw, Michigan;
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That documents be prepared awarding a contract to Delta Electric Company for this amount, and
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That all payments related to this project are to be made from the earnings from parking lot operations as held in the Auxiliary Activities Fund.

*Award to low bidder is contingent on his ability to obtain a 100% performance bond and submission of adequate job experience. Second low bid is \$52,366.00.

Mr. Curtiss indicated he didn't know Delta Electric Company and asked for information about it.

Mr. Hanes advised that this was a brand new company...this would be its first big job. The bids weren't opened until 3:30 p.m. on Friday, June 5, 1981, and they wound up with the problem of not being able to determine before this Board meeting whether or not Delta Electric Company could get a 100% performance bond. That was the reason, he said, that the notation was added at the bottom of the resolution. He pointed out that lighting had to go in prior to the paving of the lot, and thus, to avoid any delay in awarding the contract, the provision of the second low bidder... MacMillan Electric Company, was included.

President Ryder asked if they shouldn't name the company giving the second lowest bid.

Chairman Curtiss suggested that the following be considered as an amendment

to Paragraph 4 of the resolution:

"NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That documents be prepared awarding a contract to Delta Electric Company, the lowest bidder, in the amount of \$49,945.00, contingent upon it being able to obtain a 100% performance bond, and failing that, that the contract be awarded to the second lowest bidder, MacMillan Electric Company, in the amount of \$52,366.00."

Discussion revealed that this total amended paragraph should become a part of the resolution, with the notation at the bottom deleted. Chairman Curtiss called for a motion to amend.

BM-594 It was moved and supported that the resolution be amended, substituting the following paragraph for Paragraph 4 of the resolution:
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That documents be prepared awarding a contract to Delta Electric Company, the lowest bidder, in the amount of \$49,945.00, contingent upon it being able to obtain a 100% performance bond, and failing that, that the contract be awarded to the second lowest bidder, MacMillan Electric Company, in the amount of \$52,366.00.

Mr. Curtiss asked Mr. Hanes if this amendment to the resolution met his objectives. Mr. Hanes responded that it did. Mr. Curtiss asked if there were any discussion on the amendment. Hearing none, he called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried to amend the resolution.

Mr. Curtiss then asked if there were any further discussion on the resolution as amended. Hearing none, he called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried to adopt RES-508, as amended, for approval of the bid on Parking Lot "E" lighting.

10. Recommendation for Approval of Resolution commending the SVSC Outdoor Track Teams for their Success in the NAIA National Championship Competition

Chairman Curtiss indicated copies of this resolution were included in Board packets and asked if someone would care to move its adoption.

Mrs. Saltzman suggested that before doing so, the Board members should look at Paragraph 5 of the resolution which referred to "lady Cardinal Tena Forrester"... she asked that they consider deleting "lady Cardinal" to be consistent, because no reference was made to "sex" or "Cardinal" in Paragraph 4 where they were referring to men.

Discussion revealed that Tena Forrester should more appropriately be referred to as sophomore, junior, or whatever class rank she had. It was agreed by consensus that her rank, when verified, would be substituted for "lady Cardinal."

Chairman Curtiss then called for a motion to adopt the resolution.

RES-509 It was moved and supported that the following resolution be adopted:

WHEREAS, Both the men's and women's outdoor track teams won first place honors for 1981 in the Great Lakes Inter-collegiate Athletic Conference, and

WHEREAS, Freshman Eddie Brown set a National Association of Intercollegiate Athletics record in the 400-meter intermediate hurdles May 23 in the NAIA Outdoor Track and Field Championships, thus earning a first-place finish in the finals for that event, becoming the second national champion in SVSC's history, and

WHEREAS, Brown also won all-American honors in the triple jump, and

WHEREAS, Sophomore Calvin McQueen, junior John Stadwick, junior Evans Lalas, junior Dave Stimpson and senior Ben Laser all won all-American honors, with McQueen and Stadwick in the 3,000-meter steeple chase, Lalas in the 400-meter dash, Stimpson in the 1,500-meter run and Laser in the hammer throw, and

WHEREAS, Junior Tena Forrester became an all-American for her performance in the shot put, and

WHEREAS, The men's team placed sixth nationally in the NAIA Outdoor Track and Field Championships;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That we congratulate coach Dr. Douglas Hansen and the members of the men's and women's outdoor track teams for their consistently fine efforts and for the recognition they have brought to SVSC and to themselves because of their accomplishments.

Chairman Curtiss asked if there were any further discussion. Hearing none,

he called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried to adopt RES-509, as changed, commending the SVSC Outdoor Track Teams for their success in the NAIA National Championship Competition.

10A. Recommendation for Approval of Resolution honoring 1981 SVSC Golf Team

Dr. Ryder distributed copies of the proposed resolution and advised that the golf team had just returned from Waterwood, Texas last Saturday.

Mr. Curtiss observed that "Waterwood" was an appropriate name because he had heard that it had rained all week. Dr. Ryder indicated that was correct... they were not able to complete the normal number of rounds of golf because of the constant rain, but SVSC's golf team did come in 14th. He reviewed the tournament that SVSC hosted last year in which it came in 4th in the nation, and had two all-Americans...it had no all-Americans this year.

Chairman Curtiss asked if someone would care to move the adoption of the resolution.

RES-510 It was moved and supported that the following resolution be adopted:
WHEREAS, The SVSC 1981 golf team placed second in the Great Lakes Intercollegiate Athletic Conference and in NAIA District 12, and
WHEREAS, The team competed in the NAIA National Golf Tournament June 2-5 at Waterwood Country Club, Waterwood, Texas, and
WHEREAS, The team completed the tournament with 956 strokes for 54 holes, thus ranking them 14th in the nation, and
WHEREAS, SVSC's top performances were by Craig Cottrill with a 230 score, Jim Leach with 238 and Jim Quellman with 239;
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the Board of Control congratulate the team members and coach Robert Becker for their outstanding performance and extend best wishes for continued accomplishments in the future.

Chairman Curtiss asked if there were any discussion.

Dr. Williams stated that he was not sure that the Board ought to be doing this...he was not sure this was a good enough performance to warrant a resolution by the Board. He suggested that if SVSC's team were #1 in the district, or placed in the top five in the nation, it might be all right, but to place #2 in the district and 14th in the nation, he said, if that were the criteria for special Board resolutions, it could be having such resolutions for almost every athletic team it had...he felt it somehow destroyed the value of them.

Both Dr. Ryder and Mr. Curtiss defended SVSC's golf team attainment of 14th place in the nation out of 32 teams participating...just making the cut was an accomplishment. Mr. Curtiss stressed he thought it was a pretty responsible performance, particularly when he suspected that there were no more than two schools north of the Mason-Dixon line out of the fourteen.

Dr. Williams concluded he thought it was a good job and he was proud of the guys, but reiterated that the Board ought to reserve something for extra-special kinds of performance...this was good, but not extra-special. He requested that they go ahead and vote.

Hearing no further discussion, Chairman Curtiss called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried to adopt RES-510 honoring 1981 SVSC Golf Team.

10B. Authorization to Continue General Fund Budget Expenditures for 1981-82

Chairman Curtiss asked if someone would care to move adoption of this resolution.

RES-511 It was moved and supported that the following resolution be adopted:
WHEREAS, The operating budget will expire on June 30, and
WHEREAS, The 1981-82 General Fund operating budget for the
College is currently in the process of being developed;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the administration of the College is hereby authorized to continue General Fund expenditures for salaries and wages and for such other services, supplies and equipment as may reasonably be required to permit continued operation of the College at a level consistent with the operating budget for the 1980-81 fiscal year or as changed by the President.

Dr. Ryder explained that the basic reason for this resolution was to keep operating until the August meeting at which time the Board would adopt a budget...this had been done rather consistently over the years. He noted that this was the first year that conceivably they could have had a budget by June, but since there were so many variables, the proposed budget would not be ready until August.

Dr. Williams, referring to the last phrase in the resolution "or as changed by the President" asked if it had always been used as part of the resolution...to him, that was sort of a blank check for the President to operate with whatever budget he wanted to use.

Mr. Curtiss responded that it was not so in the early years of the institution, but in the last five years or so the phrase had been used. He noted that he would prefer that it not be there, but on the other hand, he said, he didn't see any advantage of unduly tying the hands of the administration.

Dr. Williams concurred, but suggested perhaps the resolution could be amended. Instead of saying "at a level consistent with the operating budget for the 1980-81 fiscal year or as changed by the President" say "at a level generally consistent with the operating budget for the 1980-81 year" deleting the phrase "or as changed by the President." This, Dr. Williams noted, would allow minor modifications to take care of things until a new budget were adopted.

Dr. Ryder indicated that was what the administration was really after.

Chairman Curtiss called for a motion to amend the resolution as suggested.

BM-595 It was moved and supported that Paragraph 3 of the resolution be amended to add the word "generally" before the word "consistent," put a period after the word "year" and delete the phrase "or as changed by the President."

Chairman Curtiss asked if there were any discussion on the amendment. Hearing none, he called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried to amend Paragraph 3 to read as follows:

"NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the administration of the College is hereby authorized to continue General Fund expenditures for salaries and wages and for such other services, supplies and equipment as may reasonably be required to permit continued operation of the College at a level generally consistent with the operating budget for the 1980-81 fiscal year."

Mr. Curtiss then asked if there were any further discussion on the resolution, as amended. Hearing none, he called for a vote.

Motion unanimously carried to adopt RES-511, as amended, authorizing continuation of General Fund budget expenditures for 1981-82.

IV. INFORMATION AND DISCUSSION ITEMS

11. Introduction of Research Scholars from the People's Republic of China

President Ryder presented Dr. Alistair M. Brett, Dean of the School of Science, Engineering and Technology, to introduce his visitors.

Dr. Brett said that it was his pleasure to introduce three distinguished guests that they had at the college...he would introduce them in turn, and then both he and Dr. Frank Chen, from the SVSC faculty, would say just a little bit about why they were there.

He introduced Gui-cong Chen and Qi-Zhe Shan, Professors of Physics, Shandong College of Oceanography, Quindao, China, who had been at SVSC since late February; and Ming-yi Chen, Professor of Mechanical Engineering, Shanghai University of Science

and Technology, who arrived last month. He noted that these three professors from the People's Republic of China had joined SVSC for a period of probably about two years as a part of the Chinese Exchange Program...as the Board members might know, many scholars, researchers, and scientists from the People's Republic of China were going abroad, and particularly many to the United States now to study and to work and to do research, mainly here...and at the same time, he thought, so that they could learn from us and we could learn something from them.

Dr. Brett stated that they had come to work here in the field of optical holography or coherent optics with Dr. Frank Chen, who is on the SVSC faculty. He asked Dr. Chen to say just a little bit more about what they would be doing.

Dr. Chen noted that during the past months they had been working on the process development of the rainbow holography resulting in a paper or a report, which he thought would be ready for publication very soon. The holograph itself, Dr. Chen said, was exhibited in the Library outside Pioneer Room...if they had a chance, he invited them to look at it...it was a kind of three-dimensional reproduction...reconstruction...but they could do it with white light. Conventionally, he noted, it had been done with laser light...in this case, it was white light.

Also, Dr. Chen said he would like to point out they were thinking that in the future this could be developed into a kind of a holographic movie, which was three-dimensional. Nobody had really succeeded so far in doing so in this kind of a system...in the Soviet Union and some of the commercial firms they had developed other types of holographic movies, but at this stage he wouldn't say that they were very long holographic movies. Dr. Chen concluded that in the next three months they would be trying to do things along this line.

Dr. Brett observed that perhaps he should point out, and overcome Dr. Chen's

natural modesty and say that Frank had somewhere around 25 publications in international journals in the field of holography in addition to applications, such as 300 movies. There were many industrial applications...industrial measurements particularly, Dr. Brett said, into which they could see themselves expanding.

Dr. Brett noted that this work was interesting to them because Dr. Chen had been able to achieve what really was an international reputation in this field, which perhaps was a little unusual for a college of SVSC's size and type. He said the School of Science, Engineering and Technology owed its thanks to the SVSC Foundation for supporting much of Dr. Chen's work.

Dr. Chen added his thanks to the SVSC Foundation and indicated that the SVSC people had been very supportive of him.

Dr. Brett, on behalf of Dr. Frank Chen and the three visitors from the People's Republic of China, thanked the Board for inviting them to the meeting today, and urged those present to stop by and view Dr. Chen's exhibit when they took a break.

Chairman Curtiss and President Ryder thanked them for coming and congratulated them on their accomplishments.

12. Admissions Report

President Ryder called upon Dick Thompson, Director of Admissions, for this report.

Mr. Thompson distributed copies of his May 1981 "Admissions Report--Fall Semester 1981" (see Attachment) which he reviewed in detail. He corrected the figure "1" to "3" under D. "Graduate M.B.A." in the second column entitled "Cumulative Number for Fall '81" and noted they couldn't very well have three

MAY 1981

MONTH YEAR

ADMISSIONS REPORT

FALL SEMESTER 1981

I. NEW STUDENTS		APPLICATIONS CUMULATIVE REC'D. THIS MONTH FOR	NUMBER FOR FALL '81	CUMULATIVE NUMBER THIS DATE LAST YEAR	PERCENT INCREASE/ DECREASE OVER FALL '80	FINAL NUMBER FALL '81
A. <u>BACHELOR DEGREE</u>						
1. <u>FTIC</u>						
a. <u>Tri Counties</u>						
	Applications	47	606	456	+32.9%	733
	Admitted	39	526	401		662
	Denied	40	62	22		40
<u>Bay County</u>						
	Applications	12	132	103	+28.2%	170
	Admitted	11	117	91		155
	Denied	7	15	2		6
<u>Midland County</u>						
	Applications	1	65	38	+71.1%	56
	Admitted	0	57	25		44
	Denied	4	5	5		5
<u>Saginaw County</u>						
	Applications	34	409	315	+29.8%	507
	Admitted	28	347	285		468
	Denied	29	42	17		29
<u>Other Michigan</u>						
	Applications	50	911	741	+22.9%	880
	Admitted	40	740	649		787
	Denied	27	75	68		98
<u>Other States</u>						
	Applications	4	18	28	-35.7%	29
	Admitted	1	14	16		16
	Denied	4	4	2		3
<u>TOTAL FTIC</u>						
	Applications	101	1535	1224	+25.4%	1642
	Admitted	80	1280	1066		1345
	Denied	71	141	94		149
2. <u>TRANSFERS</u>						
	Applications	79	310	314	-01.3%	940
	Admitted	65	231	251		868
	Denied	1	2	2		12
B. <u>NONDEGREE STUDENTS</u>						
<u>GUEST</u>						
	Applications	5	14	3	+66.6%	93
	Admitted	5	14	3		89
	Denied	0	0	0		0
<u>OTHER NONDEGREE</u>						
	Applications	3	7	5	+40.0%	148
	Admitted	3	7	5		147
	Denied	0	0	0		0
C. <u>GRADUATE M.A.T.</u>						
	Applications	12	37	38	-02.6%	110
	Admitted	12	37	36		106
	Denied	0	0	0		0
D. <u>GRADUATE M.B.A.</u>						
	Applications	0	3	11	-----	88
	Admitted	0	3	1		74
	Denied	0	0	0		0
II. <u>ALL APPLICATIONS</u>						
	Applications	200	1904	1596	+19.3%	3021
	Admitted	165	1572	1366		2629
	Denied	72	143	96		161
	Incomplete			134		251
RE-ADMITS						
APPLICATIONS		9				
ADMITTED		8				

admitted with only one application.

Mr. Thompson noted that although admissions looked very good at this time, he was cautiously optimistic because a lot of these admitted students were not yet enrolled. The months of June, July and August, he stressed, spoke to that... that was what it was all about...not how many had applied or been admitted... but indeed, how many SVSC had enrolled. He asked if there were any questions.

Chairman Curtiss asked if he had any feeling about what was happening at any of the other colleges in Michigan.

Mr. Thompson responded that he did...he had attended a meeting last month with all of the state colleges and universities, and not including SVSC, he said, there were two universities that were below last year in terms of admissions...they had not been able to even keep pace with last year...another three were "status quo" and the remaining were up all the way from closed admissions to being up a percent or two. When he left the meeting, he reported, SVSC was up the highest in terms of percent of applications in the FTIC category, followed by a couple of other universities...one was a close second, and then it was all the way from 2.0% to 10.0% up.

Dr. Zahnow asked if he had looked at the increase on the basis of the regional schools...were they the ones that were on the top of the list?

Mr. Thompson responded that they were not...as a matter of fact, there was a traditional residential campus that was up in the FTIC category. The regional campuses...the U of M--Flint at that time was about holding...Oakland was up 5.0% to 10.0% depending upon the category...Grand Valley was up considerably in its FTIC, but down in their Transfer category.

Chairman Curtiss and President Ryder thanked Mr. Thompson for his report.

13. Student Housing Report

Dr. Ryder called upon Dr. Beal, Dean of Students, for this report.

Dr. Beal distributed copies of his report of June 5, 1981 "SVSC Office of Residential Life--Residence Halls Contract File" (see Attachment) and reviewed in detail.

He explained that they were operating in a slightly different way than last year where they took returning student contracts on faith, hoping they could accept and honor all of them. This year, he said, they had anticipated they would have more returning students than they could, in fact, handle, so they established a ceiling of 240 spaces for returning students, hoping that number would decrease, so that by the time the school year started, they would approach the actual figure they had last year of 213. Noting the 266 contracts already received by returning students, Dr. Beal stated this meant that 26 of these returning students were already on "hold" and would be on a waiting list until June 26, at which point their contracts would be sent back with the notification that there was no way that they could get in the residence halls.

Likewise, Dr. Beal said, they were already sending back any contracts received from returning students submitted by them after May 29...that also was a new policy for SVSC this year where it wasn't even accepting contracts from returning students any longer.

Dr. Williams asked if this cut-off on returning students was to make room for new students. Dr. Beal indicated that it was. Dr. Ryder added that this was kind of a bad situation...they were already limiting the number of freshmen who could come in...but, they couldn't limit too much, otherwise they would be turning away freshmen in general. It was possible, he said, and they did encourage

ATTACHMENT
IV. 13. Student
Housing Report

SVSC OFFICE OF RESIDENTIAL LIFE
RESIDENCE HALLS CONTRACT FILE

DATE OF THIS REPORT 6/5/81

	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL	LAST YR. TOTAL
A. SPACE ADEQUACY				
1. Total Beds	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>496</u>	<u> </u>
2. Overload Spaces:				
a. Staff	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>9</u>	<u> </u>
(includes one permanent single)				
b. Handicapped	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>4</u>	<u> </u>
c. Hold Spaces	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>4</u>	<u> </u>
d. Total (a-c)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>17</u>	<u> </u>
3. Occupancy without overload (Normal capacity)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>479</u>	<u> </u>
B. TOTAL CONTRACTS RECEIVED	<u>216</u>	<u>229</u>	<u>445</u>	<u>287</u>
C. BREAKDOWN OF TOTAL CONTRACTS				(161 Males 126 Females)
1. a. Total Normal Occupancy	<u>201</u>	<u>211</u>	<u>412</u>	<u> </u>
(same as #A3)				
b. Waiting List	<u>15</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>10</u>
c. Contracts returned	<u> </u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u> </u>
(after May 29th)				
(not included in stats. below)				
2. a. 21 Meal Plan	<u>174</u>	<u>171</u>	<u>345</u>	<u>225</u>
b. 15 Meal Plan	<u>42</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>99</u>	<u>60</u>
3. a. Single Room Request	<u>21</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>51</u>
b. Double Room Request	<u>195</u>	<u>215</u>	<u>410</u>	<u>231</u>
4. a. Great Lakes	<u>116</u>	<u>120</u>	<u>236</u>	<u>173</u>
b. Tranquil	<u>93</u>	<u>104</u>	<u>197</u>	<u>105</u>
c. Other	<u>7</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>9</u>
5. a. Returning Students	<u>144</u>	<u>122</u>	<u>266</u>	<u>244</u>
b. New Students	<u>72</u>	<u>106</u>	<u>178</u>	<u>43</u>
D. 1. Contract Release Requests Received to Date	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>1</u>
2. Contract Release Requests Granted to Date	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

some of the upper classmen to live off campus...this was not an unusual pattern in other institutions when they had the problem like SVSC did, but not many of them have that problem right now.

Dr. Beal pointed out that SVSC's problem was exaggerated now because last year the college had more freshmen living in the dorms than in previous years. Last year's freshmen are going to be this coming fall's sophomores wanting housing.

Referring to the 178 contracts received from new students, as compared with only 43 received as of this date last year, Dr. Beal stated that this was undoubtedly due in part to the fact that SVSC's deadline date was moved up to June 12 for freshman students...he had no doubt that by the end of this week they were going to have more than enough freshman applications to fill the remaining spaces.

Chairman Curtiss asked if Dr. Beal had any way of finding out what percentage of SVSC's freshman applicants were considering living on campus...or were interested in doing so.

Dr. Beal responded he had only a rough way of getting at that information... when the students indicated they wanted to come to SVSC, they could indicate a housing preference. The numbers indicating that preference annually, he said, were astronomical...up into the 700 category. The number SVSC then turned into actual housing was much less. He concluded he couldn't really give a figure.

Mr. Curtiss asked if the reason for the decline was because (1) they changed their minds (2) they missed deadlines (3) they found there wasn't room for them (4) they don't come to school, or all of the above.

Dr. Beal responded he thought it was all of the above.

Dr. Ryder pointed out that it was his understanding that they would be working

closely with students who would not have housing on campus to try to help them in locating housing in the community.

Dr. Beal indicated that was correct...they were trying to improve on what they did last year...they were going to start in July and routinely every week, call every number that they had listed for off campus housing and keep a check-list of spaces filled and spaces available.

Mr. Curtiss asked if he thought there would be any interest on the part of students in just having a room off campus...or did they need an apartment.

Dr. Beal responded that a room only off campus would be feasible for some, although it was less desirable because of the food service...such an individual could buy food service at SVSC, but it became awkward with the commuting problems. SVSC, he said, did list rooms only last year.

Mr. Curtiss asked if there were a demand for them last year.

Dr. Beal indicated he couldn't tell what the demand for rooms only was versus apartments...they didn't have the 173 broken down...he would, however, be able to tell them shortly how many rooms only they had listed.

Mr. Curtiss suggested that Dr. Beal and he talk about this after the meeting.

Before moving on to the next topic, Dr. Ryder noted he would like to have Dr. Gilbert report on the progress in terms of developing housing.

Dr. Gilbert advised that they had been working with a private developer for some months...the problem had been with the Attorney General. At the college attorney's request, he said, they had asked the Attorney General whether leasing land to a private developer at a very low rate would, in fact, be lending credit of the state to the private individual. That question, he noted, had been before the Attorney General for probably three to four months now...he hoped that by

this coming Friday they would have an answer...it had been postponed about every week...it had been sent to their panel a number of times only to have it sent back for further review...three different departments in the Attorney General's office had reviewed the question. They were taking a very careful look at it, he said, because no one else in the state had done it, which was surprising to know... but it was true.

The last word he had, Dr. Gilbert continued, was that the draft of the opinion would go to the panel on Friday. The draft would give three options (1) pro (2) con (3) takes a medium position...so they really didn't know any more right now than they did when they started in terms of that question.

The other thing that they could look at, Dr. Gilbert said, was to look at an outright sale of the property with some reversionary clause...some way they could get it back at the end of the period of time. That was an open question and probably one they would talk about in committee in the afternoon.

They did have another party, Dr. Gilbert noted, that had expressed verbal interest in purchasing a facility near this area and putting in a rather small residence hall, and they were waiting for some written communication from them to decide what kind of a tie-in there might be with SVSC.

Right now, Dr. Gilbert concluded, they didn't see anything for fall term that was concrete.

14. Sponsored Programs Report

Dr. Ryder called upon Cy Smith, Director of Sponsored Programs for his comments about his report.

Mr. Smith noted that there was nothing unusual again in this month's report except that they did receive SVSC's first grant from the U.S. Air Force for

research...this was indicative, he thought, of an expanding research base on campus...it was a small grant, but was a very good one, since, as he said before, it was the first such grant that SVSC had ever received.

Mr. Smith asked if there were any questions about the report.

Dr. Ryder, referring to the large number of grants pending, and others recently submitted, asked if it was expected that SVSC would be getting an answer before October 1.

Mr. Smith responded that if they were going to be awarded, they had to be awarded by September 30, because of the fiscal year...the problem this year, as they all knew, was the budget recissions and budget decisions were still pending in most of the agencies...they were still pending in Congress...NSF particularly was still pending, and they didn't know about the CAUSE grant which should have been awarded in May, but it was still being held up.

Dr. Ryder indicated that the latest word he saw on Science Education... Item 10...the one that would have computing in it and so on...that originally the proposal by Reagan was to almost eliminate Science Education, but now they have come back in with a piece of that anyhow.

Mr. Smith advised that \$16 million had been put back in...it was not a whole lot, but it was better than nothing, and from what he had been reading, he said, there was quite a flap in Congress about cutting Science Education so much.

Mrs. Darin asked about the Bilingual Education, Item 7...as she recalled Reagan was vehemently against that...what had happened on that?

Mr. Smith indicated that what he actually wanted to do was to put it into what they called a "block grant" and let the states determine their distribution

of the funds. Bilingual, Mr. Smith said, ended up by not being cut because of the very strong lobbying effort, particularly by the Mexican-Americans...so, as of this date it was untouched, and SVSC was negotiating its award with the Bilingual office...it had just not received the actual paper contract...not for the full amount of \$141,502 but in the neighborhood of \$130,000.

Mrs. Darin asked about Financial Aid, Item 9.

Mr. Smith indicated that was still pending in Congress...SVSC had received its preliminary award but not the final one...the college was scheduled for around a half million dollars this year...an increase over what they had now.

Mr. Smith concluded that there were a number of things covered by the Financial Aid grant.

Dr. Ryder observed that Financial Aid was not as bad as it appeared originally, but the real question would be 1982...they didn't know what that was going to look like...it might be substantially less...they would know probably in the next month or two.

Mr. Smith observed that by the end of July and by the next Board meeting in August, they would have a very good idea of what SVSC would be receiving.

Dr. Ryder indicated that this made a difference too in several of SVSC's program areas in terms of its total budget. He recalled that one of the reasons he didn't recommend a tuition increase \$2.00 higher than what he did was because they didn't know about the grants, and depending upon how they came in, it would make some difference as to whether SVSC would have to do anything. Enrollment, he said, was another factor too...if enrollment came in in relation to what the admissions looked like...again that would help, and SVSC probably wouldn't have to increase the tuition rate.

Dr. Williams asked where the matching funds for grants came from.

Mr. Smith responded that matching funds generally represented a portion of normal expenses SVSC would incur anyway, such as a matching fund requirement for faculty salary...they would try to add in approximately 50% of a professor's salary, which would not be new expenses to SVSC...they tried to add in such things as telephone, etc. as matching funds...very seldom, he said, did they incur new expenses of matching...if they did have to, they generally went to the Foundation.

15. Personnel Report

Dr. Ryder distributed copies of the June 8, 1981 Personnel Report and indicated he had no comments to make about it.

15A. Resolution Extending Appreciation to Charles B. Curtiss for his Outstanding Contributions as Chairman of the Board of Control

President Ryder informed Chairman Curtiss that he had another item for action that Mr. Curtiss didn't have, but that the other members of the Board did have, which he would like to have considered at this point. Mr. Curtiss stated that he didn't think that it was in order under Information Items, however, Dr. Ryder said that he would like to do it anyhow.

RES-512 It was moved and supported that the attached resolution be adopted.

Dr. Zahnow read the resolution. Mr. Curtiss expressed appreciation for having had the opportunity to serve. He then turned the gavel over to Mr. Kendall.

Mr. Kendall asked if there were any discussion.

Dr. Gilbert advised that Dr. Gilmore had called him last Friday and asked that he give Mr. Curtiss his personal appreciation for the work he had done, his friendship, and as a long-standing colleague, and to tell him that he wished he could be present today to vote on this resolution.

SAGINAW VALLEY STATE COLLEGE
BOARD OF CONTROL

RESOLUTION: EXTENDING APPRECIATION TO CHARLES B. CURTISS FOR HIS OUTSTANDING CONTRIBUTIONS AS CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF CONTROL

WHEREAS, Charles B. Curtiss has served as a member of the Board of Control of Saginaw Valley State College and its forerunner, Saginaw Valley College, since 1963, and

WHEREAS, Mr. Curtiss was one of the original members appointed to the SVSC Board of Control by Governor George Romney on July 21, 1965, and

WHEREAS, Mr. Curtiss subsequently was elected secretary of the Board of Control in 1966, and

WHEREAS, he has been annually reelected as chairman of the Board of Control since 1973, and

WHEREAS, he has asked not to be a candidate for reelection to the chairmanship in 1981,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that we, the members of the Board of Control, confident that we speak as well for the administration, faculty, and student body, extend our heartfelt appreciation to Mr. Curtiss for his balanced concern for the College community and the broader community which the College serves; for the wisdom and vision which he has contributed to the development of this institution; for his uncompromising commitment to excellence in all facets of the College operation and particularly in SVSC's academic programs; for his awareness of events and issues which affect higher education; for his effectiveness in interpreting the College's strengths and needs; for his regular attendance at legislative hearings, Board functions, and College sponsored activities such as cultural events and athletic contests; for the honor which he brought to SVSC when he was elected chairman of the Michigan Association of Governing Boards; and for his leadership of this Board.

June 8, 1981

Hearing no further discussion, Vice Chairman Kendall called for a vote.

Ayes: Arbury, Darin, Kendall, Saltzman, Williams, Zahnow
Abstain: Curtiss (with a "thank you")
Absent: Gilmore

Motion carried to adopt RES-512 extending appreciation to Charles B. Curtiss for his outstanding contributions as Chairman of the Board of Control.

The meeting recessed at 10:55 a.m. and reconvened at 11:20 a.m.

15B. Visit of Dr. Haskel Fain, Humanities Consultant

Dr. Ryder advised that Dr. Haskel Fain, Humanities Consultant under the National Endowment for the Humanities grant, was going to be at SVSC on June 17 to June 19 and that he would like to meet with some Board members to discuss humanities and their viewpoints as part of his fact-gathering stage before he prepared recommendations and suggestions for SVSC. He asked the Board members to think about this and let him know if they could and would like to meet with him, and arrangements would be made for their meeting. He suggested that one or two Board members would be all that was necessary and they could meet together. Dr. Haskel might also want to meet with some members of the Board of Fellows too.

16. Board of Control Operating Policies

Chairman Curtiss stated that the Policy Committee had been laboring on and off over a period of about two years to try to discuss some operating policies that applied to the way the Board functioned. He recalled that the Munitz Report, which involved an evaluation of the Office of the President, as well as the functioning of this Board, strongly recommended that the Board establish some policies that defined the roles and responsibilities of the Board, as well as that of the Office of the President. He noted that there had been a number of legal questions that had arisen over the years, and each time they came up, they tended to run to

college counsel to get some sort of an opinion on it.

Mr. Curtiss said that they had tried to pull together these kinds of questions into the Operating Policy document for the Board. These were not the college policies, he stressed, but the Board Operating Policies. The committee had searched to try to find other institutions in the country that had some such Policy manual, and what it found was that most of them had By-Laws but they didn't really address the critical issues of how the Board should function. So, he said, the committee had very little, by way of pattern, with which it could design the Board of Control manual. He stressed that the committee had done a great deal of work in trying to find agreement and a reasonable solution to spelling out how the Board should function.

Although the work of the committee was not entirely over yet, Mr. Curtiss noted, he would like to thank the Board members on the committee...Barbara Darin, Dick Gilmore and John Kendall, and Vice President Gilbert, the staff member to the committee and responsible for much of the drafting. Mr. Curtiss added that he sat in on those meetings with the Board members and Dr. Gilbert for many hours of thought to get to this point.

Dr. Ryder added that he, too, would like to express his appreciation to the committee, because he thought it had done a very good job, and as Mr. Curtiss had pointed out, they didn't find any other institution that had this...it was going to be very beneficial to SVSC and it would be shared with others as well.

Mr. Curtiss asked how Dr. Ryder would like to attack the mountain of paperwork they had.

Dr. Ryder recommended that they look at the list "Operating Guides to be Discussed at the June Meeting" provided earlier, and do it by exception if that

were agreeable...one by one, they could look at the listing, and if there were no problem, it would be marked "OK"...if there were a problem, they would postpone, and then at the next Board meeting, the remaining drafts would be provided for review. He stressed that administration was not asking the Board to adopt any at this point. It was the consensus they should proceed on that basis.

The attached "Operating Guides to be Discussed at the June Meeting" was reviewed as follows: 3.0-1 (OK), 3.07 (changed, not discussed), 3.0-9 (OK), 3.0-10 (OK), 3.0-11 (OK), 3.0-12 (OK), 3.0-13 (OK), 3.0-14 (OK), 3.0-15 (OK) 3.0-16 omitted from the list (OK), 3.0-17 (OK), 3.0-18 (OK), 3.0-19 (new, not discussed), 3.0-20 (OK), 3.0-21 (OK), 3.0-22 consensus changed to general consent (OK).

Dr. Ryder indicated he was hopeful that the remaining drafts could be reviewed at the August meeting and then all could be adopted...if they couldn't get it done at the August meeting, then they would have to hold off until the October meeting...that should be the latest.

V. OTHER ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION

17. Need for an Executive Session of the Board of Control

Dr. Ryder advised there was a need for an Executive Session of the Board for the sole purpose of discussing pending litigation and negotiations. He suggested a motion would be in order to recess for lunch and then convene in Executive Session after lunch. Chairman Curtiss called for the motion.

BM-596 It was moved and supported that the Board recess for lunch and then go into Executive Session for the sole purpose of discussing pending litigation and negotiations.

Mr. Curtiss asked if there were any discussion. Hearing none, he called for a

MAY 28 1981

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

OPERATING GUIDES TO BE DISCUSSED AT THE JUNE MEETING:

<u>TITLE</u>	<u>NO.</u>
Adopting, Revision & Deletion of Board Operating Guides	3.0-1
Standing Committees	3.0-7
Public Notice of Regular Board Meetings	3.0-9
Public Notice of Special Board Meetings	3.0-10
Public Notice of Reconvened Board Meetings	3.0-11
Notice to Board Members of Reconvened Meetings	3.0-12
Requests to Receive Public Notices of Board Meetings	3.0-13
Appearances Before the Board of Control	3.0-14
Distribution of Board Meeting Packets	3.0-15
Distribution of Board Committee Meeting Packets	3.0-16
Agenda for Regular Meetings	3.0-17
Agenda for Special Meetings	3.0-18
Board of Control Meeting Minutes	3.0-19
Quorum for Board Meetings	3.0-20
Parliamentary Rules for Board Meetings	3.0-21
Voting	3.0-22

Operating Guides 3.0-1 through 3.0-6 and 3.0-8 are still under discussion in the Policies Committee and will not be discussed by the Board at the June meeting.

vote.

Motion unanimously carried to recess for lunch and then go into Executive Session.

VI. ADJOURNMENT

The Regular meeting of the Board of Control recessed at 12:05 p.m.

The Executive Session of the Board of Control convened at 1:20 p.m.

The Executive Session of the Board of Control adjourned at 3:50 p.m.

The Regular meeting of the Board of Control reconvened at 3:50 p.m.

There being no further business to conduct, Chairman Curtiss adjourned the Regular Meeting of the Board of Control at 3:50 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles B. Curtiss--Chairman

Dorothy D. Arbury--Secretary

JMR
omc



Opal M. Colvin--Recording Secretary